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# Complete classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank 

STEPHEN S.-T. YAU


#### Abstract

The idea of using estimation algebras to construct finite-dimensional non-linear filters was first proposed by Brockett and Clark, and Mitter independently. In his famous talk at the International Congress of Mathematics in 1983, Brockett proposed to classify all finite-dimensional estimation algebras. In this paper we explain why the theory of estimation algebras plays an important role in non-linear filtering. We show how to use the Wei-Norman approach to construct finite-dimensional filters from finite-dimensional estimation algebras. We survey some results in estimation algebras after 1984. We give a self-contained proof of complete classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank in one place. The proof given here is simpler than those proofs scattered in several papers. This provides the readers with a complete coherent view of the important topic of the classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras.


Dedicated to Roger Brockett on the occasion of his 65th birthday and to Sanjoy Mitter on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

## 1. Introduction

Filtering is concerned with making estimates of quantities associated with a stochastic process $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ on the basis of information gleaned from a related process $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$. The process $\left\{x_{t}\right\}$ is called the signal or state process and $\left\{y_{t}\right\}$ is the observation process. The goal is the computation, for each $t$, of least square estimates of functions of the signal $x_{t}$ given the observation history $\left\{y_{s}: 0 \leq s \leq t\right\}$, i.e. the computation of conditional expectations of the form $E\left[\phi\left(x_{t}\right) / y_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right]=\widehat{\phi\left(x_{t}\right)}$ or perhaps even the computation of the entire conditional distributional of $x_{t}$, given the observation history. In many (engineering) applications the data come in sequentially and one does not really want a calculating procedure which needs all the data $y_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t$, every time $t$ that it is desired to find $\widehat{\phi\left(x_{t}\right)}$; rather we would like to have a procedure which uses a statistics $m_{t}$ which can be updated using only the new observations $y_{s}, t \leq s \leq t^{\prime}$ to its value $m_{t^{\prime}}$, i.e.

$$
m_{t^{\prime}}=a\left(m_{t}, t, t^{\prime},\left\{y_{s}: t \leq s \leq t^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

and from which the desired conditional expectation can be calculated directly, i.e.

$$
\widehat{\phi\left(x_{t}\right)}=E\left[\phi\left(x_{t}\right) / y_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq t\right]=b\left(t, y_{t}, m_{t}\right)
$$

Finally to actually implement the filter it would be nice if $m_{t}$ were a finite dimensional quantity. All this leads to the (ideal) notion of a finite dimensional recursive filter. By definition such a filter is a system

[^0]$$
\mathrm{d} \xi_{t}=\alpha\left(\xi_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_{i}\left(\xi_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{i t}
$$
driven by the observation $y_{i t} ; y_{i t}$ is the $i$ th component of $y_{t} i=1, \ldots, p$; together with an output map
$$
\left.\widehat{\phi\left(x_{t}\right.}\right)=\gamma\left(\xi_{t}\right)
$$

This was solved in the context of linear dynamics by Kalman and Bucy $(1960,1961)$ and the resulting 'Kalman filter' has of course enjoyed immense success in a wide variety of applications. Attempts were soon made to generalize the results to systems with non-linear dynamics. This is a substantially more difficult problem, being in general infinite-dimensional, but nevertheless equations describing the evolution of conditional distributions were obtained by several authors in the midsixties; for example, Bucy (1965), Duncan (1967), Kushner (1964), Mortensen (1966), Shiryaev (1967), Stratonovich (1968) and Wonham (1965). Wonham (1965) studied the important finite-state case and evaluated numerically performance of the optimal non-linear filter for one example and found the performance to be better than that of the simpler Wiener filter. Zakai (1969) obtained these equations in substantially simpler form using the so-called 'reference probability' method (see Wong (1971)).

Ever since the technique of the Kalman-Bucy filter was popularized, there has been an intense interest in finding new classes of finite dimensional recursive filters. In the 1960s and early 1970s, the basic approach to nonlinear filtering theory was via the 'innovation methods' originally proposed by Kailath (1968) and Frost and Kailath (1971) and subsequently rigorously developed by Fujisaki et al. (1972). As pointed out by Mitter (1979), the difficulty with this approach is that the innovation process is not, in general, explicitly computable (except in the well-known Kalman-Bucy case). In the late 1970s, Brockett and Clark (1980), Brockett (1981) and Mitter (1979) proposed the idea of using estimation
algebras to construct a finite-dimensional non-linear filter. This Lie algebra approach has several merits. First, it takes into account of geometrical aspects of the situation. Second, it explains convincingly why it is easy to find exact recursive filters for linear dynamical systems while it is very difficult to filter something like the cubic sensor described in the work of Hazewinkel et al. (1998 a). The third, and perhaps most important, merit of the Lie algebra approach is the following. As long as the estimation algebra is finite dimensional, not only can the finite dimensional recursive filter be constructed explicitly, but also the filter so constructed is universal in the sense of Chaleyat-Maurel and Michel (1984). Moreover, the number of sufficient statistics in the Lie algebra method, which requires computing the conditional probability density, is linear in $n$, where $n$ is the dimension of the state space. This is a consequence of our classification result (see Corollary 2). Finally the Lie algebraic methods are useful for classifying equivalence of finite dimensional filters and for indicating when no finite dimensional filters exist. In those cases where no finite dimensional representations exist the available methods must be redirected to the construction of consistent and useful approximate filters (see Marcus (1984) for an example).

In his talk at the International Congress of Mathematics in 1983, Brockett proposed the problem of classifying finite-dimensional estimation algebras. Since then, the concept of estimation algebra has been proven to be invaluable tool in the study of non-linear filtering problems. Nevertheless, the structure and classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras were studied in detail only in the early 1990s by Tam et al. (1990), Chiou and Yau (1994), Yau (1994), Chen and Yau (1996, 1997), Chen et al. (1996, 1997), Wu et al. (2002) and Yau and Hu (preprint). In Wong (1987), the concept of $\Omega$ was introduced, which is defined as the matrix whose $(i, j)$ element is $\omega_{i j}=\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)$, where $f$ is the drift term of the state evolution equation (1). The programme of classifying finite dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank was begun in 1990 by Yau. There are four crucial steps here.

Step 1. In 1990, Yau first observed that Wong's $\Omega$ matrix plays an important role. As the first crucial step, he classifies all finite dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank if Wong's matrix has entries in constant coefficients. His result was announced in 1990 (Yau 1990) and the detail of the proof was published in 1994 (Yau 1994). Chiou and Yau (1991) formally introduced the concept of finite dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank and gave classification when the state space dimension $n$
is at most 2. Their results were published in 1994 (Chen and Yau 1996).
Step 2. The second crucial step was due to Chen and Yau in 1996 (Chen and Yau 1997). They developed quadratic structure theory for finite dimensional estimation algebra. They laid down all the ingredients which are needed to give classification of finite dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank. In particular, they introduced the notion of quadratic rank $k$. In this way, the Wong's $\Omega$-matrix is divided into three parts: (1) $\left(\omega_{i j}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq k$; (2) $\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$, $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n \quad$ and $\quad$ (3) $\quad\left(\omega_{i j}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$, $k+1 \leq j \leq n, \quad$ or $\quad k+1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k$. Chen and Yau (1997) proved among many other things that part (1) $\left(\omega_{i j}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq k$, is a matrix with constant coefficients.
Step 3. In their published paper, Chen et al. (1997) proved the weak Hessian matrix nondecomposition theorem for $n \leq 4$. As a result, part (2), $\left(\omega_{i j}\right), k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$, is a matrix with constant coefficients. In their paper, Wu et al. (2002) proved the weak Hessian matrix nondecomposition theorem for general $n$. Thus part (2), $\left(\omega_{i j}\right), k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$ is also a matrix with constant coefficients for arbitrary $n$.
Step 4. This final step was also done in 1997. Yau and Hu (preprint) used the full power of the quadratic structure theory developed by Chen and Yau (1997) to prove that the matrix $\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n$ and the matrix $\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$, $k+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k$ are with the constant coefficients.

The above four steps complete the classification of finite dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank. Therefore Yau and his coworkers have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1: Suppose that the state space of the filtering system (1) is of dimension $n$. If $E$ is the finitedimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank, then $f=\nabla \phi+\left(\alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ where $\phi$ is a smooth function and $\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are affine functions and $E$ is a real vector space of dimension $2 n+2$ with basis given by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ and $L_{0}$ where $D_{i}$ and $L_{0}$ are defined in (5) and (7).

Mitter conjectured a long time ago that all the functions in finite dimensional estimation algebras are polynomial of degree one. As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1 (Mitter conjecture): Suppose that E is the finite-dimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank
corresponding to the filter system (1). Then any function in $E$ is a polynomial of degree one.

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem and Theorem 7 of Yau (1994) (cf. Theorem 14 below).

Corollary 2: Suppose that the state space of the filtering system (1) is of dimension $n$. If $E$ is the finite-dimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank, then the number of statistics in order to compute the conditional density by Lie algebraic methods is $n$.

In §2, we recall some basic concepts and notations. We prove two fundamental results: Ocone theorem (Theorem 2) and nonexistence solution of overdetermined PDE (Theorem 3 and Corollary 3). We explain why one wants to work with robust DMZ equation (3) rather than stochastic partial differential equation (2). We also recall the gauge transformation of Mitter and Brockett's estimation equivalence group in non-linear filtering. In $\S 3$, we survey some result developed after the beautiful survey article by Marcus (1984). We recall Wong's structure theorem of estimation algebra in case the drift term $f(x)$ is real analytic with some growth conditions as well as a new class of finite dimensional estimation algebra introduced by Wong. The concept of finite dimensional exact estimation algebra is introduced. The structure and classification of these algebras are discussed. We recall Cohen de Lara's structure theorem for those finite dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank with very strong assumption on the structure of differential operators in the estimation algebras. We also recall the general construction of finite dimensional estimation algebra with non-maximal rank by Rasoulian and Yau. The most recent beautiful result by Chiou and Chiueh on classification of fivedimensional estimation algebras is discussed. In $\S 4$, we survey some results obtained in Yau (1994). In particular, the classification result is proved under the assumption that $\Omega$-matrix has constant coefficients. We describe in detail how to solve the time-varying parabolic partial differential equation by Wie-Norman theory. We characterize those drift $f(x)$ for which the $\Omega$-matrix has constant coefficients. We use the Wei-Norman approach to construct a finite dimensional filter if the estimation algebra is finite dimensional. In $\S 5$, we survey some results obtained in Chen and Yau (1996). In particular, quadratic structure theory is developed for finite dimensional estimation algebra. The linear structure of $\Omega$ matrix is proved and the constant structure of the upper left corner of the $\Omega$-matrix is also proved. The proof given here is different from those in Chen and Yau (1996). In §6, we survey the result obtained in Wu et al. (2002). We prove the constant structure of the lower right corner of the $\Omega$-matrix. In $\S 7$, we survey
some results obtained in Yau and Hu (preprint). We prove the constant structure of the lower left corner and the upper right corner of the $\Omega$-matrix.

