

## Cohomology and Splitting Criterion for Holomorphic Vector Bundles on $\mathbf{CP}^n$

By HING SUN LUK of Shatin and STEPHEN S.-T. YAU<sup>1)</sup> of Chicago

(Received August 3, 1992)

### 1. Introduction

A holomorphic vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  splits if it is isomorphic to the direct sum of line bundles. One of the most important problems in Algebraic Geometry is to decide whether  $E$  splits if the rank of  $E$  is relatively small. In fact, the famous Hartshorne conjecture says that any rank two holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  ( $n \geq 6$ ) splits.

Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the pull back of  $E$  to  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . Then the famous Horrocks splitting criterion asserts that  $E$  splits if and only if  $H^i(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . The purpose of this paper is to introduce a method to prove the vanishing of  $H^i(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E})$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $k$  over  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $E$  admits a  $(1, 0)$ -connection which is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$  (cf. Definition 2.6 below). Then  $H^i(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .*

As a consequence of this result, we can prove:

**Theorem B.** *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $E$  is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles if and only if  $H^1(\mathbf{CP}^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

KEMPF [4] proved a result similar to Theorem B. Although he needs  $H^1(\mathbf{CP}^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for  $k$  negative only, he does need to make an additional condition that  $E$  is a restriction of holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbf{CP}^{n+2}$ . In view of this and the fact that our method is not familiar to many algebraic geometers, perhaps it will be of some use to publish the results.

If  $E$  is a rank 2 holomorphic vector bundle, then we have even a stronger theorem.

**Theorem C.** *Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the pull back of a holomorphic rank 2 bundle  $E$  from  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  to*

---

1) Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 8822747.

$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{E}$  admits a  $(1, 0)$ -connection which is weakly holomorphic in direction  $z_0$  (cf. Definition 3.1 below). Then  $H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$  and  $E$  is a direct sum of line bundles.

## 2. Holomorphic vector bundles over $\mathbb{C}P^n$

We start with the following three well known lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $L$  is holomorphically trivial and  $H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, L) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

Proof. The proof follows from the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 1$$

and the fact that  $H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , and  $H^2(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\pi: L \rightarrow X$  be a holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold  $X$ . Let  $E$  vector bundle over  $X$ . Then  $H^i(L - X, \pi^*E) = \bigoplus_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} H^i(X, E \otimes L^n)$ .

Proof. If we represent  $H^i(L - X, \pi^*E)$  as Čech-cohomology, then the result follows by taking the power series of the Čech-cocycle along the fiber of  $L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3. (Splitting criterion of Horrocks).** Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle over  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  and  $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n$  be the natural projection. Then  $E$  is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles, if and only if  $H^i(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \pi^*E) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle. We say that a connection  $D$  on  $E$  is compatible with the complex structure if  $D'' = \bar{\delta}$  where  $D = D' + D''$  with  $D': A^{(0,0)}(E) \rightarrow A^{(0,1)}(E)$  and  $D'': A^{(0,0)}(E) \rightarrow A^{(0,1)}(E), A^{(p,q)}(E)$  being the space of  $C^\infty(p, q)$ -forms with coefficients in  $E$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $e = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  be a holomorphic frame for  $E$  over an open set  $U$ . Given a connection  $D$  on  $E$  which is compatible with the complex structure, we can decompose  $D'e_i$  into its components, writing

$$D'e_i = \sum \theta_{ij} e_j.$$

The matrix  $\theta = (\theta_{ij})$  of  $(1, 0)$ -forms is called the connection matrix of  $D$  with respect to  $e$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $k$  over  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . We say that the connection  $D'$  is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$  if  $\theta_{ij}^0$  is holomorphic for all  $i, j$  where  $\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ij}^0 dz_0 + \theta_{ij}^1 dz_1 + \dots + \theta_{ij}^n dz_n$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Definition 2.6 above is well-defined: if  $e' = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_k\}$  is another holomorphic frame with

$$e'_i(z) = \sum g_{ij}(z) e_j(z) \quad \text{where } g_{ij}(z) \text{ are holomorphic,}$$

then

$$(2.1) \quad D'e'_i = \sum \partial g_{ij} e_j + \sum g_{ik} \theta_{kj} e_j$$

so that

$$(2.2) \quad \theta_{e'} = \partial g \cdot g^{-1} + g \cdot \theta_e \cdot g^{-1} \quad \text{where } g = (g_{ij}).$$

