

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**DERIVATION LIE ALGEBRAS OF
NEW k -TH LOCAL ALGEBRAS OF
ISOLATED HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES**

NAVEED HUSSAIN, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU AND HUAIQING ZUO

DERIVATION LIE ALGEBRAS OF NEW k -TH LOCAL ALGEBRAS OF ISOLATED HYPERSURFACE SINGULARITIES

NAVEED HUSSAIN, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU AND HUIAIQING ZUO

Let $(V, 0) = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0\}$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity with $\text{mult}(f) = m$. Let $J_k(f)$ be the ideal generated by all k -th order partial derivative of f . For $1 \leq k \leq m - 1$, the new object $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ is defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the new k -th local algebra $M_k(V)$, where $M_k(V) := \mathcal{O}_n/(f + J_1(f) + \dots + J_k(f))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\delta_k(V)$. This number $\delta_k(V)$ is a new numerical analytic invariant. We compute $\mathcal{L}_3(V)$ for fewnomial isolated singularities (binomial, trinomial) and obtain the formulas of $\delta_3(V)$. We also formulate a sharp upper estimate conjecture for the $\delta_k(V)$ of weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities and verify this conjecture for large class of singularities. Furthermore, we formulate another inequality conjecture: $\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V)$, $k \geq 1$ and verify it for low-dimensional fewnomial singularities.

1. Introduction

Finite-dimensional Lie algebras are semidirect product of the semisimple Lie algebras and solvable Lie algebras. Simple Lie algebras have been well understood, but not the solvable (nilpotent) Lie algebras. Brieskorn [1971] gave a beautiful connection between simple Lie algebras and simple singularities [Elashvili and Khimshiashvili 2006]. Thus it is extremely important to establish a connection between singularities and solvable (nilpotent) Lie algebras.

The algebra of germs of holomorphic functions at the origin of \mathbb{C}^n is denoted as \mathcal{O}_n . Clearly, \mathcal{O}_n can be naturally identified with the algebra of convergent power series in n indeterminates with complex coefficients. As a ring \mathcal{O}_n has a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , the set of germs of holomorphic functions which vanish at the origin. For any isolated hypersurface singularity $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where

Both Yau and Zuo are supported by NSFC Grants 11961141005. Zuo is supported by NSFC Grant 11771231. Yau is supported by Tsinghua University start-up fund and Tsinghua University Education Foundation fund (042202008). Naveed is supported by innovation team project of Humanities and Social Sciences in Colleges and universities of Guangdong Province (No. 2020wcxtd008).

MSC2020: 14B05, 32S05.

Keywords: isolated hypersurface singularity, Lie algebra, local algebra.

$V = \{f = 0\}$, Yau considers the Lie algebra of derivations of moduli algebra $A(V) := \mathcal{O}_n / (f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$, i.e., $L(V) = \text{Der}(A(V), A(V))$. It is known that $L(V)$ is a finite-dimensional solvable Lie algebra [Yau 1986; 1991]. $L(V)$ is called the Yau algebra of V in [Yu 1996] and [Khimshiashvili 1997] in order to distinguish from Lie algebras of other types appearing in singularity theory [Arnold et al. 1985; Aleksandrov and Martin 1992]. The Yau algebra plays an important role in singularities [Seeley and Yau 1990]. Yau and his collaborators have been systematically studying various derivation Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities since the eighties (see, e.g., [Yau 1983; Chen 1995; 1999; Chen et al. 1995; 2019; 2020a; Chen et al. 2020b; Benson and Yau 1987; Xu and Yau 1996; Yau and Zuo 2016a; 2016b; Hussain et al. 2018; 2020a; 2020b; 2021b; 2021c; 2021d; 2021a; ≥ 2021 , Ma et al. 2020; 2021; Hu et al. 2018]).

In the theory of isolated singularities, one always wants to find invariants associated to the isolated singularities. Hopefully with enough invariants found, one can distinguish between isolated singularities. However, not many invariants are known. In [Chen et al. 2020b; Hussain et al. 2020b; 2021a; 2021b; Ma et al. 2021], Yau, Zuo, Hussain, and their collaborators gave many new natural connections between the set of complex analytic isolated hypersurface singularities and the set of finite-dimensional solvable (nilpotent) Lie algebras. They introduced three different ways to associate Lie algebras to isolated hypersurface singularities. These constructions are helpful to understand the solvable (nilpotent) Lie algebras from the geometric point of view [Chen et al. 2020b].

Firstly, a new series of derivation Lie algebras $L_k(V)$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ associated to the isolated hypersurface singularity $(V, 0)$ defined by the holomorphic function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are introduced in [Hussain et al. 2021a]. Let $\text{Hess}(f)$ be the Hessian matrix (f_{ij}) of the second order partial derivatives of f and $h(f)$, the Hessian of f , be the determinant of the matrix $\text{Hess}(f)$. More generally, for each k satisfying $0 \leq k \leq n$ we denote by $h_k(f)$ the ideal in \mathcal{O}_n generated by all $k \times k$ -minors in the matrix $\text{Hess}(f)$. In particular, $h_0(f) = 0$, the ideal $h_n(f) = (h(f))$ is a principal ideal. For each k as above, the graded k -th Hessian algebra of the polynomial f is defined by

$$H_k(f) = \mathcal{O}_n / (f + J(f) + h_k(f)).$$

It is known that the isomorphism class of the local k -th Hessian algebra $H_k(f)$ is contact invariant of f , i.e., it depends only on the isomorphism class of the germ $(V, 0)$ [Dimca and Sticlaru 2015, Lemma 2.1]. In [Hussain et al. 2021a], we investigated the new Lie algebra $L_k(V)$ which is the Lie algebra of derivations of k -th Hessian algebra $H_k(f)$. The dimension of $L_k(V)$, denoted by $\lambda_k(V)$, is a new numerical analytic invariant of an isolated hypersurface singularity.

