

Three types of derivation lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities

Naveed Hussain¹ · Stephen S.-T. Yau^{2,3} · Huaiqing Zuo³

Received: 12 August 2024 / Accepted: 13 December 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag Italia S.r.l., part of Springer Nature 2025

Abstract

In our previous work, we introduced three different ways to associate Lie algebras to isolated hypersurface singularities. In this paper, we analyze their relations in the case of weighted homogeneous singularities. Moreover, explicit formulas of the dimensions of three series of Lie algebras are given for fewnomial singularities. Several conjectures are proposed and verified partially.

Keywords Isolated hypersurface singularity · Lie algebra · Moduli algebra

Mathematics Subject Classification 14B05 · 32S05

1 Introduction

Many highly non-trivial physical questions such as the Coulomb branch spectrum and the Seiberg–Witten solution [11, 12, 29, 35, 36] can be easily found by studying the miniversal deformation of the singularity. The second and third authors classify three dimensional isolated weighted homogeneous rational complete intersection singularities, which define many new four dimensional N = 2 superconformal field theories [10]. In this article, we will study new invariants introduced in our previous works [19]-[28]. These new invariants are very useful in the classification theory of singularities. For any isolated hypersurface singularity $(V, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ where $V = V(f) = \{f = 0\}$, in the early 80s, the second author introduced the Lie algebra of derivations of moduli algebra $A(V) := O_n/(f, J(f))$

 Stephen S.-T. Yau yau@uic.edu
 Naveed Hussain dr.nhussain@uaf.edu.pk
 Huaiqing Zuo hqzuo@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

- ¹ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Punjab 38000, Pakistan
- ² Yanqi Lake Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing 101400, People's Republic of China
- ³ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, 100084 Beijing, People's Republic of China

where $J(f) := (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$, i.e., L(V) := Der(A(V), A(V)). It is known that L(V) is a finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra [40, 42]. L(V) is called the Yau algebra of V (its dimension $\lambda(V)$ is called Yau number) in [43] and [15] in order to distinguish from Lie algebras of other types appearing in singularity theory [30]. Yau and his collaborators have been systematically studying the Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities since early eighties (see, e.g., [40]-[42], [3, 8, 32, 37, 44, 45]).

In the last thirty years, the Lie algebra of derivations have become a very important tool in singularity theory and Lie theory ([6-9, 13, 15, 37, 39]). In the theory of isolated singularities, one always wants to find invariants associated to isolated singularities. Hopefully with enough invariants found, one can distinguish between isolated singularities. However, not many invariants are known. In recent years, we have introduced many derivations Lie algebras which are new invariants of isolated singularities. Three different ways to associate Lie algebras to isolated hypersurface singularities were introduced in [9, 20, 21, 26, 33].

Firstly, a new series of derivation Lie algebras $L_k(V)$, $0 \le k \le n$ associated to the isolated hypersurface singularity (V, 0) defined by the holomorphic function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ was introduced in [26]. Let Hess(f) be the Hessian matrix (f_{ij}) of the second order partial derivatives of f and h(f) be the determinant of the matrix Hess(f). More generally, for each k satisfying $0 \le k \le n$, we denote by $h_k(f)$ the ideal in \mathcal{O}_n generated by all $k \times k$ -minors in the matrix Hess(f). In particular, $h_0(f) = 0$, the ideal $h_n(f) = (h(f))$ is a principal ideal. For each k as above, the graded k-th Hessian algebra of the polynomial f is defined by

$$H_k(V) = \mathcal{O}_n/(f + J(f) + h_k(f)).$$

The dimension of $H_k(V)$ as a \mathbb{C} -vector space is denoted as $h_k(V)$.

It is known that the isomorphism class of the local k-th Hessian algebra $H_k(f)$ is contact invariant of f, i.e. depends only on the isomorphism class of the germ (V, 0) ([14], Lemma 2.1). In [26], we investigated the new Lie algebra $L_k(V)$ which is the Lie algebra of derivations of k-th Hessian algebra $H_k(f)$. The dimension of $L_k(V)$, denoted by $\lambda_k(V)$, is a new numerical analytic invariant of an isolated hypersurface singularity.

In particular, when k = 0, those are exactly the previous Yau algebra and Yau number, i.e., $L_0(V) = L(V)$, $\lambda_0(V) = \lambda(V)$. Thus, the $L_k(V)$ is a generalization of Yau algebra L(V). Moreover, $L_n(V)$ has been investigated intensively and many interesting results were obtained. In [9], it was shown that $L_n(V)$ completely distinguish ADE singularities. Furthermore, the authors have proven Torelli-type theorems for some simple elliptic singularities. Therefore, this new Lie algebra $L_n(V)$ is a subtle invariant of isolated hypersurface singularities. It is a natural question whether we can distinguish singularities by only using part of the information of $L_n(V)$. In [22], we studied generalized Cartan matrices of the new Lie algebra $L_n(V)$ for simple hypersurface singularities and simple elliptic singularities. We introduced many other numerical invariants, namely, the dimension of the a maximal nilpotent subalgebras (i.e., nilradical of nilpotent Lie algebra) g(V) of $L_n(V)$; dimension of maximal torus of g(V), etc. We have proven that the generalized Cartan matrix of $L_n(V)$ can be used to characterize the ADE singularities except the pair of A_6 and D_5 singularities [22].

Secondly, let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by a holomorphic function $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The multiplicity mult(f) of the singularity (V, 0) is defined to be the order of the lowest nonvanishing term in the power series expansion of f at 0.

Definition 1.1 Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \ f(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0\}$ be an isolated hypersurface singularity with mult(f) = m. Let $J_k(f)$ be the ideal generated by all the *k*-th order partial derivative of f, i.e., $J_k(f) = \langle \frac{\partial^k f}{\partial z_{i_1} ... \partial z_{i_k}} | 1 \le i_1, ..., i_k \le n \rangle$. For $1 \le k \le m$, we

define the new k-th local algebra, $M_k(V) := \mathcal{O}_n/(f + J_1(f) + \cdots + J_k(f))$. In particular, $M_m = 0$. The dimension of $M_k(V)$ as a \mathbb{C} -vector space is denoted as $d_k(V)$. In particular $d_m(V) = 0$.

Recall that a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is said to be the weighted homogeneous if there exist positive rational numbers w_1, \dots, w_n (weights of x_1, \dots, x_n) and d such that, $\sum a_i w_i = d$ for each monomial $\prod x_i^{a_i}$ appearing in f with non-zero coefficient. The number d is called weighted homogeneous degree (w-degree) of f with respect to weights w_j . The weight type of f is denoted as $(w_1, \dots, w_n; d)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that w-deg f = 1. An isolated hypersurface singularity (V, 0) is called weighted homogeneous if it is defined by a weighted homogeneous polynomial f.

Remark 1.1 If f defines a weighted homogeneous isolated singularity at the origin, then $f \in J_1(f) \subset J_2(f) \subset \cdots \subset J_k(f)$, thus $M_k(V) = \mathcal{O}_{n+1}/(f + J_1(f) + \cdots + J_k(f)) = \mathcal{O}_{n+1}/(J_k(f))$.

The isomorphism class of the k-th local algebra $M_k(V)$ is a contact invariant of (V, 0), i.e. depends only on the isomorphism class of the germ (V, 0). The dimension of $M_k(V)$ is denoted by $d_k(V)$ which is a numerical analytic invariant of an isolated hypersurface singularity.

Theorem 1.1 [33] Suppose $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \ f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ and $(W, 0) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \ g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ are isolated hypersurface singularities. If (V, 0) is biholomorphically equivalent to (W, 0), then $M_k(V)$ is isomorphic to $M_k(W)$ as a \mathbb{C} -algebra for all $1 \le k \le m$, where m = mult(f) = mult(g).