## 2. Some basic concepts, fundamental tools and equivalent filtering problems

The filtering problem considered here is based on the signal observation model

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} x(t)=f(x(t)) \mathrm{d} t=g(x(t)) \mathrm{d} v(t), & x(0)=x_{0}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{~d} y(t)=h(x(t)) \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{d} w(t), & y(0)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here $x, v, y$ and $w$ are respectively $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{p}, \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ valued processes, and $v$ and $w$ have components which are independent, standard Brownian processes. We assume that $n=p ; f, h$ are $C^{\infty}$ smooth; and $g$ is an orthogonal matrix. We refer to $x(t)$ as the state of the system at time $t$ and to $y(t)$ as the observation at time $t$.

Let $\rho(t, x)$ denote the conditional probability density of the state given the observation $\{y(s): 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. It is well known (see, e.g. Davis and Marcus 1981) that $\rho(t, x)$ is given by normalizing $\sigma(t, x)$, i.e. $\rho(t, x)=\sigma(t, x) / \int \sigma(t, x) \mathrm{d} x$, which satisfies the Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai (DMZ) equation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{d} \sigma(t, x) & =L_{0} \sigma(t, x) \mathrm{d} x+\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{i} \sigma(t, x) \mathrm{d} y_{i}(t)  \tag{2}\\
\sigma(0, x) & =\sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
L_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2}
$$

and, for $i=1, \ldots, m, L_{i}$ is the zero degree differential operator of multiplication by $h_{i}$. The term $\sigma_{0}$ is the probability density of the initial point $x_{0}$.

Equation (2) is a stochastic partial differential equation (with as probability space a space of paths $\{y\}$ ) and as such a solution is in principle only defined apart from a set of measure zero. On the other hand, actual observations will always consist of piecewise smooth sample paths $y(t)$ and the class of all such path is of measure zero. Thus there arises the question whether there exist a version of (2) which can be interpreted pathwise for all $y(t)$ and for which the solution of (2) for piecewise smooth $y(t)$ carry (approximate) information. This means that in real applications, we are interested in constructing robust state estimators from observed sample paths with some property of robustness. Davis (1980) studied this problem and proposed some robust algorithms. In our case, his basic idea reduces to defining a new unnormalized density

$$
u(t, x)=\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}(x) y_{i}(t)\right) \sigma(t, x)
$$

Davis reduced (2) to the following time-varying partial differential equation, which is called the robust DMZ equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x)= & L_{0} u(t, x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}(t)\left[L_{0}, L_{i}\right] u(t, x) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=-1}^{m} y_{i}(t) y_{j}(t)\left[\left[L_{0}, L_{i}\right], L_{j}\right] u(t, x)  \tag{3}\\
u(0, x)= & \sigma_{0}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

which is a time-varying partial differential equation. Here we have used the following notation.

Definition 1: If $X$ and $Y$ are differential operators, the Lie bracket of $X$ and $Y,[X, Y]$, is defined by $[X, Y] \phi=X(Y \phi)-Y(X \phi)$ for any $C^{\infty}$ function $\phi$.

Recall that a real vector space $\mathcal{F}$, with an operation $\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ denoted $(x, y) \mapsto[x, y] \quad$ (called the Lie bracket of $x$ and $y$ ), is called a Lie algebra if the following axioms are satisfied:
(i) The Lie bracket operation is bilinear;
(ii) $[x, y]=0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$;
(iii) $[x,[y, z]]+[y,[z, x]]+[z,[x, y]]=0(x, y, z \in \mathcal{F})$.

Definition 2: The estimation algebra $E$ of a filtering system (1) is defined as the Lie algebra generated by $\left\{L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\right\}$ denoted by $\left\{L_{0}, L_{1}, \ldots, L_{m}\right\}_{\text {L.A. }} . E$ is said to be an estimation algebra of maximal rank if, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists a constant $c_{i}$ such that $x_{i}+c_{i}$ is in $E$.

Definition 3: Wong's matrix of a filtering system (1) is a $n \times n$ matrix $\Omega=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \leq n \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that clearly $\Omega$ is a skew symmatric matrix with the cyclic conditions

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{j k}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{k i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}-f_{i}  \tag{5}\\
\eta & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}^{2}-\eta\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the convenience of the readers, we list the following elementary lemmas without proof. The lemmas were proven in Chiou and Yau (1994) and Yau (1994).

## Lemma 1:

(i) $[X Y, Z]=X[Y, Z]+[X, Z] Y$ where $X, Y$ and $Z$ are differential operators
(ii) $\left[g D_{i}, h\right]=g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}$, where $g$, $h$ are any function defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
(iii) $\left[g D_{i}, h D_{j}\right]=g h \omega_{i j}+g\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{j}-h\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{i}$ where $\omega_{j i}=\left[D_{i} D_{j}\right]=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}$
(iv) $\left[g D_{i}^{2}, h\right]=2 g\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{i}+g\left(\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right)$
(v) $\left[D_{i}^{2}, h D_{j}\right]=2\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{i} D_{j}-2 h \omega_{i j} D_{i}$

$$
+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{j}-h\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

(vi) $\left[D_{i}^{2}, D_{j}^{2}\right]=4 \omega_{j i} D_{j} D_{i}+2\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) D_{i}+2\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) D_{i}$

$$
+2\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{j}+\frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+2 \omega_{j i}^{2}
$$

(vii) $\left[D_{k}^{2}, h D_{i} D_{j}\right]=2\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{k}}\right) D_{k} D_{i} D_{j}+2 h \omega_{j k} D_{i} D_{k}$

$$
+2 h \omega_{i k} D_{k} D_{j}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{k}^{2}}\right) D_{i} D_{j}
$$

$$
+2 h\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j k}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{k}+h\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j k}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) D_{i}
$$

$$
+h\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{i k}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) D_{j}+h\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{j k}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}}\right)
$$

(viii) $\left[g D_{i} D_{j}, h D_{k}\right]=g\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{j}}\right) D_{i} D_{k}+g\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{i}}\right) D_{j} D_{k}$

$$
+g h \omega_{k j} D_{i}+g h \omega_{k i} D_{j}
$$

$$
+g\left(\frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right) D_{k}+g h\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{k j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
$$

$$
-h\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{k}}\right) D_{i} D_{j}
$$

## Lemma 2:

(i) $\left[L_{0}, x_{j}+c_{j}\right]=D_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$
(ii) $\left[D_{i} x_{j}+c_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$
(iii) $\left[D_{i}, D_{j}\right]=\omega_{j i}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$
(iv) $Y_{j}:=\left[L_{0}, D_{j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\omega_{j i} D_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_{j}}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$
(v) $\left[Y_{j}, \omega_{k l}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{j i} \frac{\partial \omega_{k l}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad 1 \leq j, k, l \leq n$
(vi)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Y_{j}, D_{k}\right]=} & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\omega_{j i} \omega_{k i}-\frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{k}} D_{i}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{i}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
1 \leq j, k \leq n
$$

The following theorem due to Ocone (1980) is the first result which allows us to understand what kind of functions can appear in finite dimensional estimation algebra.

Theorem 2 (Ocone): Let E be a finite-dimensional estimation algebra. If a function $\xi$ is in $E$, then $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree at most (2).
Proof: Let $A d_{L_{0}}(\xi)=\left[L_{0}, \xi\right]$ and $A d_{L_{0}}^{k} \xi=\left[L_{0}, A d_{L_{0}}^{k-1}(\xi)\right]$. Then it is easy to see that
$A d_{L_{0}}^{k}(\xi)=\sum_{i_{i, \ldots}, \ldots, i_{k}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{k} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{l}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{k}}} D_{i_{l}} \ldots D_{i_{k}}+(k-1)$ th
order differential operator
Since $A d_{L_{0}}^{k}(\xi)$ is in $E$ for all $k$, the finite dimensionality of $E$ implies that $\partial^{k} \xi / \partial x_{i} \ldots \partial x_{i_{k}}=0$, for $1 \leq i_{l}, \ldots, i_{k} \leq n$, if $k$ is large enough. It follows that $\xi$ is a polynomial.

Observe that $\xi \in E$ implies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}=\left[A d_{L_{0}}(\xi), \xi\right], \in E
$$

The facts that $\xi$ is a polynomial and $E$ is finite dimensional imply $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 .

We shall now prove a very useful theorem in PDE which can be found in Yau (1994).
Theorem 3: Let $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that there exists a path $c: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\delta>0$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|c(t)\|=\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{B_{\delta}(c(t))} F=-\infty$, where $B_{\delta}(c(t))=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|x-c(t)\|<\delta\right\}$. Then there are no $C^{\infty}$ functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}=F \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ be any $C^{\infty}$ function with compact support. Multiplying (8) with $\psi^{2}$ and integrating the equation of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\operatorname{div} f) \psi^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi^{2}(f \cdot f)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F \psi^{2}
$$

where $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{div} f=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)$. In view of divergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F \psi^{2} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} 2 \psi \nabla \psi \cdot f=\int \psi^{2}(f \cdot f) \\
& \geq-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \psi^{2}(f \cdot f)+\int \psi^{2}(f \cdot f) \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F \psi^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \geq 0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}$. Take any non-zero $C^{\infty}$ function $\theta$ with compact support in the ball $B_{\delta}(0)$ of radius $\delta$. Define $\psi$ to be $\theta$ followed by a translation by $c(t)$. Observe that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla \psi|^{2}$ is independent of the translation selected. On the other hand, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F \psi^{2} \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by our assumptions. This leads to a contradiction to (9).
Corollary 3: Let $F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a polynomial $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Suppose that degree of $F$ is odd. Then there are no $C^{\infty}$ functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}=F
$$

The estimation algebra can be useful in recognizing equivalent filtering problems in the sense that $E$ is invariant under certain transformations of a filtering problem. First, note that if we perform a 'change of scale' on the unnormalized conditioned density function, multiplying it by a non-negative function $\psi(x)$ taking $\sigma \rightarrow \tilde{\sigma}=\psi(x) \sigma$, the DMZ equation becomes

$$
\mathrm{d} \tilde{\sigma}(t, x)=\psi(x) L_{0} \psi^{-1}(x) \tilde{\sigma}(t, x) \mathrm{d} x+\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{i} \tilde{\sigma}(t, x) \mathrm{d} y_{i}(t)
$$

This transformation takes $L_{0} \mapsto \psi L_{0} \psi^{-1}$ and $h_{i} \mapsto \psi h_{i} \psi^{-1}=$ $h_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and the corresponding Lie algebras are isomorphic. Specifically we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4: If $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and positive, then the Lie algebra $E$ generated by $L_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ and the Lie algebra $E$ generated by $\psi L_{0} \psi^{-1}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ are isomorphic with an isomorphism $\phi: A \rightarrow \psi A \psi^{-1}$ for all $A \in E$.

The proof of Theorem 4 can be found for example in Marcus (1984). The transformation in Theorem 4 is called gauge transformation by Mitter (1978).