In particular, when $k = 0$, those are exactly the previous Yau algebra and Yau number, i.e., $L_0(V) = L(V)$, $\lambda_0(V) = \lambda(V)$. Thus, the $L_k(V)$ is a generalization

of Yau algebra $L(V)$. Moreover, $L_n(V)$ has been investigated intensively and many interesting results were obtained. In [Chen et al. 2020b], it was shown that $L_n(V)$ completely distinguish ADE singularities. Furthermore, the authors have proven Torelli-type theorems for some simple elliptic singularities. Therefore, this new Lie algebra $L_n(V)$ is a subtle invariant of isolated hypersurface singularities. It is a natural question whether we can distinguish singularities by only using part of information of $L_n(V)$. In [Hussain et al. 2020a], we studied generalized Cartan matrices of the new Lie algebra $L_n(V)$ for simple hypersurface singularities and simple elliptic singularities. We introduced many other numerical invariants, namely, dimension of the maximal nilpotent subalgebras (i.e., nilradical of nilpotent Lie algebra) $g(V)$ of $L_n(V)$; dimension of maximal torus of $g(V)$, etc. We have proven that the generalized Cartan matrix of $L_n(V)$ can be used to characterize the ADE singularities except the pair of A_6 and D_5 singularities [Hussain et al. 2020a].

Secondly, recall that the Mather–Yau theorem was slightly generalized in [Greuel et al. 2007, Theorem 2.26]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f, g \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_n$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $(V(f), 0) \cong (V(g), 0)$.
- (2) For all $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f)) \cong \mathcal{O}_n/(g, \mathfrak{m}^k J(g))$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra.
- (3) There is some $k \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f)) \cong \mathcal{O}_n/(g, \mathfrak{m}^k J(g))$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra, where $J(f) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)$.

In particular, if $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ above, then the claim of the equivalence of (1) and (3) is exactly the Mather–Yau theorem [1982].

Motivated by Theorem 1.1, in [Hussain et al. 2020b; 2021b], we introduced the new series of k -th Yau algebras $L^k(V)$ (or $L^k((V, 0))$) which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the moduli algebra $A^k(V) = \mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f))$, $k \geq 0$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal, i.e., $L^k(V) := \text{Der}(A^k(V), A^k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\lambda^k(V)$ (or $\lambda^k((V, 0))$). This series of integers $\lambda^k(V)$ are new numerical analytic invariants of singularities. It is natural to call it the k -th Yau number. In particular, when $k = 0$, those are exactly the previous Yau algebra and Yau number, i.e., $L^0(V) = L(V)$, $\lambda^0(V) = \lambda(V)$. Yau [1983] observed that the Yau algebra for the one-parameter family of simple elliptic singularities \tilde{E}_6 is constant. It turns out that the 1-st Yau algebra $L^1(V)$ is also constant for the family of simple elliptic singularities \tilde{E}_6 . However, Torelli-type theorem for $L^k(V)$ for all $k > 1$ do hold on \tilde{E}_6 [Hu et al. 2018]. In general, the invariant $L^k(V)$, $k \geq 1$ are more subtle than the Yau algebra $L(V)$.

Finally, in [Ma et al. 2021], the authors introduce a new series of invariants to singularities. Let $(V, 0)$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by a holomorphic function $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The multiplicity $\text{mult}(f)$ of the

singularity $(V, 0)$ is defined to be the order of the lowest nonvanishing term in the power series expansion of f at 0.

Definition 1.2. Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity with $\text{mult}(f) = m$. Let $J_k(f)$ be the ideal generated by all the k -th order partial derivative of f , i.e.,

$$J_k(f) = \left\langle \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_k}} \mid 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n \right\rangle.$$

We define the new k -th local algebra, $M_k(V) := \mathcal{O}_n / (f + J_1(f) + \cdots + J_k(f))$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$. In particular, $M_m(V) = 0$, $M_1(V) = A(V)$, and $M_2(V) = H_1(V)$.

Remark 1.3. If f defines a weighted homogeneous isolated singularity at the origin, then $f \in J_1(f) \subset J_2(f) \subset \cdots \subset J_k(f)$, and it follows that $M_k(V) = \mathcal{O}_n / (f + J_1(f) + \cdots + J_k(f)) = \mathcal{O}_n / (J_k(f))$.

The isomorphism class of the k -th local algebra $M_k(V)$ is a contact invariant of $(V, 0)$, i.e., depends only on the isomorphism class of the germ $(V, 0)$. The dimension of $M_k(V)$ is denoted by $d_k(V)$ which is a new numerical analytic invariant of an isolated hypersurface singularity.

Theorem 1.4 [Ma et al. 2021]. *Suppose*

$$(V, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\},$$

$$(W, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$$

are isolated hypersurface singularities. If $(V, 0)$ is biholomorphically equivalent to $(W, 0)$, then $M_k(V)$ is isomorphic to $M_k(W)$ as a \mathbb{C} -algebra for all $1 \leq k \leq m$, where $m = \text{mult}(f) = \text{mult}(g)$.

Based on [Theorem 1.4](#), it is natural to introduce the new series of k -th derivation Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the k -th local algebra $M_k(V)$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_k(V) = \text{Der}(M_k(V), M_k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\delta_k(V)$. This number $\delta_k(V)$ is also a new numerical analytic invariant. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_1(V) = L_0(V) = L(V)$, $\mathcal{L}_2(V) = L_1(V)$. In [Ma et al. 2021], it is proven that the $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ are nonnegatively graded for weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities in low dimension.

We have seen that these $L^k(V)$, $L_k(V)$, $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ are generalization of the Yau algebra $L(V)$. These are subtle invariants of singularities. We have reasons to believe that these three new series of derivation Lie algebras will also play an important role in the study of singularities.

A natural interesting question is: can we bound sharply the analytic invariant $\delta_k(V)$ by only using the topological invariant [Saeki 1988] weight types of the weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularities? We propose the following sharp upper estimate conjecture.

Conjecture 1.5. For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, assume $\delta_k(\{x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 0\}) = h_k(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ ($n \geq 2$) be an isolated singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of weight type $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n; 1)$. Then $\delta_k(V) \leq h_k(1/w_1, \dots, 1/w_n)$.

Moreover, we also propose the following inequality conjecture.