Based on Theorem 1.1, it is natural for us to introduce the new series of k-th derivation Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ (or $\mathcal{L}_k((V, 0))$) which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the k-th local algebra $M_k(V)$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_k(V) = Der(M_k(V), M_k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\delta_k(V)$ (or $\delta_k((V, 0))$). This number $\delta_k(V)$ is also a new numerical analytic invariant.

Finally, recall that the Mather-Yau theorem was slightly generalized.

Theorem 1.2 ([17], Theorem 2.26) Let $f, g \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}_n$. The following are equivalent: 1) $(V(f), 0) \cong (V(g), 0)$; 2) For all $k \ge 0$, $\mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f)) \cong \mathcal{O}_n/(g, \mathfrak{m}^k J(g))$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra; 3) There is some $k \ge 0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f)) \cong \mathcal{O}_n/(g, \mathfrak{m}^k J(g))$ as \mathbb{C} -algebra, where $J(f) = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$.

In particular, if k = 0 and k = 1 above, then the claim of the equivalence of 1) and 3) is exactly the Mather-Yau theorem [31].

Motivated from Theorem 1.2, in [20, 21], we introduced the new series of k-th Yau algebras $L^k(V)$ (or $L^k((V, 0))$) which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the moduli algebra $T^k(V) = \mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f)), k \ge 0$, where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal, i.e., $L^k(V) := \operatorname{Der}(T^k(V), T^k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\lambda^k(V)$ (or $\lambda^k((V, 0))$). This series of integers $\lambda^k(V)$ are new numerical analytic invariants of singularities. It is natural to call it k-th Yau number. In particular, when k = 0, those are exactly the previous Yau algebra and Yau number, i.e., $L^0(V) = L(V), \lambda^0(V) = \lambda(V)$. In [40], Yau observed that the Yau algebra for the one-parameter family of simple elliptic singularities \tilde{E}_6 is constant. It turns out that the 1-st Yau algebra $L^1(V)$ is also constant for the family of simple elliptic singularities \tilde{E}_6 . However, Torelli-type theorem for $L^k(V)$ for all k > 1 do hold on \tilde{E}_6 [[18]). In general, the invariant $L^k(V), k \ge 1$ are more subtle than the Yau algebra L(V). In a word,

we have reasons to believe that these three series of new Lie algebras and their numerical invariants will also play an important role in the study of singularities.

Two naturally interesting questions are: what are the relations between these invariants of an isolated hypersurface singularities (V, 0) above? Whether one can give a sharp bound for these invariants? We proposed the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1.1 Recall that $d_k(V)$ is the dimension of the Artinian algebra $M_k(V)$. For each $k \ge 1$, assume that $d_k(\{x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 0\}) = \ell_k(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0\}, (n \ge 2)$ be an isolated singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of weight type $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n; 1)$. Then $d_k(V) \le \ell_k(1/w_1, \dots, 1/w_n)$.

Proposition 1.1 Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by $f \in O_n$, $n \ge 2$, m = mult(f). And let $d_k(V)$, $h_k(V)$, $\tau^k(V)$ be the dimension of the Artinian algebras $M_k(V)$, $H_k(V)$, $T^k(V)$ respectively. For each $k \ge 0$, then $\dots > \tau^{(k+1)}(V) > \tau^k(V) \dots > \tau^0(V) = d_1(V) = h_0(V) = h_n(V) - 1 > h_{n-1}(V) > \dots > h_1(V) = d_2(V) > d_3(V) > \dots > d_m(V)$.

Remark 1.2 The Proposition 1.1 follows easily from the definitions of $\tau^k(V)$, $d_k(V)$ and $h_k(V)$. However, the following Conjecture 1.2 is highly nontrivial.

Conjecture 1.2 With the above notations, let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, $n \ge 2$. For each $k \ge 0$, then $\dots > \lambda^{(k+1)}(V) > \lambda^k(V) \dots > \lambda^0(V) = \delta_1(V) = \lambda_0(V) = \lambda_n(V) > \lambda_{n-1}(V) > \dots > \lambda_1(V) = \delta_2(V) > \delta_3(V) > \dots > \delta_{m-1}(V)$, where m = mult(f).

The *k*-th Milnor number and *k*-th Tjurina number are defined as follows.

$$\mu^{k} := \dim \mathcal{O}_{n} / (\mathfrak{m}^{k} J(f)), \ \tau^{k} := \dim \mathcal{O}_{n} / (f, \mathfrak{m}^{k} J(f)).$$

It is obvious that $\mu^k \ge \tau^k$, thus $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k} \ge 1$. In particular, when k = 0, μ^0 and τ^0 are the classical Milnor number μ and Tjurina number τ respectively. Moreover, Saito showed [34] that $\frac{\mu}{\tau} = 1$, if and only if, that f defines a weighted homogeneous isolated singularity. However, even for weighted homogeneous isolated singularity, $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k} \ne 1$, $k \ge 2$. We give the following interesting example.

Example 1.1 Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by

$$f = x_1^m + x_2^m + x_3^m + x_1^{m+1} x_2^{m+1} x_3^{m+1}, m \ge 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \tau^0(V) &= \mu^0(V) = m^3 - 3m^2 + 3m - 1, \\ \tau^1(V) &= \mu^1(V) = m^3 - 3m^2 + 3m + 2, \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} m^3 - 3m^2 + 3m + 10; \ m \ge 3\\ 9; \ m = 2, \end{cases} \\ \mu^2(V) &= \begin{cases} m^3 - 3m^2 + 3m + 12; \ m \ge 3\\ 10; \ m = 2. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

It is interesting to note that $\mu^k = \tau^k$ for k = 0, 1, however, $\mu^2 \neq \tau^2$.

The following new result gives an upper bound of $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$.

🖉 Springer

Theorem 1.3 Assume that $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is a holomorphic function germ at the origin with only isolated singularity. Then, for each $k \ge 0$, we have

$$\tau^k \le \mu^k \le n\tau^k + \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}.$$

Proof We only need to show that $\mu^k \le n\tau^k + \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}$. We consider the following long exact sequence of \mathbb{C} -algebras:

$$0 \to Ker(f) \to M_f^k \xrightarrow{f} M_f^k \to T_f^k \to 0,$$

where $M_f^k = \mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}^k J(f)$, $T_f^k = \mathcal{O}_n/(f, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f))$, the middle map is multiplication by f, and Ker(f) is the kernel of this map.

Recall a well-known result given by Briançon and Skoda in [5] that $f^n \in J(f)$, so $(f^n)\mathfrak{m}^k = 0$ in M_f^k , i.e., $(f^{n-1})\mathfrak{m}^k \subset Ker(f)$. Thus we have the following finite decreasing filtration:

$$M_f^k \supset (f) \supset (f)\mathfrak{m}^k \supset (f^2)\mathfrak{m}^k \supset \cdots \supset (f^{n-1})\mathfrak{m}^k \supset (f^n)\mathfrak{m}^k = 0,$$

where (f^i) is the ideal in M_f^k generated by f^i .

Consider the following long exact sequence:

$$0 \to Ker(f) \cap (f^i)\mathfrak{m}^k \to (f^i)\mathfrak{m}^k \xrightarrow{f} (f^i)\mathfrak{m}^k \to (f^i)\mathfrak{m}^k/(f^{i+1})\mathfrak{m}^k \to 0,$$

where the middle map is multiplication by f. Then,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{(f^{i})\mathfrak{m}^{k}/(f^{i+1})\mathfrak{m}^{k}\} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{Ker(f)\cap (f^{i})\mathfrak{m}^{k}\} \le \dim_{\mathbb{C}}Ker(f) = \tau^{k}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu^{k} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M_{f}^{k} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} T_{f}^{k} + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{(f)/(f)\mathfrak{m}^{k}\} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{(f^{i})\mathfrak{m}^{k}/(f^{i+1})\mathfrak{m}^{k}\}$$
$$\leq n\tau^{k} + \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{\mathcal{O}_{n}/\mathfrak{m}^{k}\} = n\tau^{k} + \binom{n+k-1}{k-1}.$$

Remark 1.3 Moreover, in Theorem 1.3, if (V(f), 0) is a weighted homogeneous singularity, then

$$\tau^k \le \mu^k \le n\tau^k + \binom{n+k-2}{k-2}.$$

The proof is similar as the proof of Theorem 1.3, the only step which we need to improve is $dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{(f)/(f)\mathfrak{m}^k\} = dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{f \cdot \mathcal{O}_n/(f \cdot \mathfrak{m}^k, \mathfrak{m}^k J(f))\} \le dim_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mathcal{O}_n/\mathfrak{m}^{k-1}\} = \binom{n+k-2}{k-2}$ which follows from the Euler equality for weighted homogeneous polynomial.