A related phenomenon occurs when one performs a smooth non-singular change of variables $z=\alpha(x)$ with inverse $x=\beta(z)$. Then Brockett (1979) proved the following theorem.
Theorem 5: If the estimation problem (1), (2) is transformed by a smooth non-singular change of coordinates $z_{t}=\alpha\left(x_{t}\right)$, so that $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ has generator $L_{0 z}$, then the mapping

$$
\phi: L_{0} \rightarrow L_{0 z}, \quad \phi: h_{i} \mapsto h_{i} \circ \beta, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

extends to an isomorphism of the Lie algebras $\left\{L_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right\}_{\text {L.A. }}$ and $\left\{L_{0 z}, h_{1} \circ \beta, \ldots, h_{m} \circ h \beta\right\}_{\text {L.A. }}$.

Since the set of all transformations consisting of successive applications of the two types of transformations described in Theorems 4 and 5 forms a group under composition, Brockett (1979) has called this the estimation equivalence group and he has termed two estimation problems equivalent if their estimation algebras can be transformed into one another by elements of this group. This group is also called the (stochastic) invariance group by Hijab (1980).

## 3. Structures of finite-dimensional estimation algebras

The concept of the estimation algebra has played a very important role in the recent studies of non-linear filtering systems. The beautiful survey article by Marcus (1984) has provided a detail account of many developments that involve the estimation algebra. In this section, we shall survey some estimation algebra related results developed after Marcus (1984). Wong (1987 a) proved several theorems concerning the structure of finite dimensional estimation algebras. Among other things, these results together with his other results in Wong (1987 b) shed new light on the classification problem of finite dimensional estimation algebras. The structure theorem of Wong (1987 a) can be stated as follows.

Theorem 6: Assume that $h$ and $f$ in (1) are real analytic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f$ satisfies the growth condition for any $i$, all the first, second, and third order partial derivatives of $f_{i}$ are bounded functions:
(1) If the degree of $h$ in $x$ is greater than 1, then the estimation of (1) is infinite dimensional.
(2) If the estimation algebra of (1) is finite dimensional, then it has no differential operator of degree higher than two. It has a basis consisting of one second degree differential operator, $L_{0}$, first degree operator (s) of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} D_{i}+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}\left(\partial \eta / \partial x_{i}\right)$ where $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ are constants, and zero degree differential operator ( $s$ ) affine in $x$.
(3) All finite dimensional estimation algebras (1) are solvable.

The growth condition in Theorem 6 guarantees that (1) has a well-defined solution for all time. It also implies that for all $i, f_{i}=O(|x|)$ at infinity. (We say $a(x)=O(b(x))$ at infinity if there exist constants $M$ and $N$ such that $|a(x)| \leq M|b(x)|$ for $|x| \geq N)$.

Wong (1987b) introduced a new class of solvable finite dimensional estimation algebras. Using either the Wei and Norman (1964) method or the function-space integral approach of Benés (1981), one can derive from these results new finite dimensional non-linear filters. In our case, Wong's (1987 b) result can be stated as follows.
Theorem 7: Let $h_{i}=H_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} x$ where $H_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(H_{i 1}, \ldots, H_{\text {in }}\right)$ is a constant vector, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\Omega$ be the skewsymmetric matrix defined in Definition 3 and $J_{\eta}=\left(\partial^{2} \eta / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)$ denote the Hessian of $\eta$. Define $\nabla \eta=\left(\partial \eta / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial \eta / \partial x\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \quad$ and $\quad D=\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Let $U$ denote the associative algebra of $n$ by $n$ matrixvalued function of $x$ over $\mathbb{R}$ generated by $\left\{\Omega, J_{\eta}, I\right\}$, where I stands for the identity matrix. If $H_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \Gamma$ is a vector of constant functions for any $i$ and any $\Gamma$ in $U$, then the dimension of the estimation algebra of (1) is bounded above by $2 n+m+2$.

Tam et al. (1990) introduced the concept of an exact estimation algebra, i.e. estimation algebra with $f=\nabla \phi$ for some smooth function $\phi$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A simple algebraic necessary and sufficient condition was proved for an exact estimation algebra to be finite-dimensional. They also provided a detailed examination of the relationship between finite-dimensional exact estimation algebras and finite-dimensional non-linear filters. More specifically they proved the following structure theorems.

Theorem 8: Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional exact estimation algebra. Then:
(1) $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}$ are polynomials of degree at most one.
(2) E has a basis consisting of one second-degree differential operator $L_{0}$, first-degree differential operator(s) with constant coefficients for the $\partial / \partial x_{i}$ terms, and zero-degree differential operator $(s)$ affine in $x$. Moreover, if $X$ and $Y$ are in $E$ with degree less than or equal to one, then $[X, Y]$ is a constant.
(3) $E$ is a solvable Lie algebra.

Theorem 9: Suppose $E$ is an exact estimation algebra. Then $E$ is finite-dimensional if and only if $\nabla h_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} J_{\eta}^{j}$ is a constant for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and all $j=0,1, \ldots$, where $J_{\eta}$ is the Hessian matrix of $\eta$.

Given the importance of the estimation algebra, a natural question arises as to whether we can classify all finite-dimensional exact estimation algebras up to

Lie algebraic isomorphism. Theorems 8 and 9 provide a starting point for solving this problem. Dong et al. (1991) provided a more explicit structure theorem for an important subclass of finite-dimensional exact estimation algebras as follows.

Theorem 10: Suppose $E$ is a finite-dimensional exact estimation algebras of maximal rank. Then it is a real vector space of dimension $2 n+2$ with basis given by 1 , $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ and $L_{0}$. Moreover, $\eta$ is a polynomial of degree at most two and the quadratic part of $\eta-\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2}$ is positive semidefinite.

A next question that arises naturally is whether we can classify all filtering systems with finite-dimensional exact estimation algebras up to state-space diffeomorphism. This is apparently a very difficult problem and requires a careful study of partial differential equations of type (8) with $f_{i}=\partial \phi / \partial x_{i}$. The connection between these types of equations and the non-linear filtering problem was first noted by Benés (1981). The properties of these equations, however, are not well-known. In Dong et al. (1991), the authors provided some answers in regard to the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of these types of equations.

Cohen de Lara (1997) proved a structure theorem under a severe assumption of estimation algebra as follows.

Theorem 11: Suppose $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of the form $\mathbb{R} L_{0} \oplus F$, where $F$ is a finitedimensional Lie algebra consisting of linear partial differential operators of order less than or equal to one. If $E$ is of maximal rank, then
(1) $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{p}$ are polynomials of degree less than or equal to one,
(2) there exists a skew-symmetric matrix $K$ and $a$ smooth function $\phi$ such that
a. the drift $f$ may be written as $f(x)=\nabla \phi(x)+K x$
b. the function $\nabla \phi+\|\nabla \phi+K x\|^{2}$ is quadratic.

Rasoulian and Yau (1997) studied finite-dimensional estimation algebras of non-maximal rank. They gave general construction of finite-dimensional estimation algebras of non-maximal rank. Suppose that $E$ is the finite-dimensional estimation algebra of (1). Consider the enlarged filter system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} \tilde{x}(t)=\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}(t)) \mathrm{d} t+\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}(t)) \mathrm{d} \tilde{v}(t), & \tilde{x}(0)=x_{0}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{~d} y(t)=h(\tilde{x}(t)) \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{d} w(t), & y(0)=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here $\quad \tilde{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}\right), \quad \tilde{f}(\tilde{x}(t))=$ $\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), f_{n+1}\left(x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.f_{n+k}\left(x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+k}\right)\right), \tilde{g}(\tilde{x}(t))=$ orthogonal matrix, $h(\tilde{x}(t))=$ $h\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, and $\tilde{v}$ and $w$ have components which are
independent, standard Brownian processes. Let $\tilde{E}$ be the estimation algebra associated to (10). Rasoulian and Yau showed that $\tilde{E}$ is isomorphic to $E$. Note that although $E$ is of maximal rank with respect to (1), $\tilde{E}$ is of non-maximal rank with respect to (10) in general. They suspected that all finite dimensional estimation algebras of non-maximal rank are essentially arising in this way. In Yau and Rasoulian, they classified all estimation algebras of dimension at most four. In a recent preprint of Chiou and Chiueh (preprint), the authors have done spectacular works on five-dimensional estimation algebra. Specifically, they have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 12: The five-dimensional estimation algebra is isomorphic to a Lie algebra having a basis given by $\left\{1, x_{1}, D_{1}, Y_{1}, L_{0}\right\}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-f_{1}, \quad Y_{1}=\left[L_{0}, D_{1}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i 1} D_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_{1}} \\
L_{0} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}^{2}-\eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $\omega_{1 j}=$ constant $(\neq 0$, for some $j=2, \ldots, n), \eta=$ $\alpha x_{1}^{2}+\beta\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}+\gamma\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $\beta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\gamma_{2}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are $C^{\infty}$ functions. In particular, $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ have to satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}= & (\alpha-1) x_{1}^{2}+\beta\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1} \\
& +\gamma\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{i}}= & c_{1} \omega_{1 i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{1 j} \omega_{i j}, \quad i=2, \ldots, n \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{1 j} \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_{j}}= & c_{2} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{1 j} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{j}} & =c_{3} \beta\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+c_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are constants, and $\alpha \geq 1$.

## 4. Estimation algebras of maximal rank with $\Omega$-matrix in constant coefficients and Wei-Norman approach to construct finite dimensional filters

The application of the Lie algebra method to nonlinear filtering problems has led to a number of new results concerning finite dimensional filters and to a deeper understanding of the structure of non-linear filtering problems in general. In this section we shall show how to construct finite dimensional filter by Lie algebra method via Wei-Norman approach.

We begin with the following general lemma observed in Yau (1994)

Lemma 3: Let $E$ be a finite dimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank. Then $E \supseteq\left\langle 1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right.$, $\left.D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}, L_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\omega_{i j} \in E$ is a polynomial of degree 2 for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Proof: This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and Theorem 2.

We now prove the following theorem (Yau 1994) which plays a fundamental role in the classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank.

Theorem 13: Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of (1) such that $\omega_{i j}=\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)=$ constant $c_{i j}$. If $E$ is of maximal rank, then $E$ is a real vector space of dimension $2 n+2$ with basis given by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ and $L_{0}$ and $\eta$ defined in (6) is a polynomial of degree 2 .

Proof: Since $E$ is of maximal rank, there are constants $c_{i}$ s such that $x_{i}+c_{i}$ is in $E$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. In view of Lemma 2, the following elements are in $E$

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[L_{0}, x_{i}+c_{i}\right] } & =D_{i} \in E  \tag{11}\\
{\left[D_{i}, x_{i}+c_{i}\right] } & =\delta_{i j} \in E  \tag{12}\\
{\left[L_{0}, D_{i}\right] } & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i j} D_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_{i}} \in E \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (11) and (13) imply that $\partial \eta / \partial x_{i}$ is in $E$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\eta$ is a quadratic polynomial, then in view of (11), (12) and (13), we see easily that $E$ is a finite dimensional real vector space spanned by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ and $L_{0}$. Therefore to finish the proof of this theorem, we only need to prove that $\eta$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 .