Conjecture 1.6. With the above notations, let $(V, 0)$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Similar conjectures are investigated for $\lambda_k(V)$ and $\lambda^k(V)$ (cf. [Hussain et al. 2018; 2021a; 2021d; Yau and Zuo 2016b]). Note that $\mathcal{L}_1(V) = L_0(V) = L(V)$, $\mathcal{L}_2(V) = L_1(V)$, thus $\delta_1 = \lambda_0 = \lambda^0$, $\delta_2 = \lambda_1$. The Conjecture 1.5 is true for the following cases:

- (1) Binomial singularities (see Definition 2.6) when $k = 1$ [Yau and Zuo 2016b].
- (2) Trinomial singularities (see Definition 2.6) when $k = 1$ [Hussain et al. 2018].
- (3) Binomial and trinomial singularities when $k = 2$ [Hussain et al. 2021a].

The Conjecture 1.6 is true for binomial and trinomial singularities when $k = 1$ [Hussain et al. 2021a].

The main purpose of this paper is to verify Conjectures 1.5 and 1.6 for binomial and trinomial singularities when k is small. We obtain the following main results.

Theorem A. Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 0\}$ ($n \geq 2$, $a_i \geq 5$, $1 \leq i \leq n$). Then

$$\delta_3(V) = h_3(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j - 4}{a_j - 3} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - 3).$$

Theorem B. Let $(V, 0)$ be a binomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ (see Corollary 2.8) with weight type $(w_1, w_2; 1)$ and $\text{mult}(f) \geq 5$. Then

$$\delta_3(V) \leq h_3\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\frac{1}{w_j} - 4}{\frac{1}{w_j} - 3} \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 3\right).$$

Theorem C. Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (see Proposition 2.9) with weight type $(w_1, w_2, w_3; 1)$ and $\text{mult}(f) \geq 5$. Then

$$\delta_3(V) \leq h_3\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\frac{1}{w_j} - 4}{\frac{1}{w_j} - 3} \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - 3\right).$$

Theorem D. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a binomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ (see [Corollary 2.8](#)) with weight type $(w_1, w_2; 1)$ and $\text{mult}(f) \geq 5$. Then*

$$\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Theorem E. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a trinomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (see [Proposition 2.9](#)) with weight type $(w_1, w_2, w_3; 1)$ and $\text{mult}(f) \geq 5$. Then*

$$\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

2. Generalities on derivation Lie algebras of isolated singularities

In this section we shall briefly defined the basic definitions and important results which are helpful to solve the problem. The following basic concepts and results will be used to compute the derivation Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities.

Let A, B be associative algebras over \mathbb{C} . The subalgebra of endomorphisms of A generated by the identity element and left and right multiplications by elements of A is called multiplication algebra $M(A)$ of A . The centroid $C(A)$ is defined as the set of endomorphisms of A which commute with all elements of $M(A)$. Obviously, $C(A)$ is a unital subalgebra of $\text{End}(A)$. The following statement is a particular case of a general result from Proposition 1.2 of [[Block 1969](#)]. Let $S = A \otimes B$ be a tensor product of finite-dimensional associative algebras with units. Then

$$\text{Der } S \cong (\text{Der } A) \otimes C(B) + C(A) \otimes (\text{Der } B).$$

We will only use this result for commutative associative algebras with unit, in which case the centroid coincides with the algebra itself and one has following result for commutative associative algebras A, B :

Theorem 2.1 [[Block 1969](#)]. *For commutative associative algebras A, B ,*

$$(2-1) \quad \text{Der } S \cong (\text{Der } A) \otimes B + A \otimes (\text{Der } B).$$

We shall use this formula in the sequel.

Definition 2.2. Let J be an ideal in an analytic algebra S . Then $\text{Der}_J S \subseteq \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} S$ is Lie subalgebra of all $\sigma \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} S$ for which $\sigma(J) \subset J$.

We shall use the following well-known result to compute the derivations.

Theorem 2.3 [[Yau and Zuo 2016b](#)]. *Let J be an ideal in $R = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism of Lie algebras*

$$(\text{Der}_J R)/(J \cdot \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} R) \cong \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(R/J).$$

Recall that a derivation of commutative associative algebra A is defined as a linear endomorphism D of A satisfying the Leibniz rule: $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$. Thus for such an algebra A one can consider the Lie algebra of its derivations $\text{Der}(A, A)$ with the bracket defined by the commutator of linear endomorphisms.

Definition 2.4. Let $(V, 0)$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity. The new series of k -th derivation Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ (or $\mathcal{L}_k((V, 0))$) which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the k -th local algebra $M_k(V)$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_k(V) = \text{Der}(M_k(V), M_k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\delta_k(V)$ (or $\delta_k((V, 0))$). This number $\delta_k(V)$ is also a new numerical analytic invariant

Definition 2.5. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is called quasihomogeneous (or weighted homogeneous) if there exist positive rational numbers w_1, \dots, w_n (called weights of indeterminates x_j) and d such that, for each monomial $\prod x_j^{k_j}$ appearing in f with nonzero coefficient, one has $\sum w_j k_j = d$. The number d is called the quasihomogeneous degree (w -degree) of f with respect to weights w_j and is denoted $\text{deg } f$. The collection $(w; d) = (w_1, \dots, w_n; d)$ is called the quasihomogeneity type (qh-type) of f .

Definition 2.6 [Khovanskii 1991]. An isolated hypersurface singularity in \mathbb{C}^n is fewnomial if it can be defined by a n -nomial in n variables and it is a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity if it can be defined by a weighted homogeneous fewnomial. The 2-nomial (resp. 3-nomial) isolated hypersurface singularity is also called binomial (resp. trinomial) singularity.

Proposition 2.7. *Let f be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity with $\text{mult}(f) \geq 3$. Then f analytically equivalent to a linear combination of the following three series:*

$$\text{(Type A)} \quad x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_n^{a_n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

$$\text{(Type B)} \quad x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

$$\text{(Type C)} \quad x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} x_n + x_n^{a_n} x_1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Proposition 2.7 has an immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Each binomial isolated singularity is analytically equivalent to one from the three series:*

$$\text{(A)} \quad x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}.$$

$$\text{(B)} \quad x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2}.$$

$$\text{(C)} \quad x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_1.$$

Wolfgang Ebeling and Atsushi Takahashi [2011] give the following classification of weighted homogeneous fewnomial singularities in case of three variables.