Theorem 1.3 tells us that, fixing *n*, *k*, the $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$ is sufficiently close to the *n* if τ^k is sufficiently large. It seems that the upper bound *n* can never be achieved. We don't have any example whose $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$ is sufficiently close to *n*. It is natural to ask what is the optimal upper bound of $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$. We propose the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1.3 Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by $f(x_1, x_2)$. Then for each $k \ge 0$,

$$\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k} < \frac{4}{3}.$$

We believe that the $\frac{4}{3}$ in Conjecture 1.3 is optimal because of the following example: let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by

$$f = x_1^{2m+1} + x_2^{2m+1} + x_1^{m+1} x_2^{m+1}, m \ge 1,$$

then we have

$$\mu^{0}(V) = 4m^{2}, \ \mu^{1}(V) = 4m^{2} + 2,$$

$$\tau^{0}(V) = 4m^{2} - (m - 1^{2}), \ \tau^{1}(V) = 3m^{2} + 2m + 1,$$

$$\mu^{2}(V) = 4m^{2} + 6,$$

$$\tau^{2}(V) = \begin{cases} 3m^{2} + 2m + 5; \ m \ge 2\\ 9; \ m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\frac{\mu^1}{\tau^1}$ and $\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2}$ are sufficiently close to $\frac{4}{3}$ when *m* is sufficiently large.

Conjecture 1.4 Let (V, 0) be a isolated hypersurface singularity defined by $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Then for each $k \ge 0$,

$$\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k} < \frac{3}{2}.$$

We believe that the $\frac{3}{2}$ in Conjecture 1.4 is optimal. The reason is that, when k = 0, there are examples whose $\frac{\mu^0}{\tau^0}$ is sufficiently close to $\frac{3}{2}$ (see Example 3, [2]). When k > 0, we have the following two examples:

1) Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by

$$f = x_1^{3m+1} + x_2^{3m+1} + x_3^{3m+1} + x_1^{m+1} x_2^{m+1} x_3^{m+1}, m \ge 1,$$

then we have

$$\begin{split} \mu^0(V) &= 27m^3, \\ \tau^0(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^3 + 9m^2 + 6m - 11; \ m \geq 2\\ 26; \ m = 1, \end{cases} \\ \mu^1(V) &= 27m^3 + 3, \\ \tau^1(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^3 + 9m^2 + 6m - 8; \ m \geq 2\\ 29; \ m = 1, \end{cases} \\ \mu^2(V) &= 27m^3 + 13, \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^3 + 9m^2 + 6m + 2; \ m \geq 2\\ 38; \ m = 1. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

2) Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity defined by

$$f = x_1^{3m-1} + x_2^{3m-1} + x_3^{3m-1} + x_1^m x_2^m x_3^m, m \ge 1,$$

🖉 Springer

then we have

$$\begin{split} \mu^{0}(V) &= 27m^{3} - 54m^{2} + 36m - 8, \\ \tau^{0}(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^{3} - 33m^{2} + 24m - 12; \ m \geq 2\\ 1; \ m = 1, \end{cases} \\ \mu^{1}(V) &= 27m^{3} - 54m^{2} + 36m - 5\\ \tau^{1}(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^{3} - 33m^{2} + 24m - 9; \ m \geq 2\\ 4; \ m = 1, \end{cases} \\ \mu^{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} 27m^{3} - 54m^{2} + 36m + 5; \ m \geq 2\\ 10; \ m = 1. \end{cases} \\ \tau^{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} 19m^{3} - 33m^{2} + 24m + 1; \ m \geq 2\\ 9; \ m = 1. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

In the above two examples, $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$, k = 0, 1, 2 are sufficiently close to $\frac{27}{19} \approx 1.42 < \frac{3}{2}$ when *m* is sufficiently large. We currently don't have an example such that $\frac{\mu^k}{\tau^k}$, $k \ge 1$ sufficiently close to $\frac{3}{2}$. It seems very hard to find such examples.

Remark 1.4 When k = 0, the conjecture 1.3 and conjecture 1.4 are verified in some cases in [1, 38] and [2] respectively.

It is also interesting to give an optimal upper bound for the invariants $\delta_k(V)$.

Conjecture 1.5 Let $(V, 0) = \{(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = 0\}$ $(n \ge 2)$ be an isolated singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ of weight type $(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n; 1)$. Then the generalized k-th Yau number

$$\delta_k(V) \le n \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) - \sum_i^n \left(\frac{1}{w_1} - k\right) \left(\frac{1}{w_2} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_n} - k\right).$$

The main purpose of this paper is to verify the Conjectures 1.1–1.5 for binomial and trinomial singularities. We obtain the following results.

Theorem A Let (V, 0) be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity of type A which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + \cdots + x_n^{a_n}$ $(a_i \ge k + 2, 1 \le i \le n)$ with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{a_n}; 1)$. Then generalized k-th Yau number

$$\delta_k(V) = n \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - k) - \sum_i^n (a_1 - k)(a_2 - k) \cdots (\widehat{a_i - k}) \cdots (a_n - k),$$

where $(\widehat{a_i - k})$ means that $a_i - k$ is omitted and $1 \le k \le m - 1$ and m = mult(f).

Theorem B Let $(V_f, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $(V_g, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^m, 0)$ be defined by weighted homogeneous polynomials $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$ of weight type $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n; 1)$ and $g(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = 0$ of weight type $(w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_{n+m}; 1)$ respectively. Let $d_k(V_f)$ and $d_k(V_g)$ be the dimensions of moduli algebras $M_k(V_f)$ and $M_k(V_g)$ of $(V_f, 0)$ and $(V_g, 0)$ respectively. Then

$$\delta_k(V_{f+g}) = d_k(V_f)\delta_k(V_g) + d_k(V_g)\delta_k(V_f).$$
(1.1)

and furthermore if both f and g satisfy the conjecture 1.5, then f + g also satisfies the conjecture.

Theorem C Let (V, 0) be a binomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ (see Corollary 2.1) with weight type $(w_1, w_2; 1)$. Then

$$d_k(V) \le \ell_k\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}\right), \ k = 2, 3, 4.$$

Theorem D Let (V, 0) be a trinomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (see Proposition 2.2) with weight type $(w_1, w_2, w_3; 1)$. Then

$$d_k(V) \le \ell_k\left(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}\right), \ k = 2, 3, 4.$$

Theorem E Let (V, 0) be a binomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ (see Corollary 2.1). Then

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2} < \frac{4}{3}.$$

Theorem F Let (V, 0) be a trinomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (see Proposition 2.2). Then

$$\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2} < \frac{3}{2}.$$

Remark 1.5 In fact, it is easy to see $\frac{\mu^0}{\tau^0} = \frac{\mu^1}{\tau^1} = 1$ for weighted homogeneous singularities.