To see that $\eta$ is a quadratic polynomial, we first observe that by Theorem $2, \partial \eta / \partial x_{i}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, are polynomials of degree at most two because $\partial \eta / \partial x_{i} \in E$ by (13). It follows that $\eta$ is a polynomial at most three. If the homogeneous degree 3 part of $\eta$ is non-zero, then clearly there exists a straight line $c(t)$ passing through the origin such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \eta(c(t))=-\infty$. In particular

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\eta-\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2}\right)(c(t))=-\infty
$$

Recall that

$$
\eta-\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}
$$

In view of Corollary 3, we get a contradiction. Therefore the homogeneous degree 3 part of $\eta$ must be zero.

Constructing a robust finite-dimensional filter to (1) is equivalent to finding a smooth manifold $M$, complete $C^{\infty}$ vector fields $\mu_{i}$ on $M, C^{\infty}$ function $\nu$ on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $\omega_{i} \mathrm{~S}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ such that $u(t, x)$ in (3) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t) & =\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mu_{i}(z(t)) \omega_{i}(y(t)), \quad z(0) \in M  \tag{14}\\
u(t, x) & =\nu(z(t), t, x) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Following Chaleyat-Maurel and Michel (1984), we say that system (1) has a robust universal finite-dimensional filter if, for each initial probability density $\sigma_{0}$, there exists a $z_{0}$ such that (14) and (15) hold if $z(0)=z_{0}$ and $\mu_{i}, \omega_{i}$ are independent of $\sigma_{0}$.

The method of Wei and Norman (1964) of using Lie algebraic ideas to solve time-varying linear differential equations is roughly as follows. Consider the equation

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} X(t)=A(t) X(t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}(t) A_{i} X(t) \quad X(0)=X_{0}
$$

where $X$ and $A_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are $n \times n$ matrices and the $a_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are scalar-valued functions. Let $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{l}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra generated by $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$. Then the WeiNorman theorem states that, locally in $t, X(t)$ has a representation of the form

$$
X(t)=\mathrm{e}^{b_{1}(t) B_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{b_{l}(t) B_{l}} X_{0}
$$

where the $b_{i}$ s satisfy an ordinary differential equation of the form

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} b_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=c_{i}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{l}\right), \quad b_{i}(0)=0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq l
$$

The functions $c_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ in the above equation are determined by the structure constants of the Lie algebra (generated by the $A_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ ) relative to the basis $\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{l}\right\}$.

The extension of Wei and Norman's approach to the non-linear filtering problem is much more complicated. Instead of an ordinary differential equation, we have to solve the robust DMZ equation, which is a time-varying differential equation.

Suppose that the Wei-Norman theory is applied to solve partial differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=a_{1} A_{1} u+\cdots+a_{m} A_{m} u \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $A_{i}, 1 \leq u \leq m$, are linear partial differential operators in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and the $a_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, are given functions of time $t$. The idea is to solve (16) in terms of solutions of the simpler equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=A_{i} u, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t, x)=\mathrm{e}^{A_{i} t} \psi(x) \quad \psi(x)=u(0, x) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall assume that the Lie algebra generated by the operators $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ in (16) is finite dimensional. By setting, if necessary, some of the $a_{i}(t)$ equal to zero, and by combining other $a_{j}(t)$ in case of linear dependence among the operators on the r.h.s. of (16), without loss of generality, we can assume that we are dealing with equation (16) with the additional property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A_{i}, A_{j}\right]=\sum_{k} \gamma_{i j}^{k} \cdot A_{k}, \ldots, i, j=1, \ldots, m \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable real constants $\gamma_{i j}^{k}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m$.
The central idea of Wei-Norman theory is now to try for a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\mathrm{e}^{g_{1}(t) A_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{g_{2}(t) A_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{g_{m}(t) A_{m}} \psi \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $g_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, are still to be determined functions of time. The next step is to insert (20) into (16), to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}= & \dot{g}_{1} A_{1} \mathrm{e}^{g_{1} A_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{g_{m} A_{m}} \psi+\mathrm{e}^{g_{1} A_{1}} \dot{g}_{2} A_{2} \mathrm{e}^{g_{2} A_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{g_{m} A_{m}} \psi+\cdots \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{g_{1} A} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{g_{m-1} A_{m-1}} \dot{g}_{m} A_{m} \mathrm{e}^{g_{m} A_{m}} \psi \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Now for $i=2, \ldots, n$ insert a term

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-g_{i-1} A_{i-1}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{-g_{1} A_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{g_{1} A_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{g_{i-1} A_{i-1}}
$$

just behind $\dot{g}_{i} A_{i}$ in the $i$ th term of (21). Then use the adjoint representation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{A} B \mathrm{e}^{-A}=B+[A, B]+\frac{1}{2!}[A,[A, B]]+\frac{1}{3!}[A,[A, B]]+\cdots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (19) repeatedly, and use the linear independence of the $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ to obtain a system of ordinary differential equations for the $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}$ (with initial conditions $\left.g_{1}(0)=0=g_{2}(0)=\cdots=g_{m}(0)\right)$. These system of ODEs are always solvable for small time. However they may not be solvable for all time, meaning that finite escape time phenomena may occur.

Fortunately, Theorem 13 above will allow us to prove the following theorem which shows in particular how to construct finite dimensional filters from finitedimensional estimation algebras. Since the estimation algebra is solvable, the corresponding system of ODEs are solvable for all $t \geq 0$. The detail can be found in Yau (1994).

Theorem 14: Let $E$ be an estimation algebra of (1) satisfying $\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)=c_{i j}$, where the $c_{i j} s$ are constants for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Suppose that $E$ is a finite dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then $E$ has a basis of the form $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$, and $L_{0}$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_{i}^{2}
$$

is a degree two polynomial

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} x_{i}+d
$$

The robust DMZ equation (3) has a solution for all $t \geq 0$ of the form

$$
u(t, x)=\mathrm{e}^{T(t)} \mathrm{e}^{r_{n}(t) x_{n}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{r_{1}(t) x_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{s_{n}(t) D_{n}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{s_{1}(t) D_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{t L_{0}} \sigma_{0}
$$

where $T(t), r_{1}(t), \ldots, r_{n}(t), s_{1}(t), \ldots, s_{n}(t)$ satisfies the ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} s_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t) & =r_{i}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{j}(t) c_{j i}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{k i} y_{k}(t), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n  \tag{23}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} r_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(t) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}(t)\left(a_{i j}+a_{j i}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n  \tag{24}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} T}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{2}(t)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{2}(t)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j}^{2}-a_{i i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{j=2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j} s_{j}(t) c_{i j}+\sum_{1 \leq i<k \leq n} s_{i}(t) s_{k}(t)  \tag{25}\\
& \times\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i j} c_{j k}+\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i k}+a_{k i}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}(t) b_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} y_{i}(t) y_{j}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{n} h_{i k} h_{j k} \\
& -\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} s_{i}(t) r_{j}(t) c_{i j}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{k j} x_{j}+e_{k}, 1 \leq k \leq m, h_{k j}$ and $e_{k}$ are constants. In particular, a universal finite-dimensional filter exists.

The following theorem in Yau (1994) gives a characterization when the drift term $f(x)$ satisfies the conditions $\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)=c_{i j}$, where $c_{i j}$ are constants for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Theorem 15: $\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)=c_{i j}$ are constants for all $i$ and $j$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{i}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{n}}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{n}$ are polynomials of degree one and $\psi$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function.

Proof: It is clear that if (26) is satisfied, then $\left(\partial f_{j} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)=c_{i j}$ are constants for all $i$ and $j$.

Conversely, suppose that $\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{i}\right)-\left(\partial f_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)=c_{i j}$ are constants for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Observe that $c_{i j}=-c_{j i}$. Let $b_{i j}=-\frac{1}{2} c_{i j}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j i}-b_{i j}=c_{i j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $l_{i}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} x_{j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}\right) & =\sum_{i<j}\left(\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{j} \\
& =\sum_{i<j} c_{i j} \mathrm{~d} x_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{j}  \tag{28}\\
d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}\right) & =\sum_{i<j}\left(b_{j i}-b_{i j}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x_{j} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (27), (28) and (29) we have

$$
d\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{i} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} l_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}\right)=0
$$

Since every $d$-closed differential form on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are $d$-exact, there exists a $C^{\infty}$ function $\psi$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} l_{j} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}=\mathrm{d} \psi=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{j}} \mathrm{~d} x_{j}
$$

## 5. Structures of quadratic forms and linear structure of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$-matrix

We shall recall the theory of quadratic forms in estimation algebras developed by Chan and Yau (1996). We first introduce the notion of quadratic rank $k$ for any estimation algebra. This concept plays a fundamental role in the theory of classification of finite dimensional estimation algebras. We show that any quadratic polynomial in the estimation algebra depends on the variables only up to quadratic rank $k$ (cf. Lemma 4).

We show that there is a natural decomposition $\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ into disjoint union of $S_{i}$, where $S_{i}$ is described in (39) below. For each $S_{i}$, we associate a basic quadratic polynomial $p_{i}$ (cf. (41) below) in the estimation algebra. We show some important properties of quadratic polynomials in the estimation algebras in terms of this decomposition (cf. Lemmas 5-7). These properties of quadratic polynomials are used to prove the constant structure of the $k \times k$ left upper corner of the $\Omega$ matrix (cf. Lemma 10, Theorem 20 and Theorem 21). The proofs given are easier than those in Chen and Yau (1996). Quadratic polynomial properties were also used to prove the constant structure of the $k \times(n-k)$ right upper corner of the $\Omega$ matrix (cf. §7). In $\S 5$, we also develop a new simple proof of linear structure of $\Omega$ matrix than those given in Chen and Yau (1996). The
proof given here depends on some special properties of partial Euler operators developed in Theorems 16-18.

Let $Q$ be the space of quadratic forms in $n$ variables, that is, real vector space spanned by $x_{i} x_{j}$, with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Let $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and let $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ be the group of $n \times n$ matrices.
Definition 4: For any quadratic form $p \in Q$, there exists a symmatric matrix $A$ such that $p(x)=X^{\mathrm{T}} A X$. The rank of the quadratic form $p$ is denoted by $r(p)$ and is defined to be the rank of the matrix $A$. A fundamental quadratic form of the estimation algebra $E$ is an element $p_{0} \in E \cap Q$ with the greatest positive rank, that is, $r\left(p_{0}\right) \geq r(p)$ for any $p \in E \cap Q$. The maximal rank of quadratic forms in the estimation algebra $E$ is defined to be $k=r\left(p_{0}\right)$ and is called the quadratic rank of $E$.