Proposition 2.9 [Ebeling and Takahashi 2011]. *Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity with $\text{mult}(f) \geq 3$. Then f is analytically equivalent to one of the following five types:*

(Type 1) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$.

(Type 2) $x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + x_3^{a_3}$.

(Type 3) $x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_3 + x_3^{a_3} x_1$.

(Type 4) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3} x_1$.

(Type 5) $x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_1 + x_3^{a_3}$.

3. Proof of theorems

In order to prove the main theorems, we need to prove the following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + \cdots + x_n^{a_n}$ ($a_i \geq 5, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n}; 1)$. Then*

$$\delta_3(V) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j - 4}{a_j - 3} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - 3).$$

Proof. The generalized moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension $\prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - 3)$ and has a monomial basis of the form

$$\{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \dots, 0 \leq i_n \leq a_n - 4\},$$

with the following relations:

$$(3-1) \quad x_1^{a_1-3} = 0, \quad x_2^{a_2-3} = 0, \quad x_3^{a_3-3} = 0, \quad \dots, \quad x_n^{a_n-3} = 0.$$

In order to compute a derivation D of $M_3(V)$ it suffices to indicate its values on the generators x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which can be written in terms of the monomial basis.

Without loss of generality, we write

$$Dx_j = \sum_{i_1=0}^{a_1-4} \sum_{i_2=0}^{a_2-4} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{a_n-4} c_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}^j x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Using the above relations (3-1) one easily finds the necessary and sufficient conditions defining a derivation of $M_3(V)$ as follows:

$$c_{0, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_n}^1 = 0; \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \quad \dots, \quad 0 \leq i_n \leq a_n - 4;$$

$$c_{i_1, 0, i_3, \dots, i_n}^2 = 0; \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \quad \dots, \quad 0 \leq i_n \leq a_n - 4;$$

$$c_{i_1, i_2, 0, \dots, i_n}^3 = 0; \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad \dots, \quad 0 \leq i_n \leq a_n - 4;$$

⋮

$$c_{i_1, i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0}^n = 0; \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad \dots, \quad 0 \leq i_{n-1} \leq a_{n-1} - 4.$$

It follows from [Proposition 3.1](#) that we have

$$h_3(a_1, a_2) = 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 24.$$

After putting the weight type $(\frac{a_2-1}{a_1a_2}, \frac{1}{a_2}; 1)$ of binomial isolated singularity of type B we have

$$h_3\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right) = \frac{2a_1a_2^2}{a_2-1} - 7\left(\frac{a_1a_2}{a_2-1} + a_2\right) + 24.$$

Finally we need to show that

$$(3-3) \quad 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 27 \leq \frac{2a_1a_2^2}{a_2-1} - 7\left(\frac{a_1a_2}{a_2-1} + a_2\right) + 24.$$

After solving [\(3-3\)](#) we have $a_1(a_2 - 7) + a_2(a_1 - 3) + 3 \geq 0$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a binomial isolated singularity of type C which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1$ ($a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4$) with weight type $(\frac{a_2-1}{a_1a_2-1}, \frac{a_1-1}{a_1a_2-1}; 1)$.*

$$\delta_3(V) = \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 30 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, \\ a_2 & \text{if } a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, assuming that $\text{mult}(f) \geq 6$, we have

$$2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 30 \leq \frac{2(a_1a_2-1)^2}{(a_1-1)(a_2-1)} - 7(a_1a_2-1)\left(\frac{a_1+a_2-2}{(a_1-1)(a_2-1)}\right) + 24.$$

Proof. The generalized moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension $a_1a_2 - 3(a_1 + a_2) + 11$ and has a monomial basis of the form

$$(3-4) \quad \{x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; x_1^{a_1-3}; x_2^{a_2-3}\}.$$

Similarly, we obtain the following derivations which form a basis of $\text{Der } M_3(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}\partial_1, \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}\partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; \\ & x_2^{a_2-4}\partial_1; \quad x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_1; \quad x_1^{a_1-3}\partial_1; \quad x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_2; \quad x_1^{a_1-4}\partial_2; \quad x_1^{a_1-3}\partial_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 30.$$

In the case of $a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4$, we have the following bases of Lie algebra:

$$x_2^{i_2}\partial_2, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 3; \quad x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_1; \quad x_1\partial_1; \quad x_1\partial_2.$$

By [Proposition 3.1](#) and binomial isolated singularity of type C, we have

$$h_3\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right) = \frac{2(a_1a_2-1)^2}{(a_1-1)(a_2-1)} - 7\left(\frac{a_1a_2-1}{a_2-1} + \frac{a_1a_2-1}{a_1-1}\right) + 24.$$

Finally we need to show that

$$(3-5) \quad 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 30 \\ \leq \frac{2(a_1a_2 - 1)^2}{(a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1)} - 7(a_1a_2 - 1) \left(\frac{a_1 + a_2 - 2}{(a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1)} \right) + 24.$$

After solving (3-5), we have

$$a_1a_2^2[(a_2 - 2)(a_1 - 2) - a_1(a_2 - 5)] + a_2^3 + 4a_1^2a_2 + 10a_2^2(a_1 - 3) \\ + 6a_1a_2(a_1 - 3) + 3a_1^2(a_2 - 3) + a_1a_2(a_1 - 3) + 15a_1 + 2(a_2 - 3) \geq 0.$$

In the case of $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 \geq 4$, we need to show that

$$a_2 \leq \frac{2(4a_2 - 1)^2}{3(a_2 - 1)} - 7(4a_2 - 1) \left(\frac{a_2 + 2}{3(a_2 - 1)} \right) + 24.$$

After simplification we get

$$a_2(a_2 + 10) - 56. \quad \square$$

Remark 3.5. Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 1 (see Proposition 2.9) which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$ ($a_1 \geq 5$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 5$) with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 108.$$