Theorem G Let (V, 0) be a binomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2)$ (see Corollary 2.1). Then

 $\lambda^{2}(V) > \lambda^{1}(V) > \lambda^{0}(V) = \delta_{1}(V) = \lambda_{0}(V) = \lambda_{2}(V) > \lambda_{1}(V) = \delta_{2}(V) > \delta_{3}(V) > \delta_{4}(V).$

Theorem H Let (V, 0) be a trinomial singularity defined by the weighted homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ (see Proposition 2.2). Then $\lambda^2(V) > \lambda^1(V) > \lambda^0(V) = \delta_1(V) = \lambda_0(V) = \lambda_3(V) > \lambda_1(V) = \delta_2(V) > \delta_3(V) > \delta_4(V).$

2 Generalities on derivation Lie algebras of isolated singularities

In this section, we shall briefly define the basic definitions and important results which are helpful to solve the problem. The following basic concepts and results will be used to compute the derivation Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities. Let *A*, *B* be associative algebras over \mathbb{C} . The subalgebra of endomorphisms of *A* generated by the identity element and left and right multiplications by elements of *A* is called multiplication algebra M(A) of *A*. The centroid C(A) is defined as the set of endomorphisms of *A* which commute with all elements of M(A). Obviously, C(A) is an unital subalgebra of End(*A*). The following statement is a particular case of a general result from Proposition 1.2 of [4]. Let $S = A \otimes B$ be a tensor product of finite dimensional associative algebras with units. Then

$$\operatorname{Der} S \cong (\operatorname{Der} A) \otimes C(B) + C(A) \otimes (\operatorname{Der} B).$$

We will only use this result for commutative associative algebras with unit, in which case the centroid coincides with the algebra itself and one has the following result for commutative associative algebras A, B:

Theorem 2.1 ([4]) For commutative associative algebras A, B,

$$DerS \cong (DerA) \otimes B + A \otimes (DerB).$$
 (2.1)

We shall use this formula in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 Let *J* be an ideal in an analytic algebra *S*. Then $\text{Der}_J S \subseteq \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} S$ is Lie subalgebra of all $\sigma \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}} S$ for which $\sigma(J) \subset J$.

We shall use the following well-known result to compute the derivations.

Theorem 2.2 ([45]) Let J be an ideal in $R = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism of Lie algebras

$$(Der_J R)/(J \cdot Der_{\mathbb{C}} R) \cong Der_{\mathbb{C}}(R/J).$$

Recall that a derivation of commutative associative algebra A is defined as a linear endomorphism D of A satisfying the Leibniz rule: D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b). Thus for such an algebra A one can consider the Lie algebra of its derivations Der(A, A) with the bracket defined by the commutator of linear endomorphisms.

Definition 2.2 Let (V, 0) be an isolated hypersurface singularity. The new series of *k*-th derivation Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}_k(V)$ (or $\mathcal{L}_k((V, 0))$) which are defined to be the Lie algebra of derivations of the *k*-th local algebra $M_k(V)$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_k(V) = Der(M_k(V), M_k(V))$. Its dimension is denoted as $\delta_k(V)$ (or $\delta_k((V, 0))$). This number $\delta_k(V)$ is also a new numerical analytic invariant.

Definition 2.3 A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is called quasi-homogeneous (or weighted homogeneous) if there exist positive rational numbers w_1, \dots, w_n (called weights of indeterminates x_j) and d such that, for each monomial $\prod x_j^{k_j}$ appearing in f with non-zero coefficient, one has $\sum w_j k_j = d$. The number d is called the quasi-homogeneous degree (w-degree) of f with respect to weights w_j and is denoted deg f. The collection $(w; d) = (w_1, \dots, w_n; d)$ is called the quasi-homogeneity type (qh-type) of f.

Definition 2.4 An isolated hypersurface singularity in \mathbb{C}^n is fewnomial if it can be defined by a *n*-nomial in *n* variables and it is a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity if it can be defined by a weighted homogeneous fewnomial. 3-nomial isolated hypersurface singularity is also called trinomial singularity.

Proposition 2.1 Let f be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity with $mult(f) \ge 3$. Then f analytically equivalent to a linear combination of the following three series:

Type A. $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}} + x_n^{a_n}, n \ge 1$, Type B. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}x_n + x_n^{a_n}, n \ge 2$, Type C. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + \dots + x_{n-1}^{a_{n-1}}x_n + x_n^{a_n}x_1, n \ge 2$.

Proposition 2.1 has an immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.1 Each binomial isolated singularity is analytically equivalent to one from the three series: A) $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}$, B) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}$, C) $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1$.

Wolfgang and Atsushi [16] give the following classification of weighted homogeneous fewnomial singularities in case of the three variables.

Proposition 2.2 Let $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity with $mult(f) \ge 3$. Then f is analytically equivalent to the following five types:

th mult $(f) \ge 3$. Then f is analysis Type 1. $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$, Type 2. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}$, Type 3. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}x_1$, Type 4. $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}x_1$, Type 5. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1 + x_3^{a_3}$.

3 Proof of theorems

To prove the main theorems we need following propositions. The detailed proofs can be found in our previous papers.

Proposition 3.1 ([19, 21, 26–28, 45]) Let (V, 0) be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity of type A which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}$ with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{a_n}; 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(V) &= (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1); a_1 \ge 2, \ a_2 \ge 2.\\ \tau^1(V) &= a_1a_2 - (a_1 + a_2) + 3; a_1 \ge 2, \ a_2 \ge 2.\\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2 - (a_1 + a_2) + 6; \ a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ a_2 + 3; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 2. \end{cases} \\ \mu^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2 - (a_1 + a_2) + 7; \ a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ a_2 + 4; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 2. \end{cases} \\ d_2(V) &= (a_1 - 2)(a_2 - 2); a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3.\\ d_3(V) &= (a_1 - 3)(a_2 - 3); a_1 \ge 5, a_2 \ge 5.\\ d_4(V) &= (a_1 - 4)(a_2 - 4); a_1 \ge 6, a_2 \ge 6.\\ \delta_2(V) &= 2a_1a_2 - 5(a_1 + a_2) + 12; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3.\\ \delta_3(V) &= 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 24; a_1 \ge 5, a_2 \ge 5.\\ \delta_4(V) &= 2a_1a_2 - 9(a_1 + a_2) + 40; a_1 \ge 6, a_2 \ge 6.\\ \lambda(V) &= a_1a_2 - 3(a_1 + a_2) + 40; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3.\\ \lambda^1(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 3(a_1 + a_2) + 10; \ a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ a_1 + 2; & a_1 \ge 2, a_2 = 2.\\ 3a_2 + 5; \ a_1 = 3, a_2 \ge 4\\ 13; & a_1 = 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 3a_2 + 5; & a_1 = 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 23; & a_1 = 4, a_2 = 4\\ a_2 + 5; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2\\ 1; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \ge 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1 Note that $\mu = \tau$, $\mu^1 = \tau^1$ in Proposition 3.1–3.8, thus τ , μ^1 are not listed.

Proposition 3.2 ([19, 21, 26–28, 45]) Let (V, 0) be a binomial isolated singularity of type B which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}$ with weight type $(\frac{a_2-1}{a_1a_2}, \frac{1}{a_2}; 1)$. Then