After an orthogonal transformation on $x, p_{0}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=c_{1} x_{1}^{2}+c_{2} x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+c_{k} x_{k}^{2}, \quad c_{i} \neq 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $p_{0}(x)$, we can construct a sequence of quadratic forms in $E \cap Q$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0}(x)=p_{0}(x)  \tag{31}\\
& q_{j}(x)=\left[\left[L_{0}, q_{j-1}\right], q_{0}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{k} 4^{j} c_{i}^{j+1} x_{1}^{2} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of the invertibility of the Vandermonde matrix, we can assume that

$$
p_{0}(x)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k}^{2} \in E
$$

Lemma 4: If $p$ is a quadratic form in the estimation algebra $E$, then $p$ is independent of $x_{j}$ for $j>k$, where $k=r\left(p_{0}\right)$. In other words, $\partial p / \partial x_{j}=0$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$.
Proof: Suppose on the contrary that $\partial p / \partial x_{i} \neq 0$ for some $j>k$. Let $A$ be a symmetric matrix such that $p=X^{\mathrm{T}} A X . A$ can be written as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A_{1} & A_{2}  \tag{33}\\
A_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & A_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{1}$ is a $k \times k$ symmetric matrix and $A_{4}$ is an $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ symmetric matrix. There is a $k \times k$ orthogonal matrix $S_{1}$ and an $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ orthogonal matrix $S_{2}$ such that $S_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} A_{1} S_{1}$ and $S_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} A_{4} S_{2}$ are diagonal matrices. So we can assume that $A_{1}$ and $A_{4}$ are diagonal matrices. $\partial p / \partial x_{j} \neq 0$ for some $j>k$ implies $A_{2} \neq 0$ or $A_{4} \neq 0$. Since

$$
r\left(\lambda p_{0}+\sigma p\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda I+\sigma A_{1} & \sigma A_{2}  \tag{34}\\
\sigma A_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} & \sigma A_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

if we choose $\lambda$ large enough, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\lambda p_{0}+\sigma p\right)>k \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts the greatest positive rank assumption of $p_{0}$.

Let $p_{1} \in E \cap Q$ be an element with least positive rank, that is $0<r\left(p_{1}\right) \leq r(q)$ for any non-zero $q \in E \cap Q$. After an orthogonal transform that fixes $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables (i.e. an orthogonal transform on $\left.x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ and the Vandermonde matrix procedure as above, we can assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} x_{1}^{2} \in E, \quad 1 \leq k_{1} \leq k \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the orthogonal transform on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ leaves $p_{0}$ invariant. In summary, we deduce that $p_{0}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{2}$ has the greatest positive rank and $p_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} x_{i}^{2}$ has the least positive rank. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\left\{1,2, \ldots, k_{1}\right\} \subseteq S=\{1,2, \ldots, k\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Q_{1}=$ real vector space spanned by $\left\{x_{i} x_{j}: k_{1}+1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq j \leq k\} \subseteq Q$.

If $k_{1}<k$, then $Q_{1} \cap E$ is a non-trivial space, since $p-p_{0} \in E \cup Q$. In a similar procedure as above, there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=\sum_{i=k_{1}+1}^{k_{2}} x_{i}^{2} \in E \cap Q_{1} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the least positive rank in $E \cap Q_{1}$. By induction, we construct a series of $S_{i}, Q_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\left\{k_{i-1}+1, \ldots, k_{i}\right\}, \quad k_{0}=0, \quad k_{i} \leq k \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$Q_{i}=$ real vector space spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{l} x_{j}: k_{i}+1 \leq l \leq j \leq k\right\} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p_{i}=\sum_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_{i}} x_{j}^{2}=\sum_{j \in S_{i}} x_{j}^{2}, \quad i>0$
and $p_{i}$ has the least positive rank in $E \cap Q_{i-1}$ for $i>0$.
Lemma 5: If $p \in E \cap Q$, then

$$
p\left(0, \ldots, 0 x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\lambda p_{i} \text { for } i>0
$$

Proof: In view of Lemma 1 and the fact that $\left[L_{0}, p_{i}\right] \in E,\left[L_{0}, p_{0}-p_{i}\right] \in E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j} \in E, \quad \sum_{j \in S-S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j} \in E \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\sum_{j \in S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j}, p\right] } & -\left[\sum_{j \in S-S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j},\left[\sum_{j \in S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j}, p\right]\right] \\
& =2 p\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in E
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $p_{i}$ has the least positive rank for polynomials in $x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}$, there is a $\lambda$ such that

$$
p\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\lambda p_{i}
$$

Similarly, we also have the following lemma.
Lemma 6: if $p \in E \cap Q$, then

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i-1}}, 0, \ldots, 0, x_{k_{i}+1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in E \quad \text { for } i>0
$$

Proof: The lemma follows immediately from the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots,\right. & \left.x_{k_{i-1}}, 0, \ldots, 0, x_{k_{i}+1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \\
= & p-\left[\sum_{j \in S-S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j},\left[\sum_{j \in S_{i}} x_{j} D_{j}, p\right]\right] \\
& -p\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 7: Let $p=\sum_{i \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j \in S_{l_{2}}} 2 a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} \in E$, where $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $l_{1}<l_{2}$. Let $X_{i}=\left(x_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \quad$ be a $\left(k_{1}-k_{i-1}\right)$-vector. Under this notation, $p$ can be written as

$$
p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X_{l_{1}}^{\mathrm{T}}, & X_{l_{2}}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A  \tag{43}\\
A^{\mathrm{T}} & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{X_{l_{1}}}{X_{l_{2}}}
$$

Then $\left|S_{l_{1}}\right|=\left|S_{l_{2}}\right|$ and $A=b T$, where $b$ is a constant and $T$ is an orthogonal matrix
Proof: $\quad\left[L_{0}, p\right]=2 \sum_{i \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j}\left(x_{i} D_{j}+x_{j} D_{i}\right) \in E$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left[L_{0}, p\right], p\right]=} & 4 \sum_{i, m \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j, l \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{m l}\left[x_{i} D_{j}+x_{j} D_{i}, x_{m} x_{l}\right] \\
= & 4 \sum_{i, m \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j, l \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{m l} \\
& \times\left(x_{i} x_{l} S_{i m}+x_{i} x_{m} \delta_{j l}+x_{j} x_{l} \delta_{i m}+x_{j} x_{m} \delta_{i l}\right) \\
= & 4 \sum_{i \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j, l \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{j l} x_{i} x_{l} \\
& +4 \sum_{i, m \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{m j} x_{i} x_{m} \\
& +4 \sum_{i \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j, l \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{i l} x_{j} x_{l} \\
& +4 \sum_{i, m \in S_{l_{1}}} \sum_{j \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{m i} x_{j} x_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left[\left[L_{0}, p\right], p\right] \in E$, from Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i, m \in S_{l_{1}}}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{l_{2}}} a_{i j} a_{m j}\right) x_{i} x_{m}=\lambda_{1} p_{l_{1}} \\
\sum_{j, l \in S_{l_{2}}}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{l_{1}}} a_{i j} a_{i l}\right) x_{j} x_{l}=\lambda_{2} p_{l_{2}} \tag{45}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (44) and (45) show that the rows of $A$ are mutually orthogonal and so are the columns. Since for any matrix the row rank is equal to column rank, we have $\left|S_{l_{1}}\right|=\left|S_{l_{2}}\right|$. As the column vectors have the same Euclidean length, it follows that $A$ is a constant multiple of an orthogonal matrix.

If $E$ is a finite dimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank, then Lemma 3 says that $\omega_{i j} \in E$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let $\omega_{i j}^{(2)}$, $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}$ be the homogeneous part of degree 2 , and 1 of $\omega_{i j}$ respectively. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 8: Suppose that $E$ is a finite dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then
(i) $\omega_{i j}^{(2)}$ depends only on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \quad$ for $i \leq k$ or $j \leq k$
(ii) $\omega_{i j}^{(2)}=0 \quad$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$
(iii) $\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{l i}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{j}}=0 \quad$ for $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$
(iv) $\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{l i}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{j}}=0 \quad$ for $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$

Proof: Since $E$ is finite dimensional of maximal rank and $\omega_{i j} \in E$, it follows that $\omega_{i j}^{(2)} \in E$. Hence $\omega_{i j}^{(2)}$ depends only on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ by Lemma 4 . The cyclic conditions of part (iii) and part (iv) of this Lemma follow from the corresponding cyclic conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}}{\partial \omega_{l}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{l i}}{\partial x_{j}}=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $1 \leq l \leq k$. Then (iii) gives $\partial \omega_{i j}^{(2)} / \partial x_{l}=0$. It follows that $\omega_{i j}^{(2)}=0$ for $k+1 \leq i$, $j \leq n$.

The following three theorems are due to Yau and Rasoulian (1999)
Theorem 16: Let $E_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j}\left(\partial / \partial x_{j}\right)$ be a Euler operator in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables. Suppose that $m$ is an integer and $\xi$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r, r$ a positive integer, in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$ functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. If $r+m \geq 0$, then $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$ functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. If $r+m<0$, then $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree at most $-m$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$ functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Proof: First let $r+m \geq 0$, that is, $r+m+1>0$. Also let $D=\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\partial / \partial x_{k}\right)^{\alpha_{k}}, \quad \alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=r+1$ be a differential operator of order $r+1$. Since $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$
variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$-functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables, we have $D\left[E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi\right]=0$. On the other hand, in view of

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} E_{k}=E_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq k
$$

it is easy to see by induction that

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left[E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi\right]= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}}\right)^{\alpha_{k-1}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} \\
& \times\left[E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi\right] \\
= & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{l-1}}\right)^{\alpha_{k-1}} \\
& \times\left[E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} \xi+\left(\alpha_{k}+m\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \xi\right] \\
= & E_{k}(D \xi)+\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}+m\right) D \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have $E_{k}(D \xi)+(r+1+m) D \xi=0$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k}\left[x_{1}^{r+1+m} D \xi\right] & =(r+1+m) x_{1}^{r+1+m} D \xi+x_{1}^{r+1+m} E_{k}(D \xi) \\
& =x_{1}^{r+1+m}\left[E_{k}(D \xi)+(r+1+m) D \xi\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote $\phi=x_{1}^{r+1+m} D \xi$. Because $r+1+m>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi( & \left.x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-\phi\left(\epsilon x_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \int_{\epsilon}^{1}\left[x_{1} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{1}}\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.\quad+x_{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{k}}\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{t}\left(E_{k} \phi\right)\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{0}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$. Now let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then we get $\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right.$, $\left.x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$. This implies that

$$
D \xi=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\right)^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}\right)^{\alpha_{k}} \xi=0
$$

for all $\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}=r+1$ and $\alpha_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \geq 0$. In other words $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree at most $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$-functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. Now by two methods we can prove that $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$. One method is by induction on $r$ and using the same method as above; the other method is by assumption that

$$
\xi=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k} \leq s} a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}}, \quad s \leq r
$$