Proposition 3.6. Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 2 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}$ ($a_1 \geq 4$, $a_2 \geq 4$, $a_3 \geq 5$) with weight type $(\frac{1-a_3+a_2a_3}{a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{a_3-1}{a_2a_3}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then

$$\delta_3(V) = \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) \\ \quad + 37(a_1 + a_3) + 33a_2 - 135 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5 \\ 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_2 + 5 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 4, a_3 \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, assuming that $a_1 \geq 4$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 5$, we have

$$3a_1a_2a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 37(a_1 + a_3) + 33a_2 - 135 \\ \leq \frac{3a_1a_2^2a_3^3}{(1-a_3+a_2a_3)(a_3-1)} - 10 \left(\frac{a_1a_2^2a_3^3}{(1-a_3+a_2a_3)(a_3-1)} + \frac{a_1a_2a_3^2}{1-a_3+a_2a_3} + \frac{a_2a_3^2}{a_3-1} \right) \\ + 33 \left(\frac{a_1a_2a_3}{1-a_3+a_2a_3} + \frac{a_2a_3}{a_3-1} + a_3 \right) - 108.$$

Proof. The moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension

$$(a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 10(a_1 + a_3) + 9a_2 - 33)$$

and has a monomial basis of the form

$$\{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4\}.$$

The following derivations form a basis in $\text{Der } M_3(V)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_2^{a_2 - 4} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{a_2 - 3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{a_2 - 3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 4} \partial_2, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{a_2 - 3} \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ & & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1 a_2 a_3 - 10(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) + 37(a_1 + a_3) + 33a_2 - 135.$$

In the case of $a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 4, a_3 \geq 5$, we obtain the following basis:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^{i_1} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 3, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2 \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2 \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 4} \partial_2, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 3; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 3, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2 \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\delta_3(V) = 2a_1 a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_3 + 5.$$

Next, we need to show that when $a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & 3a_1a_2a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 37(a_1 + a_3) + 33a_2 - 135 \\ & \leq \frac{3a_1a_2^2a_3^3}{(1-a_3+a_2a_3)(a_3-1)} - 10 \left(\frac{a_1a_2^2a_3^2}{(1-a_3+a_2a_3)(a_3-1)} + \frac{a_1a_2a_3^2}{1-a_3+a_2a_3} + \frac{a_2a_3^2}{a_3-1} \right) \\ & \quad + 33 \left(\frac{a_1a_2a_3}{1-a_3+a_2a_3} + \frac{a_2a_3}{a_3-1} + a_3 \right) - 108. \end{aligned}$$

After simplification we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_1 - 2)^3(a_2 - 4)a_3 + (a_2 - 3)a_1a_3((a_3 - 2)(a_1 - 4) + (a_2 - 2)(a_3 - 2)) \\ & \quad + a_2(3a_3 - 3)(a_1 - 2) + a_2(a_1 - 1) + 6 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also need to show that when $a_1 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2a_1a_3 - a_1 - 3a_3 - 1 & \leq \frac{48a_1a_3^3}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-1)} + 33 \left(\frac{4a_1a_3}{1+3a_3} + \frac{4a_3}{a_3-1} + a_3 \right) \\ & \quad - 10 \left(\frac{16a_1a_3^2}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-1)} + \frac{4a_1a_3^2}{1+3a_3} + \frac{4a_3^2}{a_3-1} \right) - 108. \end{aligned}$$

After simplification we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4a_1a_3^3}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-3)} + \frac{a_3^2(a_1a_3-4) + 4a_3^3(a_1-3)}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-2)} + \frac{15a_1a_3^2(a_3-3)}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-2)} \\ & \quad + \frac{4a_1a_3^2}{(1+3a_3)(a_3-2)} + \frac{35a_1a_3^2}{(1+a_3)(a_3-3)} + 16(a_3-4) + \frac{48a_3}{(a_3-3)} + 8 \geq 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 3 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}x_1$ ($a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 4$) with weight type*

$$\left(\frac{1-a_3+a_2a_3}{1+a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{1-a_1+a_1a_3}{1+a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{1-a_2+a_1a_2}{1+a_1a_2a_3}; 1 \right).$$

Then

$$\delta_3(V) = \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) \\ \quad - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 147 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ 2a_2a_3 - 5a_2 - 3a_3 + 9 & \text{if } a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4, \\ 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_3 + 9 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 4, a_3 \geq 4, \\ 2a_1a_2 - 5a_1 - 3a_2 + 9 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 = 4. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, assuming that $a_1 \geq 5$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 147 \\
& \leq \frac{3(1 + a_1a_2a_3)^3}{(1 - a_3 + a_2a_3)(1 - a_1 + a_1a_3)(1 - a_2 + a_1a_2)} \\
& \quad + 33 \left(\frac{1 + a_1a_2a_3}{1 - a_3 + a_2a_3} + \frac{1 + a_1a_2a_3}{1 - a_1 + a_1a_3} + \frac{1 + a_1a_2a_3}{1 - a_2 + a_1a_2} \right) \\
& \quad - 10 \left(\frac{(1 + a_1a_2a_3)^2}{(1 - a_3 + a_2a_3)(1 - a_1 + a_1a_3)} + \frac{(1 + a_1a_2a_3)^2}{(1 - a_1 + a_1a_3)(1 - a_2 + a_1a_2)} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{(1 + a_1a_2a_3)^2}{(1 - a_3 + a_2a_3)(1 - a_2 + a_1a_2)} \right) - 108.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension

$$(a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 10(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 36)$$

and has a monomial basis of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_1^{a_1-3}x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& \quad x_2^{i_2}x_3^{a_3-3}, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; x_1^{i_1}x_2^{a_2-3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the following description of Lie algebras in question:

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}\partial_1, \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& \quad x_2^{i_2}x_3^{a_3-3}\partial_1, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 5; \\
& \quad x_2^{a_2-4}x_3^{i_3}\partial_1, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 3; \\
& \quad x_1^{i_1}x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_1, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{a_1-3}x_3^{i_3}\partial_1, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}\partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{a_1-3}x_3^{i_3}\partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{i_1}x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{i_1}x_3^{a_3-4}\partial_2, \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\
& \quad x_2^{i_2}x_3^{a_3-3}\partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; \\
& x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}\partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{i_1}x_2^{a_2-3}\partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{a_1-4}x_2^{i_2}\partial_3, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; \\
& \quad x_2^{i_2}x_3^{a_3-3}\partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4; \\
& \quad x_1^{a_1-3}x_3^{i_3}\partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 147.$$

In the case of $a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4$, we obtain the following basis:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{a_2-4} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 3; \quad x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_2^{a_2-3} \partial_1; \quad x_3^{a_3-3} \partial_2; \quad x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_2; \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \quad x_2^{a_2-3} \partial_2; \\ & x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 3, \\ & x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 3, \\ & x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \quad x_2^{a_2-3} \partial_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 2a_2a_3 - 5a_2 - 3a_3 + 9.$$

Similarly, we obtain the basis of Lie algebra for $a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 4, a_3 \geq 4$ and $a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 = 4$.