Therefore if  $\theta_e$  is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$ , then so is  $\theta_{e'}$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank  $k$  over  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that  $E$  admits a connection  $D'$  which is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$ . Then  $H^i(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ .*

*Proof.* If we view  $E$  as a locally free sheaf over  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ , then  $E$  can be extended to  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  as coherent analytic sheaf. By the local cohomology exact sequence

$$H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, E) \rightarrow H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E) \rightarrow H_{\{0\}}^{q+1}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, E) \rightarrow H^{q+1}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, E),$$

we conclude that  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E) \cong H_{\{0\}}^{q+1}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, E)$  for  $q \geq 1$ . On the other hand, local duality asserts that  $H_{\{0\}}^{q+1}(\mathbf{C}^{n+1}, E)$  is dual to  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_0}^{n-q}(E_0, \mathcal{O}_0)$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_0}^{n-q}(E, \mathcal{O})$  is a coherent analytic sheaf and is supported at 0 because  $E$  is locally free outside 0, we conclude that  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$  is finite dimensional for  $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ .

Let  $\sigma \in H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be represented as a Dolbeault cohomology class  $\sigma = \sum \sigma_i e_i \in A^{(0,q)}(E)$  such that  $\bar{\partial}\sigma = 0$ . Here  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  is a holomorphic frame for  $E$  over an open set  $U$ . Let  $\lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}$ . Clearly

$$\lambda D' \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda \left( \partial \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j$$

is an element in  $A^{(0,q)}(E)$  again. We claim that  $\bar{\partial}(\lambda D' \sigma) = 0$  so that  $\lambda D' \sigma$  is again a cohomology class in  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$ . To see this, observe that  $\bar{\partial}$  commutes with  $\lambda$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}(\lambda D' \sigma) &= \bar{\partial} \lambda \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \partial \sigma_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda \bar{\partial}(\partial \sigma_j) e_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{\partial}(\sigma_i \theta_{ij}) e_j \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } \bar{\partial} \sigma_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial} \theta_{ij}^0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be any holomorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad (\lambda D' f - f \lambda D')(\sigma) &= \lambda D'(f \sigma) - f \lambda D'(\sigma) \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \partial(f \sigma_j) + \sum_{i=1}^k f \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j - f \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \partial \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j \\ &= \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \partial \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k (\partial f) \sigma_j e_j - f \lambda \sum_{j=1}^k \left( \partial \sigma_j + \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \theta_{ij} \right) e_j \\ &= (\lambda \partial f) \sigma = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0} \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $z$  acts on  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$ . Since for  $1 \leq q \leq n-1$ ,  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$  is finite dimensional, so it has a minimal polynomial  $p(z_0)$ . Of course  $p(z_0)$  acts on  $H^q(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$  trivially. By taking  $f = p(z_0)$  in (2.3), we see that  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial z_0}(z_0)$  also acts

on  $H^q(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$  trivially. We conclude that a nonzero constant acts on  $H^q(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E)$  trivially by repeating the above argument. This simply means that  $H^q(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, E) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Then  $E$  is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles if and only if  $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E$  is a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Then  $\tilde{E} = \pi^*(E)$ , the pull back of  $E$  to  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ , is also a direct sum of holomorphic line bundles on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . So we have  $H^1(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*) = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. In view of Lemma 2.2, we have  $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $H^1(\mathbb{C}P^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e.  $H^1(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*) = 0$ . In view of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.8, it suffices to prove that  $\tilde{E}$  admits a connection  $D'$  which is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$ . Applying  $\bar{\partial}$  to (2.2), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \bar{\partial}\theta_{e'} = g \cdot \bar{\partial}\theta_e \cdot g^{-1}.$$