is a polynomial of degree $s$, and then using the definition of $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi$ and the hypothesis that the last one is a degree $r$ polynomial. We provide the proof using the second method. Let $\xi$ by a polynomial of degree $s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi= & E_{k}\left(\sum_{0 \leq|i| \leq s} a_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x^{i_{k}}\right)+m \\
& \times \sum_{0 \leq|i| \leq s} a_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{0<|i| \leq s}|i| a_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}}+m \\
& \times \sum_{0 \leq|i| \leq s} a_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{0<|i| \leq s}(|i|+m) a_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}} \\
& +m a_{0}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \sum_{0 \leq i \mid \leq r} b_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{i_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \quad$ and $\quad|i|=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k} \quad$ and $b_{i}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$. By looking at the coefficients on both sides we see that $s=r$ and $(|i|+m) a_{i}=b_{i}$ for all $i, 0<|i| \leq r$. That is, $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients being $C^{\infty}$ functions in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Now let $r+m<0$. In this case $m$ is a negative integer. Let $m=-m^{\prime}, m^{\prime}>0$. Then $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi=E_{k}(\xi)-$ $m^{\prime} \xi=P_{r}$ where $P_{r}$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$ functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{1}}}\left[E_{k}(\xi)-m^{\prime} \xi\right] & =\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{1}}} P_{r}=P_{r-1} \quad 1 \leq i_{1} \leq k \\
& \Rightarrow \quad E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}}}\right)-\left(m^{\prime}-1\right) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}}}=P_{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{r-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $r-1$. Using the same technique, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{2}}}\left[E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{i_{2}}}\right)-\left(m^{\prime}-1\right) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}}}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{2}}} P_{r-1}=P_{r-2}, \quad 1 \leq i_{2} \leq k \\
& \Rightarrow \quad E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \partial x_{i_{2}}}\right)-\left(m^{\prime}-2\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \partial x_{i_{2}}}=P_{r-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{r-2}$ is a polynomial of degree $r-2$. After $m^{\prime}-1$ times, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}-1} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}-1}}}\right)-\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}-1} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}-1}}}=P_{r-\left(m^{\prime}-1\right)} \\
& \quad 1 \leq i_{m^{\prime}-1} \leq k
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{r-\left(m^{\prime}-1\right)}$ is a polynomial of degree 0 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables, i.e. a $C^{\infty}$-function in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Once more, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left[E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}-1} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}-1}}}\right)-\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}-1} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}-1}}}\right]=0 \quad 1 \leq i_{m^{\prime}} \leq k \\
& \Rightarrow \quad E_{k}\left(\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\epsilon>0$. By the same technique we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(\epsilon x_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \\
& =\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad=\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{t} E_{k}\left[\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(t x_{1}, \ldots, t x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \mathrm{d} t\right. \\
& =\int_{\epsilon}^{1} \frac{0}{t} \mathrm{~d} t=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
&=\frac{\partial^{m^{\prime}} \xi}{\partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}}}}\left(0, \ldots, 0, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The right-hand side is a function of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. This means that $\partial^{m^{\prime}-1} \xi / \partial x_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial x_{i_{m^{\prime}-1}}$ is a linear function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$-functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Now by induction, we conclude that $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree at most $m^{\prime}$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables with coefficients in $C^{\infty}$-functions of $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Theorem 17: Let $E_{k}=x_{1}\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right)+\cdots+x_{k}\left(\partial / \partial x_{k}\right)$ be an Euler operator in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables. Suppose that $m$ is a positive constant and $\xi$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. Then $\xi$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables.

Proof: By Theorem 16, $\xi=\sum_{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq r} a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}$ $\ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}$ and $a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$.

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi= & \sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq r}|\alpha| a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{k}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} \\
& +m \sum_{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq r} a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} \\
= & \sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq r}(|\alpha|+m) a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} \\
& +m a_{0}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\
= & \sum_{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq r} p_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ s are polynomials in $x_{k_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (because $E_{k}(\xi)+m \xi$ is a polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, so we may assume that it is a polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ with coefficients being polynomials in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ). Now, looking at both sides, we conclude that $(|\alpha|+m) a_{\alpha}=p_{\alpha}$, for all $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right), 0<|\alpha| \leq r ;$ in other words all $a_{\alpha}, 0<|\alpha| \leq r$ are polynomials and also $a_{0}=(1 / m) p_{0}$ is a polynomial, and hence $\xi$ is a polynomial.

Remark: Theorem 17 is false if $m=0$. It is possible that $E_{k}(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables, but $\xi$ is not a degree $r$ polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. For example, we can simply take $\xi$ to be any degree $r$ polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables plus a transcendental function in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables.

Theorem 18: Let

$$
E_{k}=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cdots+x_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

be an Euler operator in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables. Suppose that $\xi$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $E_{k}(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. Then $\xi=P_{r}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+$ $a\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where $P_{r}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ and $a\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Proof: In view of Theorem 16, $\quad \xi=\sum_{0 \leq|\alpha| \leq r}$ $a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ and $|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots \alpha_{k}$ and $a_{\alpha}\left(\alpha_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$. Then $E_{k}(\xi)=\sum_{0<|\alpha| \leq r}|\alpha| a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, which is a polynomial of degree $r$ in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. Therefore $a_{\alpha}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $|\alpha| \geq 1$, are polynomials. Theorem 18 follows immediately.

Lemma 9: Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Let $k$ be the quadratic rank of E. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n, \omega_{i j}$ and $\alpha_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} \omega_{i j} \in E$ are polynomials of degree 2 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. Furthermore, we have the following relationships:
(i) $E_{k}\left(\omega_{i j}\right)+2 \omega_{i j}=\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i, j \in k$;
(ii) $E_{k}\left(\omega_{i j}\right)+\omega_{i j}=\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n ;$
(iii) $E_{k}\left(\omega_{i j}\right)+\omega_{i j}=\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k, k+1 \leq i \leq n ;$
(iv) $E_{k}\left(\omega_{i j}\right)=\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}, \quad \forall k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$

Proof: By Lemma 2, we have $\omega_{i j} \in E$ and $\alpha_{i}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[L_{0}, D_{j}\right], p_{0}\right] \in E$ where $p_{0}$ is defined by (11). Theorem 2 implies that $\omega_{i j}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are polynomials of degree 2 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2}$ variables. The relationships (i)-(iv) follow immediately from the definition of $E_{k}\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$ and $\alpha_{i}$. For example, we give the proof of (i) here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\partial\left(x_{l} \omega_{i l}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=\omega_{i j}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{l} \frac{\partial \omega_{i l}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{\partial\left(x_{l} \omega_{j l}\right)}{\partial x_{i}}=\omega_{j i}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{l} \frac{\partial \omega_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}} \\
\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial \alpha_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=2 \omega_{j i}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{l}\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial \omega_{i l}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \\
=2 \omega_{j i}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{l}\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{l i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \\
=2 \omega_{j i}+\sum_{l=1}^{k} x_{l} \frac{\partial \omega_{j i}}{\partial x_{l}}=2 \omega_{j i}+E_{k}\left(\omega_{j i}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 4: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then
$\Omega=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l|l}P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \\ \hline P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+P_{2}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\end{array}\right)$ i.e. $\omega_{i j} s$ are polynomials of degree 1 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables for $1 \leq i \leq k$ or $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\omega_{i j}$ are polynomials of degree 1 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables plus polynomials of degree 2 in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$.
Proof: This follows from Theorems 17 and 18 and Lemma 9

Theorem 19: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then

$$
\Omega=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) & P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \\
\hline P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) & P_{1}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e.
(i) $\omega_{i j}$ is a polynomial of degree 1 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ or $1 \leq j \leq k$
(ii) $\omega_{i j}$ is a polynomial degree 1 in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \in n$.

Proof: Since $\alpha_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} \omega_{i j}$ is a quadratic polynomial in $E$ by Lemma 9, it cannot depend on $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables for $1 \leq i \leq n$ according to Lemma 4, (i) follows immediately. If $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$, by using the cyclic relationship

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{i j}}{\partial x_{l}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{l i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial \omega_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}=0
$$

we have $\partial \omega_{i j} / \partial x_{l}=0$ for $1 \leq l \leq k$. This means that $\omega_{i j}$ are independent of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Now $\omega_{i j}=p_{1}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+p_{2}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for $k+1 \leq i$, $j \leq n$. Since $\omega_{i j}^{(2)} \in E$ as a quadratic polynomial in $E$ cannot depend on $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables for $k+1 \leq i$, $j \leq n$ according to Theorem 2, it follows that $p_{2}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$.

Lemma 10: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. With the same notation as in (39), if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S_{l}} x_{i} \alpha_{i}=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ s are homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in $E$, then $\alpha_{i}=0$ for all $i \in S_{l}$.
Proof: Let $X_{i}=\left(x_{k_{i-1}+1}, x_{k_{i-1}+2}, \ldots, x_{k_{i}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $X=$ $\left.x_{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $l=1$. Let $X^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(X_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \bar{X}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ where $\bar{X}_{1}$ is the complementing variable of $X_{1}$ in $X$. Write

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i}(X)= & \alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)+\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{1}\right) \\
& +\left[\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{1}\right)\right] \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (47) is still true if we replace $\alpha_{i}$ in (47) by one of the three terms on the right-hand side of (48). We see immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{i}\right)=0 \quad \forall i \in S_{1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)=\lambda_{i} p_{1} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the corresponding equation of (47) for $\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S_{1}} x_{i} \lambda_{i} p_{1}=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\lambda_{i}=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)=0 \quad \forall i \in S_{1} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{1}\right)$ is a sum of $2 X_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{i l} X_{l}$ for $l \geq 2$ and $R_{i l}$ is a constant multiple of an orthogonal matrix. Therefore the corresponding equation of (47) for $\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{1}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l \geq 2} X_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{1}} 2 x_{i} R_{i l}\right) X_{l}=\sum_{i \in S_{1}} x_{i} \sum_{l \geq 2} 2 X_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} R_{i l} X_{l}=0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{1}} 2 x_{i} R_{i l}\right)=0 \quad \forall l \geq 2 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $i_{0} \in S_{1}$, and let $x_{i_{0}}=1$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $i \neq i_{0}$. Then (54) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0) R_{i_{0} l}=0 \quad \forall l \geq 2 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{i_{0} l}$ is a constant multiple of an orthogonal matrix, we see that $R_{i_{0} l}=0, \forall l \geq 2$. This is true for all $i_{0} \in S_{1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}\left(X_{1}, 0\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(0, \bar{X}_{1}\right)=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have proved $\alpha_{i}=0$ by (49), (52) and (56)
Theorem 20: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. With the same notation as in (39), if $p \neq q$ and $i \in S_{p}, j \in S_{q}$, then $\omega_{i j}$ is a constant.