$$\tau(V) = a_2(a_1 - 1) + 1; a_1 \ge 1, \ a_2 \ge 2.$$

🖉 Springer

$$\begin{split} \tau^{1}(V) &= a_{2}(a_{1}-1)+3; a_{1} \geq 1, \ a_{2} \geq 2, \\ \tau^{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} a_{1}a_{2}-a_{2}+6 \ a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 3 \\ 5; \ a_{1}=1, a_{2} \geq 2 \\ 7; \ a_{1}=2, a_{2}=2. \end{cases} \\ \mu^{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} a_{1}a_{2}-a_{2}+7 \ a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 3 \\ 6; \ a_{1}=1, a_{2} \geq 2 \\ 8; \ a_{1}=2, a_{2}=2. \end{cases} \\ d_{2}(V) &= a_{1}a_{2}-2(a_{1}+a_{2})+5; a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 3. \\ d_{3}(V) &= a_{1}a_{2}-3(a_{1}+a_{2})+10; a_{1} \geq 4, a_{2} \geq 5. \\ d_{4}(V) &= a_{1}a_{2}-4(a_{1}+a_{2})+17; a_{1} \geq 5, a_{2} \geq 6. \\ \delta_{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_{1}a_{2}-5(a_{1}+a_{2})+15; a_{1} \geq 4, a_{2} \geq 3 \\ a_{2}-2; \ a_{1}=3, a_{2} \geq 3 \\ 0; \ a_{1} \geq 3, a_{2} = 2. \end{cases} \\ \delta_{3}(V) &= 2a_{1}a_{2}-7(a_{1}+a_{2})+27; a_{1} \geq 4, a_{2} \geq 5. \\ \delta_{4}(V) &= 2a_{1}a_{2}-9(a_{1}+a_{2})+27; a_{1} \geq 4, a_{2} \geq 5. \\ \delta_{4}(V) &= 2a_{1}a_{2}-9(a_{1}+a_{2})+43; a_{1} \geq 5, a_{2} \geq 6. \\ \lambda(V) &= a_{1}a_{2}-2a_{1}-3a_{2}+5; a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 3. \\ \lambda^{1}(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_{1}a_{2}-2a_{1}-3a_{2}+11; a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 3 \\ 2a_{1}+2; \ a_{1} \geq 2, a_{2} \geq 2 \\ 4; \ a_{1}=1, a_{2} \geq 2. \end{cases} \\ \lambda^{2}(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_{1}a_{2}-2a_{1}-3a_{2}+20; a_{1} \geq 5, a_{2} \geq 5 \\ 5a_{2}+12; \ a_{1}=4, a_{2} \geq 5 \\ 5a_{2}+12; \ a_{1}=4, a_{2} \geq 5 \\ 5a_{2}+12; \ a_{1}=4, a_{2} \geq 4 \\ 4a_{1}+7; \ a_{1} \geq 3, a_{2} = 3 \\ 2a_{1}+5; \ a_{1}=2, a_{2} = 2 \\ a_{2}+11; \ a_{1}=2, a_{2} \geq 4 \\ 13; \ a_{1}=1, a_{2} \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.3 ([19, 21, 26–28, 45]) Let (V, 0) be a binomial isolated singularity of type C which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1$ with weight type $(\frac{a_2-1}{a_1a_2-1}, \frac{a_1-1}{a_1a_2-1}; 1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1 a_2; \ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2\\ 1; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1. \end{cases} \\ \tau^1(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1 a_2 + 2; \ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2\\ 3; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1. \end{cases} \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1 a_2 + 5 \ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2\\ 5; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1. \end{cases} \\ \mu^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1 a_2 + 6 \ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2\\ 6; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1. \end{cases} \\ d_2(V) &= a_1 a_2 - 2(a_1 + a_2) + 7; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2. \\ d_3(V) &= a_1 a_2 - 3(a_1 + a_2) + 11; a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4. \\ d_4(V) &= a_1 a_2 - 4(a_1 + a_2) + 18; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_2(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 5(a_1 + a_2) + 19; \ a_1 \ge 5, a_2 \ge 5\\ a_2 + 1; & a_1 = 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 3a_2 - 2; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \ge 5\\ 9; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \ge 4\\ 0; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 2. \end{cases} \\ \delta_3(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 7(a_1 + a_2) + 30; \ a_1 \ge 5, a_2 \ge 5\\ a_2; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \ge 4. \end{cases} \\ \delta_4(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 9(a_1 + a_2) + 46; \ a_1 \ge 6, a_2 \ge 6\\ a_2 - 1; & a_1 = 5, a_2 \ge 5. \end{cases} \\ \lambda(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2 - 2a_1 - 2a_2 + 6 \ a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 2a_2; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 2. \end{cases} \\ \lambda^1(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 2a_1 - 2a_2 + 12; \ a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 2a_1 + 6; & a_1 \ge 2, a_2 = 2\\ 4; & a_1 \ge 1, a_2 = 1\\ 4; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \ge 2. \end{cases} \\ \lambda^2(V) &= \begin{cases} 2a_1a_2 - 2(a_1 + a_2) + 21; \ a_1 \ge 4, a_2 \ge 4\\ 2a_2 + 10; & a_1 = 3, a_2 \ge 3\\ 23; & a_1 = 3, a_2 = 3\\ 13; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2\\ 6; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \ge 1. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Proposition 3.4 ([19–21, 23, 26–28]) Let (V, 0) be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 1 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}$ with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \tau(V) &= (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1)(a_3 - 1); a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3. \\ \tau^1(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 - a_1a_3 - a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2. \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 - (a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) + 10; a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3 \\ a_2a_3 - a_2 - a_3 + 10; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ a_3 + 7; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ 9; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ 9; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ a_2a_3 - a_2 - a_3 + 12; & a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3 \\ a_2a_3 - a_2 - a_3 + 12; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3 \\ a_3 + 9; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ 10; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ 10; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ 10; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3 \\ d_2(V) &= (a_1 - 2)(a_2 - 2)(a_3 - 2); a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3. \\ d_3(V) &= (a_1 - 3)(a_2 - 3)(a_3 - 3); a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5. \\ d_4(V) &= (a_1 - 4)(a_2 - 4)(a_3 - 4); a_1 \geq 6, a_2 \geq 6, a_3 \geq 6. \\ \delta_2(V) &= 3a_1a_2a_3 + 16(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 7(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 36; \\ a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3. \\ \delta_3(V) &= 3a_1a_2a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 108; \\ a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5. \\ \delta_4(V) &= 3a_1a_2a_3 + 56(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 13(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 240; \\ a_1 \geq 6, a_2 \geq 6, a_3 \geq 6. \\ \lambda(V) &= 3(a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1)(a_3 - 1) - (a_1 - 1)(a_2 - 1) \end{split}$$

$$-(a_1 - 1)(a_3 - 1) - (a_2 - 1)(a_3 - 1); a_i \ge 3.$$

$$\lambda^1(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 5(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 6;$$

$$a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 3.$$

$$\lambda^2(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 5(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 34;$$

$$a_1 > 3, a_2 > 3, a_3 > 3.$$

Proposition 3.5 ([19–21, 23, 26–28]) Let (V, 0) be a fewnomial isolated singularity of type 2 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}$ with weight type $(\frac{1-a_3+a_2a_3}{a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{a_3-1}{a_2a_3}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then

_

$$\begin{split} \tau(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 1 + a_3 - a_2a_3; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ \tau^1(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - a_2a_3 + a_3 + 10; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_1a_2a_3 - a_2a_3 + a_3 + 10; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_3 + 7; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_3 + 7; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_1a_3 + 7; & a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_1a_2 - a_2a_2 + 11; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_1a_3 + 8; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_1a_3 + 8; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2, \\ a_2(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 5(a_1 + a_3) + 4a_2 - 12; \\ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3, \\ d_2(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 10(a_1 + a_3) + 9a_2 - 33; \\ a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5, \\ d_4(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 17(a_1 + a_3) + 16a_2 - 72; \\ a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 6, \\ d_4(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 7(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 20(a_1 + a_3) + 16a_2 - 55; a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 4, \\ a_2a_1a_3 - a_1 - 3a_3 - 1; & a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 4, \\ a_2a_1a_3 - a_1 - 3a_3 - 1; & a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_3a_3 - 3; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_3a_3 - 3; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_3a_3 - 3; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 6, \\ a_4(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 37(a_1 + a_3) \\ + 56a_2 - 275; & a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 4, \\ a_2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_2 + 5; & a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_2 + 5; & a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_4a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_2 + 5; & a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 6, a_3 \geq 6, \\ \lambda(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 60(a_1 + a_3) \\ + 56a_2 - 275; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 6, a_3 \geq 6, \\ a_2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_2 + 5; & a_1 \geq 4, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_4a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 2a_1a_1 - 2a_1a_2 + 2a_2 - 7; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_4a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 2a_1 - 1; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_4a_1a_3 - 3a_3 - 2a_1 - 1; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_2a_3 - 2a_2a_2 - 2a_1a_3 - 4a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 \geq 2a_2 - 2a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_2a_3 - 2a_2 - 2a_1a_3 - 4a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_2 - 2a_1a_3 - 3; \\ a_2a_2a_3 - 2a_2 - 2a_1a_3 - 4a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 = 2a_2 - 2a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_2a_3 - 2a_2$$