Furthermore, we need to show that when $a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5$, then the inequality in [Proposition 3.7](#) holds. After simplification we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 5(a_1a_2 + a_2a_3 + a_1a_3) + a_1(a_2 - 4) + a_2(a_3 - 4) + a_3(a_1 - 4) \\ & + 4a_1^2[a_2(a_3 - 4) + a_3(a_2 - 4)] + 4a_2^2[a_1(a_3 - 4) + a_3(a_1 - 4)] \\ & + 6a_3^2[a_1(a_2 - 4) + a_2(a_1 - 4)] + 3(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2) + 4(a_1^3a_2 + a_2^3a_3 + a_3^3a_1) + 2a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2 \\ & + 6(a_1a_2^2a_3 + a_1a_2a_3^2) + 2a_1^2a_2a_3 + a_1a_2a_3[2a_1 - 8] + a_1^3a_2a_3^2(a_3 - 4)(a_2 - 4) \\ & + a_1^2a_3^2(a_3 - 4)(a_1a_2 - 4) + a_1^2a_2a_3^2(a_3 + a_2 - 5) + 4a_1a_2a_3^3(a_1 - 4) \\ & + a_1^2a_2^3a_3(a_3 - 4)(a_1 - 4) + a_1^2a_2^2(a_1 - 4)(a_2a_3 - 3) + a_1^3a_2a_3(a_2 - 4) \\ & + a_1^2a_2^2a_3(a_1 - 4 + (a_3 - 4)) + a_1a_2^2a_3^3(a_2 - 4)(a_1 - 4) + a_2^2a_3^2(a_2 - 4)(a_1a_3 - 4) + 8 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove [Conjecture 1.5](#) for $a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 4, a_3 \geq 4; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 = 4$ and $a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 4 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}x_2$ ($a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4$) with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \frac{a_2-1}{a_2a_3}; 1)$. Then*

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37a_1 + 33(a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 121.$$

Furthermore, assuming that $\text{mult}(f) \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37a_1 + 33(a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 121 \\ & \leq \frac{3a_2^2a_1a_3}{a_2 - 1} + 33 \left(a_1 + a_2 + \frac{a_2a_3}{a_2 - 1} \right) - 10 \left(a_1a_2 + \frac{a_1a_2a_3}{a_2 - 1} + \frac{a_2^2a_3}{a_2 - 1} \right) - 108. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension

$$(a_1 a_2 a_3 - 3(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) + 9(a_2 + a_3) + 10a_1 - 30)$$

and has a monomial basis of the form

$$\{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 3}, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4\}.$$

We obtain the following description of the Lie algebra in question:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & \quad x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 3} \partial_1, \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & \quad 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & \quad x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 3} \partial_2, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & \quad x_1^{i_1} x_2^{a_2 - 4} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4; \\ x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, \quad 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & \quad x_1^{i_1} x_3^{a_3 - 3} \partial_3, \quad 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1 a_2 a_3 + 37a_1 + 33(a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) - 121.$$

Furthermore, we need to show that when $a_1 \geq 5$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 4$, then the inequality in [Proposition 3.8](#) holds. After simplifying the inequality, we get

$$\frac{a_1 a_3 (2a_2 - 8)}{a_2 - 4} + 2a_2 a_3 + a_3 (a_2 - 2) + \frac{8a_3}{a_2 - 3} + \frac{a_1 [a_2 (a_3 - 3) + 5]}{a_2 - 3} \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.9. *Let $(V, 0)$ be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 5 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} x_2 + x_2^{a_2} x_1 + x_3^{a_3}$ ($a_1 \geq 4$, $a_2 \geq 4$, $a_3 \geq 5$) with weight type $(\frac{a_2 - 1}{a_1 a_2 - 1}, \frac{a_1 - 1}{a_1 a_2 - 1}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then*

$$\delta_3(V) = \begin{cases} 3a_1 a_2 a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2) + 41a_3 \\ \quad - 10(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) - 134 & \text{if } a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ 2a_2 a_3 - 7a_2 - a_3 + 4 & \text{if } a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, assuming that $a_1 \geq 5$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 3a_1 a_2 a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2) + 41a_3 - 10(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) - 134 \\ & \leq \frac{3a_3 (a_1 a_2 - 1)^2}{(a_2 - 1)(a_1 - 1)} + 33 \left(\frac{a_1 a_2 - 1}{a_2 - 1} + \frac{a_1 a_2 - 1}{a_1 - 1} + a_3 \right) \\ & \quad - 10 \left(\frac{(a_1 a_2 - 1)^2}{(a_2 - 1)(a_1 - 1)} + \frac{a_3 (a_1 a_2 - 1)}{a_1 - 1} + \frac{a_3 (a_1 a_2 - 1)}{a_2 - 1} \right) - 108. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the moduli algebra $M_3(V)$ has dimension

$$a_1 a_2 a_3 - 3(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) + 9(a_1 + a_2) + 11a_3 - 33$$

and has a monomial basis of the form

$$\{x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3}, 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4\}.$$

We obtain the following description of the Lie algebra in question:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 1 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 4} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{a_1 - 4} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \\ & x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_1 \leq a_1 - 4, & 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1^{a_1 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4, \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\delta_3(V) = 3a_1 a_2 a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2) + 41a_3 - 10(a_1 a_2 + a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_3) - 134.$$