Proof: Recall that from (42), we have $\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} D_{i}$ and $\sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j} D_{j}$ in $E$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \in S_{p}} \sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{i} x_{j} \omega_{i j}=-\left[\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} D_{i}, \sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j} D_{j}\right] \in E \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorems 2 and 19, equation (57) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i \in S_{p}} \sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{i} x_{j} \omega_{i j}^{(1)} & =\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i}\left(\sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j} \omega_{i j}^{(1)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j}\left(\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} \omega_{i j}^{(1)}\right)=0 \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}$ depends only on $x_{m}$, where $m \in S_{p} \cup S_{q}$ for $i \in S_{p}$ and $j \in S_{q}$. Since $E$ is of maximal rank, $D_{j} \in E$ for any $j$. In particular, $\left[\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} D_{i}, D_{j}\right] \in E$ for $j \in S_{q}$, and $\left[\sum_{i \in S_{q}} x_{j} D_{j}, D_{i}\right] \in E$ for $i \in S_{p}$. In view of (iii) of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} \omega_{i j}^{(1)} \in E \quad \text { for } j \in S_{q} \\
& \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j} \omega_{i j}^{(1)} \in E \quad \text { for } i \in S_{p} \tag{59}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (58), (59) and Lemma 10 simply

$$
\sum_{i \in S_{p}} x_{i} \omega_{i j}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { for } j \in S_{q}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and } \quad \sum_{j \in S_{q}} x_{j} \omega_{i j}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { for } i \in S_{p} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first equation of (60) says that, for $i \in S_{p}$ and $j \in S_{q}$, $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}$ does not depend on the variable $x_{m}$ for $m \in S_{q}$. The second equation of (60) says that, for $i \in S_{p}$ and $j \in S_{q}$, $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}$ does not depend on the variable $x_{m}$ for $m \in S_{p}$., Hence $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}=0$
Theorem 21: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. With the same notation as in (39), if $i, j \in S_{l}$, then $\omega_{i j}$ is a constant.
Proof: Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $l=1$. For $1 \leq i \leq k_{1}, \alpha_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} \omega_{i j}$ is in $E$ by Lemma 9. In view of Theorem 20, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{i} & =\sum_{j=1}^{k_{1}} x_{j} \omega_{i j} \in E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_{i}\left(x_{k}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}} 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{k_{1}} x_{j} \omega_{i j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k_{1}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in E \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\omega_{i j}$ is a degree one polynomial in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k_{1}$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i j}^{(1)}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} A_{l}(i, j) x_{l} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (61) and (62) imply $\sum_{l, j=1}^{k_{1}} x_{j} x_{l} A_{l}(i, j) \in E$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k_{1}$. By Lemma $5, \quad \sum_{l, j=1}^{k_{1}} x_{j} x_{l} A_{l}(i, j)=\lambda$ $\sum_{i=1}^{k_{1}} x_{i}^{2}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l}(i, j)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leq l \neq j<k_{1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k_{1} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}(i, 1)=A_{2}(i, 2)=\cdots=A_{k_{1}}\left(i, k_{1}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that all the terms in (64) are also zero. Choose $l$ so that $1 \leq l \leq k_{1}$ and $l \neq i$. Then $A_{l}(i, l)=-A_{l}(l, i)=0$ by (63). In view of (64) and (63), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l}(i, j)=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq l, i, j \leq k_{1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $A_{l}(i, j)=\partial \omega_{i j}^{(1)} / \partial x_{l}$. Therefore (iv) of Lemma 8 implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{l}(i, j)+A_{j}(l, i)+A_{i}(j, l)=0 \\
& \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k_{1}, \quad k_{1}+1 \leq l \leq k
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A_{j}(l, i)=\partial \omega_{l i}^{(1)} / \partial x_{j}=0$ and $A_{i}(j, l)=\partial \omega_{j l}^{(1)} / \partial x_{i}=0$ by Theorem 20, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{l}(i, j)=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i, j \leq k_{1}, \quad k_{1}+1 \leq l \leq k \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we have shown that $\omega_{i j}^{(1)}=0$ for $1 \leq i$, $j \leq k_{1}$.
Theorem 22: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then

$$
\Omega=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l|l}
\text { Constants } & P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \\
\hline P_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) & P_{1}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

(i) $\omega_{i j}$ is a constant for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$,
(ii) $\omega_{i j}$ is a polynomial of degree one in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k, \quad k+1 \leq j \leq n \quad$ or $\quad k+1 \leq i \leq n$,
$1 \leq j \leq k$$\quad$
(iii) $\omega_{i j}$ is a polynomial of degree one in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Proof: This is an immediate consequence of Theorems 19,20 and 21.

## 6. Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem

In this section, we are going to prove that $\omega_{i j}$ is a constant for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We shall see that this statement follows from the weak Hessian matrix nondecomposition theorem which is a general theorem and has nothing to do with estimation algebras. The weak Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem was first proved by Wu et al. (2002). In this section, we shall prove the Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem, which is a stronger result than weak Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem.

Lemma 11: Suppose that $E$ is a finite dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then
(i) $\sum_{l=1}^{n} \omega_{j l} \omega_{i l}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{j} x_{i}} \in E$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$
(ii) $\eta$ is a polynomial of degree 4 .

Proof: (i) follows from (vi) of Lemma 2 and Theorem 19. From (i) and Theorem $19 \partial^{2} \eta / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}$ is a degree two polynomial for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \eta$. Therefore $\eta$ is a polynomial of degree 4.
Lemma 12: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Let $k$ be the quadratic rank. Let $\eta=\eta_{4}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)+$ polynomial of degree 3 in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables with coefficients degree at most 4 polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ variables. Then for any $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$
\sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \omega_{j l}^{(1)} \omega_{i l}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta_{4}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}
$$

where $\eta_{4}=\eta_{4}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomials of degree 4 in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables.
Proof: From Theorem 22 and Lemma 11, we know that for $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$
\sum_{l=k+1}^{n} \omega_{j l}^{(1)} \omega_{i l}^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta_{4}}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}
$$

is the homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 part of

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n} \omega_{j l} \omega_{i l}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{j} \partial x_{i}}
$$

in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ variables. The result follows immediately from Lemma 4.

The following notations and Lemma 13 were used and observed by Chen et al. (1997). Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta:= & \left(\omega^{(1)}\right)_{i l}, k+1 \leq i, l \leq n, \text { an }(n-k) \\
& \times(n-k) \text { anti-symmetric matrix } \\
= & \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} A_{j} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{j}=\left(A_{j}(p, q)\right), k+1 \leq p, q \leq n$, are $(n-k) \times$ $(n-k)$ anti-symmetric matrix with constant coefficients. The anti-symmetry of $\Delta$ and $A_{j}$ follows directly from that of $\Omega$.

Lemma 13: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. With the notations as above, then
(i) $\Delta \Delta^{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{2} H\left(\eta_{4}\right)$, where $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)=\left(\partial^{2} \eta_{4} / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)$, $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$, is the Hessian matrix of $\eta_{4}=$ $\eta_{4}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.
(ii) $A_{i}(j, l)+A_{l}(i, j)+A_{j}(l, i)=0$.

Proof: (i) follows from Lemma 12 while (ii) is a consequence of Lemma 8 (iv).

The following weak Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem is a general mathematical theorem which has independent interest besides non-linear filtering theory. For a $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ matrix with $n-k$ less than or equal to 4 , the theorem was proved in Chen et al. (1997).

Theorem 23: Let $\Delta=\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} A_{j} x_{j}$ be an $(n-k) \times$ $(n-k)$ anti-symmetric matrix where $A_{j}=\left(A_{j}(p, q)\right)$, $k+1 \leq p, q \leq n$, is an anti-symmetric matrix with constant coefficients. Suppose
$A_{i}(j, l)+A_{l}(i, j)+A_{j}(l, i)=0 \quad$ for all $k+1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$
Let $\eta_{4}=\eta_{4}\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in $x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)=\left(\partial^{2} \eta_{4} / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)$, $k+1 \leq i, j \leq n$, be the Hessian matrix of $\eta_{4}$. If $\Delta \Delta^{\mathrm{T}}=$ $\frac{1}{2} H\left(\eta_{4}\right)$, then $\Delta \equiv 0$, i.e. $A_{j}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq j \leq n$.

The weak Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem is a consequence of the following Hessian matrix non-decomposition theorem.

Theorem 24: Let $\eta_{4}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ over R. Let $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)=\left(\partial^{2} \eta_{4} / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Hessian matrix of
$\eta_{4}$. Then $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)$ cannot be decomposed as $\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\Delta(x)=\left(\beta_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is an anti-symmetric matrix with $\beta_{i j}$ linear functions in $x$, unless $\eta_{4}$ and $\Delta$ are trivial, i.e. $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)(x)=\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}$ implies $\Delta=0$ and $\eta_{4}=0$.

Let us write $\Delta(x)=A_{1} x_{1}+A_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+A_{n} x_{n}$ where $A_{l}$ is a $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix with real constant coefficients. Then the equation $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)(x)=\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}$ will give us a lot of quadratic equations in $A_{l}(i, j)$ $\left((i, j)\right.$ entry of the matrix $\left.A_{l}.\right), 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$. Although it is possible to prove that these quadratic equations can have only trivial solution for $n \leq 4$ (see Chen et al. (1997), pp. 1137-1138), it has been a challenging problem to algebraic geometors whether this system of quadratic equations in $A_{l}(i, j)$ can only admit trivial solution over $\mathbf{R}$ even for $n=5$.

To prove Theorem 24, we need two lemmas.
Lemma 14: Let $\eta_{4}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 4 in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ over $\mathbf{R}$. Let $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)=$ $\left(\partial^{2} \eta_{4} / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Hessian matrix of $\eta_{4}$. Let $\Delta(x)=\left(\beta_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}:=A_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+A_{n} x_{n} \quad$ where $A_{l}=$ $\left(A_{l}(i, j)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ are $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrices with coefficient in $\mathbf{R}$. Suppose that $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)(x)=\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{i}(j, l)\right]^{2} & =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{j}(i, l)\right]^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{i}(i, l) A_{j}(j, l)+A_{i}(j, l) A_{j}(i, l)\right] \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: Observe that $H\left(\eta_{4}\right)(x)=\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} \beta_{i l} \beta_{j l} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \beta_{j l}^{2}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \beta_{i l}^{2}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \beta_{i l} \beta_{j l}\right)
$$

Notice that $\beta_{i j}$ is linear in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. This leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \beta_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} & =2 \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \beta_{i l}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial \beta_{i l}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial \beta_{j l}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial \beta_{i l}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial \beta_{j l}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

As $A_{i}(j, l)=\partial \beta_{j l} / \partial x_{i}$, we see that (67) is equivalent to (69)

Lemma 15: Let $\eta(x)$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let $\tilde{\eta}(x)=\eta(R x)$ where $R$ is a $n \times n$ matrix. Then $H(\tilde{\eta})(x)=R^{\mathrm{T}} H(\eta)(R x) R$.
Proof: Let $y=R x$ where $r=\left(r_{i j}\right)$ is a $n \times n$ matrix. Then by chain rule, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial x_{i}(x)} & =\sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_{p}}(R x) \frac{\partial y_{p}}{\partial x_{i}}=\sum_{p=1}^{n} r_{p i} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_{p}}(R x) \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \tilde{\eta}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}(x) & =\sum_{p=1}^{n} r_{p i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left[\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y_{p}}(R x)\right] \\
& =\sum_{p=1}^{n} r_{p i} \sum_{q=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial y_{p} \partial y_{q}}(R x) \frac{\partial y_{q}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{p, q=1}^{n} r_{p i} \frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial y_{p} y_{q}}(R x) r_{q j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $H(\tilde{\eta})(x)=R^{\mathrm{T}} H(\eta)(R x) R$.
We are now ready to prove our main theorem by induction on $n$. For $n=1$, the theorem is trivially true. For $n=2$, by the antisymmetry of the matrice of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, we only need to show that $A_{1}(2,1)=0=A_{2}(1,2)$. But this follows immediately from (67) with $(i, j)=$ $(1,2)$.