Proposition 3.6 ([19–21, 23, 26–28]) *Let* (V, 0) *be a fewnomial isolated singularity of type* 3 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_3 + x_3^{a_3}x_1$ with weight type $(\frac{1-a_3+a_2a_3}{1+a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{1-a_1+a_1a_3}{1+a_1a_2a_3}, \frac{1-a_2+a_1a_2}{1+a_1a_2a_3}; 1)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \tau(V) &= a_1a_2a_3; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 1, \\ \tau^1(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 + 3; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 1, \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 + 11; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2 \\ a_2a_3 + 8; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 1, \\ \mu^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 + 13; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2 \\ a_2a_3 + 9; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 1, \\ a_2(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 5(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 14; \\ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2, \\ d_3(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 10(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 36; \\ a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 4, \\ d_4(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 17(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 76; \\ a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ \delta_2(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 20(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 7(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 63; a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3, \\ a_3 - 2; & a_1 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2, \\ \delta_3(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 63; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2, \\ \delta_3(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 63; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 2, \\ \delta_3(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 63; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4, \\ 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_3 + 9; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4, \\ 2a_1a_3 - 3a_1 - 5a_3 + 9; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 4, \\ 2a_1a_2 - 5a_1 - 3a_2 + 9; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 = 4, \\ 2a_1a_2 - 5a_1 - 3a_2 + 9; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 1; \\ 2a_1a_2 - 7a_1 - 5a_2 + 19; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5, \\ a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 1; \\ Otherwise. \\ \lambda^1(V) &= \begin{cases} 24; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 = 2, \\ 3a_1a_2a_3 + 2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 11; \\ Otherwise. \\ \lambda^2(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 2(a_1 + a_2 + a_3) - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 13; \\ a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_2 + a_3) + 46; \\ a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.7 ([19–21, 23, 26–28]) Let (V, 0) be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity of type 4 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2} + x_3^{a_3}x_2$ with weight type $(\frac{1}{a_1}, \frac{1}{a_2}, \frac{a_2-1}{a_2a_3}; 1)$. Then

$$\tau(V) = a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 - a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 - 1; a_1 \ge 2, a_2 \ge 2, a_3 \ge 1.$$

$$\tau^1(V) = a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 - a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + 2; a_1 \ge 2, a_2 \ge 2, a_3 \ge 1.$$

$$\tau^2(V) = \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 - a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + 10; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 2\\ 2a_1a_3 - a_1 - 2a_3 + 10; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 2, a_3 \ge 2\\ a_1 + 7; a_1 \ge 2, a_2 \ge 2, a_3 = 1\\ a_2a_3 - a_2 + 10; a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 2\\ 2a_3 + 7; a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

 $-a_1a_2 - a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + 12; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 3$ $\mu^{2}(V) = \begin{cases} a_{1}a_{2}a_{3} - a_{1}a_{2} - a_{2}a_{3} + a_{1} + a_{2} + 12; \\ a_{1}a_{2} + a_{1} - a_{2} + 11; \\ 2a_{1}a_{3} - a_{1} - 2a_{3} + 12; \\ a_{1} + 8; \\ a_{2}a_{3} - a_{2} + 11; \\ 2a_{3} + 9; \end{cases}$ $a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 = 2$ $a_1 \ge 3, a_2 = 2, a_3 \ge 2$ $a_1 \ge 2, a_2 \ge 2, a_3 = 1$ $a_1 = 2, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 2$ $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \ge 2.$ $d_2(V) = a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 4(a_1 + a_3) + 5a_2 - 10;$ $a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 2.$ $d_3(V) = a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 9(a_2 + a_3) + 10a_1 - 30;$ $a_1 \ge 5, a_2 \ge 5, a_3 \ge 4.$ $d_4(V) = a_1a_2a_3 - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 16(a_2 + a_3) + 17a_1 - 68;$ $\delta_2(V) = \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 16(a_1 + a_3) + 20a_2 - 7(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 45; \ a_1 \ge 4, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 3\\ 2a_2a_3 - 3a_2 - 5a_3 + 7; \\ a_2 - 3; \end{cases}$ $a_1 \ge 6, a_2 \ge 6, a_3 \ge 5.$ $a_1 > 4, a_2 > 3, a_3 = 2.$ $\delta_3(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 37a_1 + 33(a_2 + a_3) - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 121;$ $a_1 > 5, a_2 > 5, a_3 > 4.$ $\delta_4(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 + 60a_1 + 56(a_2 + a_3) - 13(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 257;$ $a_1 \ge 6, a_2 \ge 6, a_3 \ge 5.$ $\lambda(V) = 3a_1a_2a_3 - 4a_1a_2 - 4a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 + 6a_1 + 5a_2 + 2a_3 - 7; a_1 \ge 3, a_2 \ge 3, a_3 \ge 2.$ $\lambda^{1}(V) = 3a_{1}a_{2}a_{3} - 4a_{1}a_{2} - 4a_{2}a_{3} - 2a_{1}a_{3} + 6a_{1} + 5a_{2} + 2a_{3} + 5; a_{1} \ge 3, a_{2} \ge 3, a_{3} \ge 2.$ $\lambda^{2}(V) = \begin{cases} a_{1}a_{3} - 6a_{1} - 10a_{3} + 48; & a_{1} \ge 3, a_{2} \ge 3, a_{3} \ge 2\\ 5a_{2}a_{3} - 7a_{2} - 4a_{3} + 53; & a_{1} \ge 3, a_{2} \ge 4, a_{3} \ge 3\\ 3a_{1}a_{2}a_{3} + 6a_{1} + 5a_{2} + 2a_{3} - 4a_{2}a_{3} - 4a_{1}a_{2} - 2a_{1}a_{3} + 35; & a_{1} \ge 4, a_{2} \ge 4, a_{3} \ge 3\\ 11a_{1}a_{2} - 3a_{1} - 15a_{2} + 41; & a_{1} \ge 4, a_{2} \ge 4, a_{3} \ge 3\\ 46; & a_{1} = 3, a_{2} = 3, a_{3} = 2\\ 2a_{1}a_{2} + 2a_{1} - 3a_{2} + 36; & a_{1} \ge 4, a_{2} \ge 4, a_{3} \ge 2\\ 3a_{2} + 40; & a_{1} = 3, a_{2} \ge 4, a_{3} \ge 2\\ 3a_{2} + 40; & a_{3} = 3, a_{3} \ge 4, a_{3}$ $a_1 \ge 4, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 2.$