Write  $\theta_{e'} = \left( \sum_{\alpha=0}^n \theta'_{ij}{}^\alpha dz_\alpha \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$ . Then (2.4) implies

$$(2.5) \quad (\bar{\partial}\theta'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} = g \cdot (\bar{\partial}\theta_{ij}^0)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \cdot g^{-1}.$$

Therefore  $(\bar{\partial}\theta'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}$  represents a  $C^\infty$  section of  $A^{(0,1)}(\tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*)$ . Clearly it is  $\bar{\partial}$  closed. By the hypothesis  $H^1(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*) = 0$ , we conclude that there exists  $\gamma \in A^{(0,0)}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*)$  such that

$$\bar{\partial}(\gamma'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} = (\bar{\partial}\theta'_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}.$$

Consider  $\tilde{\theta}_{e'} = \theta_{e'} - \gamma_e dz_0$ . Then clearly  $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\theta}'_{ij} = \bar{\partial}\theta'_{ij} - \bar{\partial}\gamma'_{ij} = 0$ . So  $\tilde{D}$  is a connection of  $\tilde{E}$  which is holomorphic in direction  $z_0$ .  $\square$

### 3. Rank 2 holomorphic bundles

In this section, we shall assume that  $E$  is a holomorphic rank 2 bundle over  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . The purpose is to show that the vanishing result is still true even under a weaker condition. We shall use the same notations as in § 2.

Let  $e^* = \{e_1^*, e_2^*\}$  be a dual basis for  $E^*$  over  $U$ , i.e.  $\langle e_i, e_j^* \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ . Observe that

$$\delta_{ij} = \langle e'_i, e'_j{}^* \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{m=1}^2 g_{im} e_m, \sum_{l=1}^2 g_{jl}^* e_l^* \right\rangle = \sum_{m,l=1}^2 g_{im} g_{jl}^* \delta_{ml} = \sum_{m=1}^2 g_{im} g_{jm}^*.$$

Therefore  $g^* = (g^{-1})^T$ . Let  $\theta_e^* = -\theta_{e'}^T$ . Then we claim that

$$\theta_e^* = \partial g^* \cdot g^{*-1} + g^* \cdot \theta_e^* \cdot g^{*-1}.$$

This follows immediately from (2.2). Alternately,  $D^*$  on  $E^*$  can be defined by the requirement

$$d\langle \sigma, \tau \rangle = \langle D\sigma, \tau \rangle + \langle \sigma, D^*\tau \rangle$$

for  $\sigma \in A^0(E)$  and  $\tau \in A^0(E^*)$ .

Recall that  $D_{E \otimes E^*} = D_E \otimes I + I \otimes D_{E^*}$  (cf. [1]). Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{E \otimes E^*}(e_1 \otimes e_1^*) &= D e_1 \otimes e_1^* + e_1 \otimes D e_1^* \\ &= \theta_{11} e_1 \otimes e_1^* + \theta_{12} e_2 \otimes e_1^* + e_1 \otimes \theta_{11}^* e_1^* + e_1 \otimes \theta_{12}^* e_2^* \\ &= (\theta_{11} + \theta_{11}^*) e_1 \otimes e_1^* + \theta_{12}^* e_1 \otimes e_2^* + \theta_{12} e_2 \otimes e_1^* \\ &= -\theta_{21} e_1 \otimes e_2^* + \theta_{12} e_2 \otimes e_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{E \otimes E^*}(e_1 \otimes e_2^*) &= -\theta_{12} e_1 \otimes e_1^* + (\theta_{11} - \theta_{22}) e_1 \otimes e_2^* + \theta_{12} e_2 \otimes e_2^* \\ D_{E \otimes E^*}(e_2 \otimes e_1^*) &= \theta_{21} e_1 \otimes e_1^* + (\theta_{22} - \theta_{11}) e_2 \otimes e_1^* - \theta_{21} e_2 \otimes e_2^* \\ D_{E \otimes E^*}(e_2 \otimes e_2^*) &= \theta_{21} e_1 \otimes e_2^* - \theta_{12} e_2 \otimes e_1^*. \end{aligned}$$