We shall assume by induction hypothesis that our main theorem is true for $n-1$. For any $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix $R$, we have
$\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}=H(\eta)(x)$
$\Rightarrow R^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta(R x) R R^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta(R x)^{\mathrm{T}} R=R^{\mathrm{T}} H(\eta)(R x) R$
$\Rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}(x) \tilde{\Delta}(x)^{\mathrm{T}}=H(\tilde{\eta})(x)$ by Lemma 12
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\eta}(x)=\eta(R x) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Delta}(x)= & R^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta(R x) R \\
= & R^{\mathrm{T}}\left[A_{1}\left(r_{11} x_{1}+r_{12} x_{2}+\cdots+r_{1 n} x_{n}\right)+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+A_{n}\left(r_{n 1} x_{1}+r_{n 2} x_{2}+\cdots+r_{n n} x_{n}\right)\right] R \\
= & \tilde{A}_{1} x_{1}+\tilde{A}_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+\tilde{A}_{n} x_{n} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{l}=R^{\mathrm{T}} A_{1} R r_{1 l}+R^{\mathrm{T}} A_{2} R r_{2 l}+\cdots+R^{\mathrm{T}} A_{n} R r_{n l} \\
&  \tag{73}\\
& \quad 1 \leq l \leq n  \tag{74}\\
& \tilde{A}_{l}^{\mathrm{T}}=-\tilde{A}_{l}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\left(A_{1}(1,2), A_{1}(1,3), \ldots, A_{1}(1, n)\right) \neq 0$, then we shall take

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{c|cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & & & & \\
0 & & & \tilde{R} & \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0 & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{R}$ is a $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ orthogonal matrix such that

$$
\left(A_{1}(1,2), A_{1}(1,3), \ldots, A_{1}(1, n)\right) \cdot \tilde{R}=(a, 0, \ldots, 0), \quad a \neq 0
$$

Then

$$
\tilde{A}_{1}=R^{\mathrm{T}} A_{1} R=R^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0 & A_{1}(1,2) \cdots A_{1}(1, n) \\
\hline A_{1}(2,1) & \\
\vdots & B_{1} \\
A_{1}(n, 1) &
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{c|cccc}
0 & a & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline-a & & & & \\
0 & & \tilde{R}^{\mathrm{T}} B_{1} R & \\
\vdots & & & & \\
0 & & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e. $\left(\tilde{A}_{1}(1,2), \tilde{A}_{1}(1,3), \ldots, \tilde{A}_{1}(1, n)\right)=(a, 0, \ldots, 0)$. By applying Lemma 11 to (70), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l)\right]^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{2}(1, l)\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(1, l) \tilde{A}_{2}(2, l)\right. \\
& \left.+\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l) \tilde{A}_{2}(1, l)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \tilde{A}_{1}(2, l) \tilde{A}_{2}(1, l) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l)\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{2}(1, l)\right]^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{3}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l)\right]^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{2}(1, l)\right]^{2}, \\
& \frac{3}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{2} 1, l\right)^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l)\right]^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[\tilde{A}_{1}(2, l)\right]^{2}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \tilde{A}_{1}(1,2)=-\tilde{A}_{1}(2,1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradicts the fact that $\tilde{A}_{1}(1,2)=a \neq 0$. Therefore we conclude that $A_{1}(1, l)=0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq n$. Now we apply Lemma 11 with $i=1,2 \leq j \leq n$. Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)\right]^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{j}(1, l)\right]^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} A_{1}(j, l) A_{j}(1, l) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{j}(1, l)\right]^{2}\right. \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{3}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)\right]^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)\right]^{2}, \\
& \frac{3}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{j}(1, l)\right]^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned} \\
& \Rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{1}(j, l)\right]^{2}=0=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left[A_{j}(1, l)\right]^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow A_{1}=0 \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A_{l}=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & & & \\
\vdots & & B_{l} & \\
0 & & &
\end{array}\right) \quad 2 \leq l \leq n
$$

where $B_{l}$ is a $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ antisymmetric matrix.
Let $\bar{x}=\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{x})=B_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+B_{l} x_{l}$. Then

$$
\Delta(x)=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & & & \\
\vdots & & \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}) & \\
0 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since

$$
H\left(\eta_{4}\right)=\Delta(x) \Delta(x)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\begin{array}{c|ccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\hline 0 & & \\
\vdots & \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}) \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \\
0 &
\end{array}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \eta_{4}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{l}}=0 \quad 1 \leq l \leq n
$$

Thus $\eta_{4}$ is independent of $x_{1}$ variable. Denote $\bar{\eta}_{4}=$ $\eta_{4}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Then we have

$$
H\left(\bar{\eta}_{4}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \eta}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right)_{2 \leq i, j \leq n}=\bar{\Delta}(\bar{x}) \bar{\Delta}(\bar{x})^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

By induction hypothesis, we have $\bar{\Delta}(\bar{x})=0$. Therefore $\Delta(x)=0$.

## 7. Proof of the classification theorem

In this last section, we shall only outline the proof that $\omega_{i j}$ is a constant for $1 \leq i \leq k, k+1 \leq j \leq n$ or $k+1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k$. The details of the proof of the Lemmas and Propositions below can be found in Yau and Hu (preprint). Let $U_{i}$ be the space of differential operators with order at most $i$. The following Propositions and Lemmas will facilitate the proof of our classification theorem.

Lemma 16: Let $D_{i}=\left(\partial / \partial x_{i}\right)-f_{i}$ and $g$, $h$ be functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[g D_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots D_{s}^{i_{s}},\right.} & \left.h D_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots D_{t}^{j_{t}}\right] \\
= & i_{1} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{1}} D_{1}^{i_{1}-1} D_{2}^{i_{2}} \ldots D_{s}^{i_{s}} D_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots D_{t}^{j_{t}} \\
& +i_{s} g \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{s}} D_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots D_{s-1}^{i_{s-1}} D_{s-1}^{i_{s}-1} D_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots D_{t}^{j_{t}} \\
& -j_{1} h \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}} D_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots D_{s}^{i_{s}} D_{1}^{j_{1}-1} D_{2}^{j_{2}} \ldots D_{t}^{j_{t}} \\
& -\cdots-j_{t} h \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{t}} D_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots D_{s}^{i_{s}} D_{1}^{j_{1}} \ldots D_{t-1}^{j_{t-1}} D_{t}^{j_{t}-1} \\
& \left(\bmod U_{i,+\cdots+i_{s}+j_{1}+\cdots+j_{i}-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 17: Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank. Let $k$ be the quadratic rank of $E$. Then $\partial \omega_{i l} / \partial x_{j}=\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq k$.
Proposition 1: If $x_{k_{p-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{p}}^{2}$ is a basic quadratic form in $E\left(c f .{ }^{p-1}(41)\right)$ and $\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n, \quad k_{p-1}+1 \leq i, \quad j \leq k_{p} \quad$ and $i \neq j, \quad$ then $\partial \omega_{i l} / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $k_{p-1}+1 \leq i \leq k_{p}$.
Lemma 18: Let $x_{k_{r-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{r}}^{2}$ and $x_{k_{s-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{s}}^{2}$ be the basic forms in $E$ (cf. (41)), where $k_{r-1}<$ $k_{r} \leq k_{s-1}<k_{s}$. Let $\xi_{i j}=\sum_{l=k+1}^{n}\left(\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}\right) D_{l}$. Suppose $\sum_{j=k_{s-1}+1}^{\overline{k_{s}}} \xi_{p j} \xi_{q j}=0$ for all $k_{r-1}+1 \leq p, q \leq k_{r}, p \neq q$. Then $\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n, \quad k_{r-1}+1 \leq$ $i \leq k_{r}$ and $k_{s-1}+1 \leq j \leq k_{s}$.
Lemma 19: Let $x_{k_{r-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{r}}^{2}$ and $x_{k_{s-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{s}}^{2}$ be the basic quadratic forms in $E$ (cf. (41)), where $k_{r-1}<k_{r} \leq k_{s-1}<k_{s} . \quad$ Let $\quad \xi_{i j}=\sum_{l=k+1}^{n}\left(\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}\right) D_{l}$. Then $\sum_{j=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_{s}} \xi_{p j} \xi_{q j}=0$ for all $k_{r-1}+1 \leq p, q \leq k_{r}$, $p \neq q$ if and only if $\sum_{j=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_{s}} a_{j l_{1}}^{p} a_{j l_{2}}^{q}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l_{1}, \quad l_{2} \leq n, \quad k_{r-1}+1 \leq p, \quad q \leq k_{r}, \quad p \neq q$, where $a_{j l_{1}}^{p}=\partial \omega_{j l_{1}} / \partial x_{p}$.

Lemma 20: Let $x_{k_{r-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{r}}^{2}$ and $x_{k_{s-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{s}}^{2}$ be the basic quadratic forms in $E$ (cf. (41)), where $k_{r-1}<$ $k_{r} \leq k_{s-1}<k_{s}$. Assume that $\quad Q_{l}=\sum_{i=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_{r}} \sum_{j=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_{s}}$ $a_{j l}^{i} x_{i} x_{j} \in E$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n$, where $a_{j l}^{i}=\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}$. Then $\sum_{j=k_{s-1}+1}^{k_{s}} a_{j l_{1}}^{p} a_{j l_{2}}^{q}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l_{1}, \quad l_{2} \leq n$, $k_{r-1}+1 \leq p, q \leq k_{r}$.
Proposition 2: Let $x_{k_{r-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{r}}^{2}$ and $x_{k_{s-1}+1}^{2}+$ $\cdots+x_{k_{s}}^{2}$ be the basic quadratic forms in $E$ (cf, (41)), where $k_{r-1}<k_{r} \leq k_{s-1}<k_{s}$. Then $\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n, k_{r-1}+1 \leq i \leq k_{r}$ and $k_{s-1}+1 \leq j \leq k_{s}$.

Proposition 3: Let $x_{k_{r-1}+1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{k_{r}}^{2}$ be a basic quadratic form in $E$ (cf. (41)). Then $\partial \omega_{j l} / \partial x_{i}=0$ for all $k+1 \leq l \leq n, k_{r-1}+1 \leq i, j \leq k_{r}$ and $i \neq j$.

Theorem 25: Suppose that $E$ is a finite-dimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank. Then $\Omega=\left(\omega_{i j}\right)$ is a matrix with constant coefficients.

Proof: Theorem 24, we only need to prove $\omega_{i j}$ are constant functions $1 \leq i \leq k, \quad k+1 \leq j \leq n$. This follows from Propositions 1-3.

The following is the classification theorem of finitedimensional estimation algebra of maximal rank.

Theorem 26: Suppose that the state space of the filtering system (1) is of dimension $n$. If $E$ is the finitedimensional estimation algebra with maximal rank, then $f=\nabla \phi+\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ where $\phi$ is a smooth function and $\alpha_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are affine functions and $E$ is a real vector space of dimension $2 n+2$ with basis given by 1 , $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ and $L_{0}$.

Proof: This follows from Theorems 13 and 25.

## 8. Conclusion

In this paper we explain why the theory of estimation algebras plays an important role in non-linear filtering. We show how to use the Wei-Norman approach to construct finite dimensional filters from finite dimensional estimation algebras. We survey some results in estimation algebras after 1984. We give a self-contained proof of complete classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras of maximal rank in one place. The proof given here is simpler than those proofs scattering in several papers. This provides the readers with a complete coherent view of the important topic on classification of finite-dimensional estimation algebras.
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