Proposition 3.8 ([19–21, 23, 26–28]) *Let* (V, 0) *be a fewnomial surface isolated singularity* of type 5 which is defined by $f = x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1 + x_3^{a_3}$ with weight type $(\frac{a_2-1}{a_1a_2-1}, \frac{a_1-1}{a_1a_2-1}, \frac{1}{a_3}; 1)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \tau(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2. \\ \tau^1(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 + 3; a_1 \geq 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2. \\ \tau^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 + 11; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ a_1a_2 + 9; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 = 2\\ a_3 + 7; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2\\ a_3 + 7; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 = 1, a_3 \geq 2. \end{cases} \\ \mu^2(V) &= \begin{cases} a_1a_2a_3 - a_1a_2 + 13; a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ a_1a_2 + 10; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ a_3 + 8; & a_1 = 1, a_2 \geq 1, a_3 \geq 2\\ a_3 + 8; & a_1 \geq 2, a_2 = 1, a_3 \geq 2. \end{cases} \\ d_2(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 2(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 4(a_1 + a_2) + 7a_3 - 14; \\ a_1 \geq 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3. \\ d_3(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 3(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 9(a_1 + a_2) + 11a_3 - 33; \\ a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5. \end{cases} \\ d_4(V) &= a_1a_2a_3 - 4(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) + 16(a_1 + a_2) + 18a_3 - 72; \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} a_1 &\geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 6. \\ \delta_2(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 16(a_1 + a_2) + 26a_3 - 7(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3) - 59; a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 3\\ a_3 - 3; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ 2a_2a_3 - 5a_2 + 2a_3 - 5; & a_1 = 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3\\ 6a_2a_3 - 14a_2 - 8a_3 + 17; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 3 \end{cases} \\ \delta_3(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 33(a_1 + a_2) + 41a_3 - 10(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3)\\ -134; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5\\ 2a_2a_3 - 7a_2 - a_3 + 4; & a_1 = 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 5. \end{cases} \\ \delta_4(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 + 56(a_1 + a_2) + 64a_3 - 13(a_1a_2 + a_1a_3 + a_2a_3)\\ -274; & a_1 \geq 6, a_2 \geq 6, a_3 \geq 6\\ 2a_2a_3 - 9a_2 - 4a_3 + 20; & a_1 \geq 5, a_2 \geq 5, a_3 \geq 5\\ \delta_4(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 - 4a_1a_2 - 2(a_2a_3 + a_1a_3) + 2(a_1 + a_2) + 6a_3 - 6; a_1 \geq 3, a_2 \geq 3, a_3 \geq 3\\ 4a_2a_3 - 6a_2; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ 3a_1a_2a_3 - 4a_1a_2 - 2a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 + 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 6a_3 + 6; Otherwise. \end{cases} \\ \lambda^2(V) &= \begin{cases} 3a_1a_2a_3 - 4a_1a_2 - 2a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 + 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 6a_3 + 6; Otherwise. \\ 3a_1a_2a_3 - 4a_1a_2 - 2a_2a_3 - 2a_1a_3 + 2a_1 + 2a_2 + 6a_3 + 36; a_1 \geq 4, a_2 \geq 4, a_3 \geq 3\\ 4a_2a_3 - 6a_2 + 40; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 3\\ 4a_2a_3 - 6a_2 + 39; & a_1 = 2, a_2 \geq 2, a_3 \geq 4\\ 46; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 4\\ 46; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 4\\ 46; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 4\\ 46; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 2, a_3 \geq 4\\ 46; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 \geq 4\\ 55; & a_1 = 2, a_2 = 3, a_3 = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem A. Since

$$M_k(V) = \mathcal{O}_n / J_k(f) = \mathcal{O}_n / (x_1^{a_1-k}, \cdots, x_n^{a_n-k})$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}\{x_1\} / (x_1^{a_1-k}) \otimes \mathbb{C}\{x_2\} / (x_2^{a_2-k}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{x_n\} / (x_n^{a_n-k}),$$

so we have

$$\delta_{k}(V) = \dim\left(DerM_{k}(V)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim\left(\mathbb{C}\{x_{1}\}/(x_{1}^{a_{1}-k})\right) \cdots \dim\left(\mathbb{C}\{x_{i-1}\}/(x_{i-1}^{a_{i-1}-k})\right) \cdot \dim\left(Der(\mathbb{C}\{x_{i}\}/(x_{i}^{a_{i}-k}))\right)$$

$$\cdot \dim\left(\mathbb{C}\{x_{i+1}\}/(x_{i+1}^{a_{i+1}-k})\right) \cdots \dim\left(\mathbb{C}\{x_{n}\}/(x_{n}^{a_{n}-k})\right).$$
Since $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathbb{C}\{x_{i}\}/(x_{i}^{a_{i}-k})\right)$ is spanned by $x_{i}^{j} \partial x_{i}, 1 \leq j \leq a_{i} - k - 1$,
so, $\dim\left(Der(\mathbb{C}\{x_{i}\}/(x_{i}^{a_{i}-k}))\right) = a_{i} - k - 1$. Notice that $\dim\left(\{x_{i}\}/(x_{i}^{a_{i}-k})\right) = a_{i} - k$,
 $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Therefore

$$\delta_k(V) = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_1 - k) \cdots (a_{i-1} - k)(a_i - k - 1)(a_{i+1} - k) \cdots (a_n - k)$$

$$= n \prod_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - k) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_1 - k)(a_2 - k) \cdots (\widehat{a_i - k}) \cdots (a_n - k).$$

Proof of Theorem B.

$$\mathcal{L}_{k}(V_{f+g}) = \operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{n+m}/J_{k}(f+g)\right)$$

= $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{n+m}/(J_{k}(f)+J_{k}(g))\right)$
= $\operatorname{Der}\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{n}/J_{k}(f)\right)\otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{m}/J_{k}(g)\right)\right)$
= $\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{n}/J_{k}(f)\right)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{m}/J_{k}(g)+\mathcal{O}_{n}/J_{k}(f)\otimes\operatorname{Der}\left(\mathcal{O}_{m}/J_{k}(g)\right)$
= $\mathcal{L}_{k}(V_{f})\otimes M_{k}(g)+\mathcal{L}_{k}(V_{g})\otimes M_{k}(f)$
 $\Rightarrow \delta_{k}(V_{f+g}) = d_{k}(V_{f})\delta_{k}(V_{g})+d_{k}(V_{g})\delta_{k}(V_{f}).$

The first equality above comes from the fact that f, g are weighted homogeneous while the fourth equality follows from the Theorem 2.1.

Since both f and g satisfy the conjecture 1.5, we have

$$\delta_k(V_f) \le n \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) - \sum_i^n \left(\frac{1}{w_1} - k\right) \left(\frac{1}{w_2} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_n} - k\right),\tag{3.1}$$

where $(\widehat{\frac{1}{w_i} - k})$ denotes the omission of $\frac{1}{w_i} - k$.

$$\delta_{k}(V_{g}) \leq m \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+i}} - k\right) - \sum_{i}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+1}} - k\right) \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+2}} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+i}} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+m}} - k\right).$$
(3.2)

We have

$$d_k(V_f) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right),$$
 (3.3)

$$d_k(V_g) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+i}} - k\right).$$
 (3.4)

From above we can see that $M_k(V_{f+g}) = M_k(V_f) \otimes M_k(V_g)$, thus $d_k(V_{f+g}) = d_k(V_f)d_k(V_g)$. Combining this fact with the (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_k(V_{f+g}) &= d_k(V_f) \delta_k(V_g) + d_k(V_g) \delta_k(V_f) \\ &\leq (n+m) d_k(f+g) - \sum_{i}^{n+m} \left(\frac{1}{w_1} - k\right) \left(\frac{1}{w_2} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_{n+m}} - k\right) \\ &= (n+m) \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) - \sum_{i}^{n+m} \left(\frac{1}{w_1} - k\right) \left(\frac{1}{w_2} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_i} - k\right) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{w_n} - k\right), \end{split}$$

which shows that f + g satisfies the Conjecture 1.5.

Proof of Theorem C. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity. Then f can be divided into the following three types same as in Corollary 2.1:

Type A. $x_1^{a_1} + x_2^{a_2}$, Type B. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}$, Type C. $x_1^{a_1}x_2 + x_2^{a_2}x_1$.

It follows from Propositions 3.1–3.3 that the inequalities $d_k(V) \leq \ell_k(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}), k = 2, 3, 4$, hold true.

Proof of Theorem D. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated surface singularity. Then f can be divided into the five types same as in Proposition 2.2. It follows from Propositions 3.4–3.8 that the inequalities $d_k(V) \leq \ell_k(\frac{1}{w_1}, \frac{1}{w_2}, \frac{1}{w_3}), k = 2, 3, 4$, hold true.

Proof of Theorem E. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity. Then f can be divided into the three types same as in Corollary 2.1. It follows from Propositions 3.1–3.3 that the inequality $\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2} < \frac{4}{3}$, holds true.

Proof of Theorem F. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated surface singularity. Then f can be divided into the five types same as in Proposition 2.2. It follows from Propositions 3.4–3.8 that the inequality $\frac{\mu^2}{\tau^2} < \frac{3}{2}$, holds true.