In the case of $a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5$, we obtain the following basis:

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 1 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_1, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_2^{a_2 - 3} x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 0 \leq i_2 \leq a_2 - 4, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_2, & 0 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4; \\ & x_1 x_3^{i_3} \partial_3, & 1 \leq i_3 \leq a_3 - 4. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\delta_3(V) = 2a_2a_3 - 7a_2 - a_3 + 4.$$

Next, we need to show that when $a_1 \geq 5$, $a_2 \geq 5$, $a_3 \geq 5$, then the inequality in [Proposition 3.9](#) holds. After simplification, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a_1(a_1-5)(a_2-3)(a_3+(a_1-2)a_2(a_2-4)a_3) + a_1^2(a_3-3)(a_2-4) + a_2^2a_1 + 6a_1(a_2-5) \\ & + 6a_2(a_1-5) + 6a_3(a_1-4) + 16a_1a_2 + 15a_1a_3 + 4a_2a_3 + 30 + 35a_2 \\ & + a_1a_2(a_1-5) + (a_1-2)a_2(a_2-5)(a_3-4) + (a_1-3)(a_3-4) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that [Conjecture 1.5](#) is also true for $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 \geq 4$, $a_3 \geq 5$. \square

Proof of [Theorem A](#). It follows from [Proposition 3.1](#) that [Theorem A](#) is true. \square

Proof of [Theorem B](#). Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity. Then f can be divided into the following three types:

(Type A) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}$.

(Type B) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}$.

(Type C) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1$.

[Theorem B](#) is an immediate corollary of [Remark 3.2](#), [Propositions 3.3](#) and [3.4](#). \square

Proof of [Theorem C](#). Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated surface singularity. Then f can be divided into the following five types:

(Type 1) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$.

(Type 2) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}$.

(Type 3) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}x_1$.

(Type 4) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}x_1$.

(Type 5) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1 + x_3^{a_3}$.

[Theorem C](#) is an immediate corollary of [Remark 3.5](#), [Propositions 3.6](#), [3.7](#), [3.8](#), and [3.9](#). \square

Proof of [Theorem D](#). It is easy to see, from [[Yau and Zuo 2016b](#), [Propositions 3.2](#), [3.3](#), [4.1](#), [4.3](#); [Hussain et al. 2021a](#), [Propositions 3.2](#), [3.3](#)] and [Remark 3.2](#) that the inequality $\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V)$, $k = 1, 2$ holds true. \square

Proof of [Theorem E](#). It is easy to see, from [[Yau and Zuo 2016b](#), [Propositions 3.4](#), [3.7](#), [4.1](#); [Hussain et al. 2018](#), [Propositions 3.1](#), [3.2](#); [Hussain et al. 2021b](#), [Propositions 3.4](#), [3.5](#); [Hussain et al. 2021a](#), [Remark 3.4](#), [Propositions 3.4](#), [3.7](#)] and [Remark 3.5](#) that the inequality $\delta_{(k+1)}(V) < \delta_k(V)$, $k = 1, 2$ holds true. \square

References

- [Aleksandrov and Martin 1992] A. G. Aleksandrov and B. Martin, “Derivations and deformations of Artinian algebras”, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* 33 (1992), 115–130. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Arnold et al. 1985] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, and A. N. Varchenko, *Singularities of differentiable maps*, vol. 1, Monographs in Mathematics **82**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1985. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Benson and Yau 1987] M. Benson and S. S.-T. Yau, “Lie algebras and their representations arising from isolated singularities: computer method in calculating the Lie algebras and their cohomology”, pp. 3–58 in *Complex analytic singularities*, edited by T. Suwa and P. Wagreich, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **8**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Block 1969] R. E. Block, “Determination of the differentiably simple rings with a minimal ideal”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **90** (1969), 433–459. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Brieskorn 1971] E. Brieskorn, “Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups”, pp. 279–284 in *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens* (Nice, 1970), vol. 2, 1971. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen 1995] H. Chen, “On negative weight derivations of the moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities”, *Math. Ann.* **303**:1 (1995), 95–107. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen 1999] H. Chen, “Nonexistence of negative weight derivations on graded Artin algebras: a conjecture of Halperin”, *J. Algebra* **216**:1 (1999), 1–12. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen et al. 1995] H. Chen, Y.-J. Xu, and S. S.-T. Yau, “Nonexistence of negative weight derivation of moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous singularities”, *J. Algebra* **172**:2 (1995), 243–254. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen et al. 2019] H. Chen, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “Non-existence of negative weight derivations on positively graded Artinian algebras”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372**:4 (2019), 2493–2535. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen et al. 2020a] B. Chen, H. Chen, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “The nonexistence of negative weight derivations on positive dimensional isolated singularities: generalized Wahl conjecture”, *J. Differential Geom.* **115**:2 (2020), 195–224. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen et al. 2020b] B. Chen, N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “Variation of complex structures and variation of Lie algebras II: new Lie algebras arising from singularities”, *J. Differential Geom.* **115**:3 (2020), 437–473. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Dimca and Sticlaru 2015] A. Dimca and G. Sticlaru, “Hessian ideals of a homogeneous polynomial and generalized Tjurina algebras”, *Doc. Math.* **20** (2015), 689–705. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ebeling and Takahashi 2011] W. Ebeling and A. Takahashi, “Strange duality of weighted homogeneous polynomials”, *Compos. Math.* **147**:5 (2011), 1413–1433. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Elashvili and Khimshiashvili 2006] A. Elashvili and G. Khimshiashvili, “Lie algebras of simple hypersurface singularities”, *J. Lie Theory* **16**:4 (2006), 621–649. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Greuel et al. 2007] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen, and E. Shustin, *Introduction to singularities and deformations*, Springer, 2007. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hu et al. 2018] C. Hu, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “Recent results on k -th Yau algebras over simple elliptic singularities \tilde{E}_6 ”, pp. 213–240 in *Differential geometry, Calabi-Yau theory, and general relativity*, edited by H.-D. Cao and S.-T. Yau, Surveys in Differential Geometry **23**, International Press, Somerville, MA, 2018.
- [Hussain et al. 2018] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “On the derivation Lie algebras of fewnomial singularities”, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **98**:1 (2018), 77–88. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. 2020a] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “Generalized Cartan matrices arising from new derivation Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities”, *Pacific J. Math.* **305**:1 (2020), 189–217. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