The connection matrix of  $D_{E \otimes E^*}$  is of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \theta_{E \otimes E^*} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\theta_{12} & \theta_{21} & 0 \\ -\theta_{21} & \theta_{11} - \theta_{22} & 0 & \theta_{21} \\ \theta_{12} & 0 & \theta_{22} - \theta_{11} & -\theta_{12} \\ 0 & \theta_{12} & -\theta_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank 2 over  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . The connection  $D$  is said to be *weakly holomorphic in direction  $z_0$*  if  $\theta_{12}^0, \theta_{21}^0$  and  $\theta_{11}^0 - \theta_{22}^0$  are holomorphic.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Definition 3.1 above is well-defined.*

*Proof.* It follows easily from (2.2) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \theta_e \cdot g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det g} \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \theta_{12} \\ \theta_{21} & \theta_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_{22} & -g_{12} \\ -g_{21} & g_{11} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\det g} \begin{pmatrix} g_{22}g_{11}\theta_{11} + g_{22}g_{12}\theta_{21} & -g_{12}g_{11}(\theta_{11} - \theta_{12}) \\ -g_{21}g_{11}\theta_{12} - g_{21}g_{12}\theta_{22} & -g_{12}^2\theta_{21} + g_{12}^2\theta_{12} \\ g_{22}g_{21}(\theta_{11} - \theta_{22}) & -g_{12}g_{21}\theta_{11} - g_{12}g_{22}\theta_{21} \\ + g_{22}^2\theta_{21} - g_{21}^2\theta_{12} & + g_{11}g_{21}\theta_{12} + g_{11}g_{22}\theta_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to prove the following vanishing theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank 2 over  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  and  $\tilde{E}$  be its pull back to  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $\tilde{E}$  admits a  $(1, 0)$ -connection which is weakly holomorphic in direction  $z_0$ . Then  $H^1(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , and  $E$  is a direct sum of line bundles.*

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{E}$  admits a weakly holomorphic connection in direction  $z_0$ , in view of (3.1)  $\tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*$  admits a holomorphic connection in direction  $z_0$ . By Theorem 2.8,  $H^1(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - \{0\}, \tilde{E} \otimes \tilde{E}^*) = 0$  i.e.  $H^1(\mathbf{CP}^n, (E \otimes E^*)(k)) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Because of Theorem 2.9, we conclude that  $E$  is a direct sum of line bundles. The rest of the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] P. GRIFFITHS, J. HARRIS, Principles of algebraic geometry, Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, 1978
- [2] A. GROTHENDIECK, Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1968
- [3] G. HORROCKS, Vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a local ring, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **14** (1964), 689–713
- [4] G. KEMPF, A criterion for the splitting of a vector bundle, Forum Math. **2** (1990), 477–480
- [5] C. OKONEK, M. SCHNEIDER, H. SPINDLER, Vector bundles on complex projective spaces, Progress in Mathematics, v. 3, Birkhäuser, 1980
- [6] M. PUTINAR, A reciprocal to the Oka-Grauert Principle (Preprint)
- [7] B. SHIFFMAN, Cohomology and splitting of Hermitian-Einstein vector bundles, Math. Ann., **289** (1991), 573–579

*Department of Mathematics  
The Chinese University of Hong Kong  
Shatin, N.T.  
Hong Kong*

*Department of Mathematics, Statistic and Computer Sciences  
University of Illinois at Chicago  
Chicago, IL 60680  
U.S.A.*