Proof of Theorem G. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated singularity. Then f can be divided into the three types same as in Corollary 2.1. It follows from Propositions 3.1–3.3 that the inequality

$$\lambda^{2}(V) > \lambda^{1}(V) > \lambda^{0}(V) = \delta_{1}(V) = \lambda_{0}(V) = \lambda_{2}(V) > \lambda_{1}(V) = \delta_{2}(V) > \delta_{3}(V) > \delta_{4}(V),$$

holds true.

Proof of Theorem H. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be a weighted homogeneous fewnomial isolated surface singularity. Then f can be divided into the five types same as in Proposition 2.2. It follows from Propositions 3.4–3.8 that the inequality

$$\lambda^{2}(V) > \lambda^{1}(V) > \lambda^{0}(V) = \delta_{1}(V) = \lambda_{0}(V) = \lambda_{3}(V) > \lambda_{1}(V) = \delta_{2}(V) > \delta_{3}(V) > \delta_{4}(V),$$

holds true.

Acknowledgements Zuo is supported by NSFC Grant 12271280. Yau is supported by Tsinghua University Education Foundation fund (042202008).

Author Contributions All authors contributes equally.

Data Availability The authors declare that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper.

Declarations

Conflicts of Interest There is no conflict of interest among authors.

References

 Almirón, P., Blanco, G.: A note on a question of Dimca and Greuel. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I(357), 205–208 (2019)

- Almirón, P.: On the quotient of Milnor and Tjurina numbers for two-dimensional isolated hypersurface singularities. preprint. arXiv:1910.12843v3 (2020)
- Benson, M., Yau, S.S.-T.: Lie algebra and their representations arising from isolated singularities: computer method in calculating the Lie algebras and their cohomology. In: Advance studies in Pure Mathematics 8, Complex Analytic Singularities, pp 3–58 (1986)
- 4. Block, R.: Determination of the differentiably simple rings with a minimal ideal. Ann. Math. **90**, 433–459 (1969)
- Briançon, J., Skoda, H.: Sur la clôture intégrale d'un idéal de germes defonctions holomorphes en un point de Cⁿ. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 278, 949–951 (1974)
- Chen, H.: On negative weight derivations of moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities. Math. Ann. 303, 95–107 (1995)
- Chen, H.: Nonexistence of negative weight derivations on graded Artin algebras: a conjecture of Halperin. J. Algebra 216, 1–12 (1999)
- Chen, B., Chen, H., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: The non-existence of negative weight derivations on positive dimensional isolated singularities: generalized Wahl conjecture. J. Differ. Geom. 115(2), 195–224 (2020)
- Chen, B., Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Variation of complex structures and variation of Lie algebras II: new Lie algebras arising from singularities. J. Differ. Geom. 115(3), 437–473 (2020)
- Chen, B., Xie, D., Yau, S.-T., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: 4d N=2 SCFT and singularity theory Part II: complete intersection. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 21(1), 121–145 (2017)
- Chen, B., Xie, D., Yau, S.-T., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: 4D N=2 SCFT and singularity theory part III: rigid singularity. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 22(8), 1885–1905 (2018)
- Chen, B., Yau, S.S.-T., Yau, S.-T., Zuo, H.: 4D N=2 SCFT and singularity theory part IV: isolated rational Gorenstein non-complete intersection singularities with at least one-dimensional deformation and nontrivial T². Math. Res. Lett. 28(1), 1–23 (2021)
- Chen, H., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Non-existence of negative weight derivations on positively graded Artinian algebras. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 372(4), 253–2493 (2019)
- Dimca, A., Sticlaru, G.: Hessian ideals of a homogeneous polynomial and generalized Tjurina algebras. Docum. Math. 20, 689–705 (2015)
- Elashvili, A., Khimshiashvili, G.: Lie algebras of simple hypersurface singularities. J. Lie Theory 16(4), 621–649 (2006)
- Ebeling, W., Takahashi, A.: Strange duality of weighted homogeneous polynomial. J. Compos. Math. 147, 1413–1433 (2011)
- Greuel, G.-M., Lossen, C., Shustin, E.: Introduction to Singularities and Deformations. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin (2007)
- 18. Hu, C., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Torelli theorem for k-th Yau algebras over simple elliptic singularities (in preprint)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On the derivation Lie algebras of fewnomial singularities. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 98(1), 77–88 (2018)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On the new k-th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities. Math. Z. 294(1–2), 331–358 (2020)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: k-th Yau number of isolated hypersurface singularities and an inequality conjecture. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 110, 1101–1124 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Generalized Cartan matrices arising from new derivation Lie algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities. Pac. J. Math. 305(1), 189–218 (2020)
- 23. Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On two inequality conjectures for the *k*-th Yau numbers of isolated hypersurface singularities. Geom. Dedicata. **212**, 57–71 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On The Generalized Cartan matrices arising from k-th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities. Algebr. Represent. Theory 24, 1101–1124 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: New k-th Yau algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities and weak Torelli-type theorem. Math. Res. Lett. 29(2), 455–486 (2022)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Inequality conjectures on derivations of Local k-th Hessain algebras associated to isolated hypersurface singularities. Math. Z. 298, 1813–1829 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Derivation Lie algebras of new k-th local algebras of isolated hypersurface singularities. Pac. J. Math. 314–2, 311–331 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On the dimension of a new class of derivation Lie algebras associated to singularities. Mathematics 9, 1650 (2021)
- Hussain, N., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On derivation Lie algebras of isolated complete intersection singularities. Lett. Math. Phys. 113(5), 99 (2023)
- Martin, B., Siebert, T.: Graded Lie algebras and quasihomogeneous complete intersection singularities. J. Algebra 186(1), 299–313 (1996)

- Mather, J., Yau, S.S.-T.: Classification of isolated hypersurface singularities by their moduli algebras. Invent. Math. 69, 243–251 (1982)
- Ma, G., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: On the non-existence of negative weight derivations of the new moduli algebras of singularities. J. Algebra 564, 199–246 (2020)
- Ma, G., Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: A class of new k-th Local algebras of singularities and its derivation Lie algebras (preprint)
- 34. Saito, K.: Quasihomogene isolierte Singularitäten von Hyperflächen. Invent. Math. 14, 123–142 (1971)
- 35. Seiberg, N., Witten, E.: Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N = 2 supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory. Nucl. Phys. B **426**(1), 19–52 (1994)
- Seiberg, N., Witten, E.: Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N = 2 supersymmetric QCD. Nucl. Phys. B 431(3), 484–550 (1994)
- Seeley, C., Yau, S.S.-T.: Variation of complex structure and variation of Lie algebras. Invent. Math. 99, 545–565 (1990)
- 38. Wang, Z.: Monotonic invariants under blowups. Int. J. Math. 31(12), 2050093 (2020)
- Xu, Y.-J., Yau, S.S.-T.: Micro-local characterization quasi-homogeneous singularities. Am. J. Math. 118(2), 389–399 (1996)
- Yau, S.S.-T.: Continuous family of finite-dimensional representations of a solvable Lie algebra arising from singularities. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80, 7694–7696 (1983)
- Yau, S.S.-T.: Solvable Lie algebras and generalized Cartan matrices arising from isolated singularities. Math. Z. 191, 489–506 (1986)
- 42. Yau, S.S.-T.: Solvability of Lie algebras arising from isolated singularities and nonisolatedness of singularities defined by *sl*(2, ℂ) invariant polynomials. Am. J. Math. **113**, 773–778 (1991)
- Yu, Y.: On Jacobian ideals invariant by reducible sl(2;C) action. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 348, 2759–2791 (1996)
- Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: Derivations of the moduli algebras of weighted homogeneous hypersurface singularities. J. Algebra 457, 18–25 (2016)
- Yau, S.S.-T., Zuo, H.: A Sharp upper estimate conjecture for the Yau number of weighted homogeneous isolated hypersurface singularity. Pure Appl. Math. Q. 12(1), 165–181 (2016)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.