- [Hussain et al. 2020b] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “On the new k -th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities”, *Math. Z.* **294**:1–2 (2020), 331–358. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. 2021a] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “Inequality conjectures on derivations of local k -th Hessain algebras associated to isolated hypersurface singularities”, *Math. Z.* **298**:3–4 (2021), 1813–1829. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. 2021b] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “ k -th Yau number of isolated hypersurface singularities and an inequality conjecture”, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **110**:1 (2021), 94–118. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. 2021c] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “On the generalized Cartan matrices arising from k -th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities”, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **24**:4 (2021), 1101–1124. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. 2021d] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “On two inequality conjectures for the k -th Yau numbers of isolated hypersurface singularities”, *Geom. Dedicata* **212** (2021), 57–71. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hussain et al. \geq 2021] N. Hussain, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “New k -th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities and weak Torelli-type theorem”, preprint. To appear in *Math. Research Lett.*
- [Khimshiashvili 1997] Khimshiashvili, “Yau algebras of fewnomial singularities”, preprint, 1997.
- [Khovanskii 1991] A. G. Khovanskii, *Fewnomials*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **88**, American Mathematical Society, 1991. [MR](#)
- [Ma et al. 2020] G. Ma, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “On the non-existence of negative weight derivations of the new moduli algebras of singularities”, *J. Algebra* **564** (2020), 199–246. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ma et al. 2021] G. Ma, S. S.-T. Yau, and H. Zuo, “A class of new k -th local algebras of singularities and its derivation Lie algebras”, preprint, 2021, available at <https://cloud.tsinghua.edu.cn/f/3861eec9f92a4e30adf2>.
- [Mather and Yau 1982] J. N. Mather and S. S.-T. Yau, “Classification of isolated hypersurface singularities by their moduli algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **69**:2 (1982), 243–251. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Saeki 1988] O. Saeki, “Topological invariance of weights for weighted homogeneous isolated singularities in \mathbb{C}^3 ”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103**:3 (1988), 905–909. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Seeley and Yau 1990] C. Seeley and S. S.-T. Yau, “Variation of complex structures and variation of Lie algebras”, *Invent. Math.* **99**:3 (1990), 545–565. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Xu and Yau 1996] Y.-J. Xu and S. S.-T. Yau, “Micro-local characterization of quasi-homogeneous singularities”, *Amer. J. Math.* **118**:2 (1996), 389–399. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau 1983] S. S.-T. Yau, “Continuous family of finite-dimensional representations of a solvable Lie algebra arising from singularities”, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **80**:24, i (1983), 7694–7696. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau 1986] S. S.-T. Yau, “Solvable Lie algebras and generalized Cartan matrices arising from isolated singularities”, *Math. Z.* **191**:4 (1986), 489–506. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau 1991] S. S.-T. Yau, “Solvability of Lie algebras arising from isolated singularities and nonisolatedness of singularities defined by $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant polynomials”, *Amer. J. Math.* **113**:5 (1991), 773–778. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau and Zuo 2016a] S. S.-T. Yau and H. Zuo, “Derivations of the moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities”, *J. Algebra* **457** (2016), 18–25. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Yau and Zuo 2016b] S. S.-T. Yau and H. Zuo, “A sharp upper estimate conjecture for the Yau number of a weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularity”, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **12**:1 (2016), 165–181. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

[Yu 1996] Y. Yu, “On Jacobian ideals invariant by a reducible $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ action”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **348**:7 (1996), 2759–2791. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received February 15, 2021. Revised May 30, 2021.

NAVEED HUSSAIN
GUANGZHOU HUASHANG COLLEGE
GUANGZHOU
CHINA
naveed1573@gmail.com

STEPHEN S.-T. YAU
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
BEIJING
CHINA
and

YANQI LAKE BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS
BEIJING
CHINA
yau@uic.edu

HUAIQING ZUO
TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY
BEIJING
CHINA
hqzuo@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

msp.org/pjm

EDITORS

Don Blasius (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Matthias Aschenbrenner
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
matthias@math.ucla.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Wee Teck Gan
Mathematics Department
National University of Singapore
Singapore 119076
matgwt@nus.edu.sg

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Lipshitz
Department of Mathematics
University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
lipshitz@uoregon.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2021 is US \$520/year for the electronic version, and \$705/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by *Mathematical Reviews*, *Zentralblatt MATH*, *PASCAL CNRS Index*, *Referativnyi Zhurnal*, *Current Mathematical Publications* and *Web of Knowledge (Science Citation Index)*.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1945-5844 electronic, 0030-8730 printed) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published twelve times a year. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2021 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 314 No. 2 October 2021

The unit signature rank deficiency is unbounded over cyclotomic fields	259
DAVID S. DUMMIT and HERSHY KISILEVSKY	
Volume estimates for Alexandrov spaces with convex boundaries	269
JIAN GE	
Ricci flow with bounded curvature integrals	283
SHOTA HAMANAKA	
Derivation Lie algebras of new k -th local algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities	311
NAVEED HUSSAIN, STEPHEN S.-T. YAU and HUIQING ZUO	
Strong representation equivalence for compact symmetric spaces of real rank one	333
EMILIO A. LAURET and ROBERTO J. MIATELLO	
Canonical almost complex structures on ACH Einstein manifolds	375
YOSHIHIKO MATSUMOTO	
Extrinsic curvature and conformal Gauss–Bonnet for four-manifolds with corner	411
STEPHEN E. MCKEOWN	
The Minkowski inequality in de Sitter space	425
JULIAN SCHEUER	
Tunnel number and bridge number of composite genus 2 spatial graphs	451
SCOTT A. TAYLOR and MAGGY TOMOVA	
Teichmüller spaces of piecewise symmetric homeomorphisms on the unit circle	495
HUAYING WEI and KATSUHIKO MATSUZAKI	